





2013-2018 Capital Improvement Program

July, 2012







Adopted by the Monroe County Legislature

Submitted By Maggie Brooks County Executive

and
The Monroe County Planning Board
Linda A. Faubel, Chairperson









Intro. No. 233

RESOLUTION NO. 184 OF 2012

ADOPTING 2013-2018 CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE COUNTY OF MONROE, as follows:

Section 1. The Legislature hereby adopts the proposed 2013-2018 Capital Improvement Program of the County of Monroe, as submitted by County Executive Maggie Brooks, in its entirety.

Section 2. This resolution shall take effect in accordance with Section C2-7 of the Monroe County Charter.

Planning and Economic Development Committee; May 21, 2012 – CV: 3-2

Public Safety Committee; May 21, 2012 - CV: 4-3

Recreation and Education Committee; May 22, 2012 - CV: 3-2

Transportation Committee; May 22, 2012 - CV: 4-3 Human Services Committee; May 22, 2012 - CV: 6-3

Environment and Public Works Committee; May 23, 2012 - CV: 4-3

Intergovernmental Relations Committee; May 23, 2012 – CV: 3-2

Ways and Means Committee; May 23, 2012 - CV: 7-4

File No. 12-0190

ADOPTION: Date: July 10, 2012

Vote: 18-11

(Legislators O'Brien, Andrews, Bauroth, Esposito, Gamble, Haney, Kaleh, J. Lightfoot, W. Lightfoot, Patterson and Wilcox voted in the

negative.)

ACTION BY THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE

APPROVED:	VETOED:	
SIGNATURE: 💟	104/6 Mools DATE: 7/17/12	_
EFFECTIVE DAT	of resolution: $\frac{7/17/12}{}$	

Planning Board



Monroe County, New York

Maggie Brooks County Executive

Linda A. Faubel Chairperson

March 22, 2012

Hon. Maggie Brooks, County Executive 110 County Office Building 39 West Main Street Rochester, New York 14614

SUBJECT: PROPOSED 2013-2018 CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

Dear Ms. Brooks:

I am pleased to report that the Monroe County Planning Board on March 22, 2012 completed its review, public meetings and ranking process for their recommended 2013-2018 Capital Improvement Program (CIP). This proposed Capital Improvement Program is submitted to you in accordance with Section C4-10 of Article IV and Section C5-7 of Article V of the Monroe County Charter.

The process used to review this capital program included direct discussion with County operating departments, citizens, and local government officials. The County Planning Board held three public information meetings and three Board meetings during the preparation of this proposed CIP. County departments and their staff are to be congratulated on what the Board considers a reasoned, well-planned six-year CIP. Public comments were given full consideration in evaluating project need and priorities.

The Board supports the goal of establishing a fiscally responsible capital program that maintains the community's infrastructure. The Board strongly urges the support of this CIP and its implementation.

Linda A. Faubel, Chairperson Monroe County Planning Board

LAF/pj

xc:

Daniel M. DeLaus, Jr., Deputy County Executive

Judith Seil, Director, Department of Planning and Development

CONTENTS

Summary 2013-2018 Capital Improvement Program	1
ADMINISTRATIVE SECTOR	
Information Services	11
Planning and Feasibility Studies	13
HEALTH AND SOCIAL SERVICES SECTOR	
Community College	17
Community Hospital	20
County Library System	23
PHYSICAL SERVICES SECTOR	
Aviation	27
Environmental Services - Division of Pure Waters	37
Environmental Services - Fleet	42
Environmental Services - Geographic Information Services	43
Facilities Management	44
Parks	47
Transportation - Highways and Bridges	51
Transportation - Traffic Engineering	59
Water Authority	62
PUBLIC SAFETY SECTOR	
Office of the Sheriff	67
Public Safety	69

SUMMARY

2013-2018 CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

Monroe County's Capital Improvement Program for 2013-2018 totals \$407,627,900. Of this amount, \$265,846,900 or 65% is for non-self-supporting programs - those administered by departments such as Public Safety, Parks, Highways, Bridges and Traffic Engineering and the Office of the County Sheriff. Federal aid, state aid and local county revenues fund these. Also, \$141,781,000 or 35% is for self-supporting programs - those administered by departments such as Environmental Services, the Airport, and the Community Hospital. Operating and capital costs associated with these functions are generally covered by district and user service charges. A summary of the six-year program is provided in Table 1 - Summary Table.

Year one of the 2013-2018 Capital Improvement Program totals \$84,010,000. Of this amount, \$58,954,000 or 70% is for non-self-supporting programs (of which the County contributes \$37,445,000 or 64%), and \$25,056,000 or 30%, is for self-supporting programs. County funding is 45% of the Grand Total for 2013.

Major objectives of Monroe County's 2013-2018 Capital Improvement Program are to provide public facilities necessary to stimulate private investment and enhance economic development in Monroe County. We continue to improve transportation facilities, our Public Safety operations, the County's Pure Waters program, and the County's park system. The approximately \$407 million in the total 2013-2018 Capital Improvement Program consists of:

- \$ 96 million for Highways, Bridges and Traffic Engineering
- \$ 68 million for the Greater Rochester International Airport
- \$ 114 million for the Community College and Library System
- \$ 32 million for Pure Waters construction projects
- \$ 14 million for parks
- \$ 34 million for Water Authority projects
- \$ 7 million for projects at Monroe Community Hospital
- \$ 30 million for Facilities, Information Services, Fleet, GIS, and Planning and Feasibility
- \$ 12 million for County Sheriff and Public Safety Services
- \$ 407 million total program

The 2013 Capital Budget

The first year of the six-year Capital Improvement Program is adopted annually by the County Legislature in December as the capital budget for the ensuing fiscal year. The capital budget is the annual spending plan for capital improvements. In accordance with the County Charter, projects that are to be built in the first year of the six-year program are to be ranked on the basis of need in order of their relative priority. The County Planning Board, following their action on the recommended six-year program did this. Table 2 shows the projects listed in priority order. It should be emphasized that all projects are considered needed in 2013, even though their relative priorities are different.

Financing the County's Projects

There are three sources of funds for financing the County's capital projects. These are general County revenues, project revenues and aid (state and federal). General County revenues primarily consist of property and sales taxes. Project revenues are made up of: 1) special district charges such as Pure Waters assessments; and 2) direct user fees such as fees for airport users, water consumption, or health care at the community hospital. The citizens who use or directly benefit from a project or activity pay some or all of its cost when user fees are charged. The state and federal governments also provide grants-in-aid for specific projects.

Project Financing

Project costs are paid from current operating cash or borrowing. The federal and state governments distribute grants-in-aid for specific projects and in a few instances, private parties, special districts or local governments contribute towards a portion of the project costs. Issuing bonds, a form of borrowing, frequently raises money for capital projects, which are then repaid with interest over a period of years.

When using bonds, the County pledges its faith and credit and the repayment of the bonds legally has the first claim on all the County's general revenues such as property and sales taxes. Bond anticipation notes are issued by the County to borrow funds for the immediate costs of projects for which bonds have been authorized. They permit the County to delay the issuance of long-term bonds until state and federal aid is received and the actual cost of a project to the County is known. The notes then are generally converted to bonds. This helps the County keep its bonded debt at a minimum. The notes are generally issued for one year and may be renewed up to four times for a total of five years. Debt financing of capital projects permits beneficiaries of the facilities to share in their cost during the useful life of the facility and allows the County to undertake several projects immediately rather than delay construction until funds are available from the current operating budget.

Debt Capacity

In order to control the amount of debt which a county may incur, the New York State Constitution has established a debt limit equal to 7% of the five-year average full valuation of real property in the county. Debt related to water facilities improvements and certain other self-supporting items may be excluded from the limit. The economic realities of the ability to repay borrowed money are, however, more stringent and are independently judged by rating agencies such as Moody's Investor's Service, Inc., Standard & Poor's Rating Services and Fitch Ratings. If these agencies judge that the County's ultimate ability to repay borrowed money has decreased, they lower the credit rating and the interest rate paid on funds borrowed in the future increases. Thus, it is important to manage the County's finances so as to maintain a good credit rating. Currently, Monroe County holds an "A3" rating from Moody's Investors Services, Inc., a "BBB+" rating from Standard and Poor's Ratings Services and an "A-" rating from Fitch Ratings.

TABLE 1 - SUMMARY TABLE

		Budget		ANNUAL	PROJECT	COST		Total Cost					
PROGRAM AREA		2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	6 Years					
ADMINISTRATIVE SECTOR			·		·		·						
DEPARTMENT OF INFORMATION	ON SEF												
c = county funds	С	291,000	286,000	908,000	883,000	356,000	318,900	3,042,900					
TOTAL		291,000	286,000	908,000	883,000	356,000	318,900	3,042,900					
PLANNING AND FEASIBILITY - 2	2013-2	018											
c = county funds	С	225,000	225,000	225,000	225,000	225,000	225,000	1,350,000					
TOTAL		225,000	225,000	225,000	225,000	225,000	225,000	1,350,000					
c=county funds	С	516,000	511,000	1,133,000	1,108,000	581,000	543,900	4,392,900					
TOTAL ADMINISTRATIVE SECTOR		516,000	511,000	1,133,000	1,108,000	581,000	543,900	4,392,900					
HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES SECTOR													
		_											
MONROE COMMUNITY COLLEC			47.740.000	11 000 000		0.500.0001	5 700 000l	50 500 000					
c = county funds s = state SUNY	c s	18,770,000 18,770,000	17,710,000 17,710,000	11,880,000 11,880,000	0	2,500,000 2,500,000	5,720,000 5,720,000	56,580,000 56,580,000					
TOTAL	3	37,540,000	35,420,000	23,760,000	0	5,000,000	11,440,000	113,160,000					
•		. ,	. ,	. ,	-1	. ,	. ,	, .,					
MONROE COMMUNITY HOSPIT	AL - 20	013-2018											
e = enterprise fund	е	1,596,000	1,246,000	1,222,000	910,000	1,096,000	931,000	7,001,000					
TOTAL		1,596,000	1,246,000	1,222,000	910,000	1,096,000	931,000	7,001,000					
MONROE COUNTY LIBRARY S	VOTE	I 2042 204	0										
c = county funds		180,000	90,000	90,000	90,000	180.000	225,000	855,000					
TOTAL	С	180,000		90,000	90,000	180,000	225,000	855,000					
1.0.7.2		100,000	00,000	00,000	00,000	.00,000	220,000	000,000					
				,									
c=county funds	С	18,950,000	17,800,000	11,970,000	90,000	2,680,000	5,945,000	57,435,000					
s = state funds	s	18,770,000	17,710,000	11,880,000	0	2,500,000	5,720,000	56,580,000					
		4 500 000	4 0 40 000	4 000 000	040.000	4 000 000	004 000	7 004 000					
e = enterprise fund	е	1,596,000	1,246,000	1,222,000	910,000	1,096,000	931,000	7,001,000					
e = enterprise fund TOTAL HEALTH/HUMAN SERVICES SEC		1,596,000 39,316,000	1,246,000 36,756,000	1,222,000 25,072,000	910,000 1,000,000	1,096,000 6,276,000	931,000 12,596,000	7,001,000 121,016,000					
TOTAL HEALTH/HUMAN SERVICES SEC			, ,										
TOTAL HEALTH/HUMAN SERVICES SECTOR	CTOR	39,316,000	, ,										
PHYSICAL SERVICES SECTOR DEPARTMENT OF AVIATION - 2	2013-2	39,316,000 018	36,756,000	25,072,000	1,000,000	6,276,000	12,596,000	121,016,000					
PHYSICAL SERVICES SECTOR DEPARTMENT OF AVIATION - 2 e = enterprise fund	2013-2 e	39,316,000 018 1,805,000	36,756,000 592,500	25,072,000 2,981,250	1,000,000 4,220,000	6,276,000 4,242,500	12,596,000 316,250	121,016,000 14,157,500					
PHYSICAL SERVICES SECTOR DEPARTMENT OF AVIATION - 2	2013-2	39,316,000 018	36,756,000	25,072,000	1,000,000	6,276,000	12,596,000	121,016,000 14,157,500 2,082,500					
PHYSICAL SERVICES SECTOR DEPARTMENT OF AVIATION - 2 e = enterprise fund s = state funds	2013-2 e s	39,316,000 018 1,805,000 455,000	36,756,000 592,500 392,500	25,072,000 2,981,250 231,250	1,000,000 4,220,000 195,000	6,276,000 4,242,500 542,500	316,250 266,250	121,016,000 14,157,500 2,082,500 52,050,000					
PHYSICAL SERVICES SECTOR DEPARTMENT OF AVIATION - 2 e = enterprise fund s = state funds f = federal funds TOTAL	2013-2 e s	39,316,000 018 1,805,000 455,000 9,740,000	36,756,000 592,500 392,500 9,215,000	25,072,000 2,981,250 231,250 8,787,500	1,000,000 4,220,000 195,000 7,825,000	6,276,000 4,242,500 542,500 5,415,000	316,250 266,250 11,067,500	121,016,000 14,157,500 2,082,500 52,050,000					
PHYSICAL SERVICES SECTOR DEPARTMENT OF AVIATION - 2 e = enterprise fund s = state funds f = federal funds TOTAL PURE WATERS - 2013-2018	2013-2 e s f	39,316,000 018 1,805,000 455,000 9,740,000 12,000,000	36,756,000 592,500 392,500 9,215,000 10,200,000	2,981,250 231,250 8,787,500 12,000,000	1,000,000 4,220,000 195,000 7,825,000 12,240,000	6,276,000 4,242,500 542,500 5,415,000 10,200,000	316,250 266,250 11,067,500 11,650,000	121,016,000 14,157,500 2,082,500 52,050,000 68,290,000					
PHYSICAL SERVICES SECTOR DEPARTMENT OF AVIATION - 2 e = enterprise fund s = state funds f = federal funds TOTAL PURE WATERS - 2013-2018 d = district funds	2013-2 e s	39,316,000 018 1,805,000 455,000 9,740,000 12,000,000 5,175,000	36,756,000 592,500 392,500 9,215,000 10,200,000 4,275,000	25,072,000 2,981,250 231,250 8,787,500 12,000,000	1,000,000 4,220,000 195,000 7,825,000 12,240,000 4,725,000	6,276,000 4,242,500 542,500 5,415,000 10,200,000	316,250 266,250 11,067,500 11,650,000	121,016,000 14,157,500 2,082,500 52,050,000 68,290,000					
PHYSICAL SERVICES SECTOR DEPARTMENT OF AVIATION - 2 e = enterprise fund s = state funds f = federal funds TOTAL PURE WATERS - 2013-2018	2013-2 e s f	39,316,000 018 1,805,000 455,000 9,740,000 12,000,000	36,756,000 592,500 392,500 9,215,000 10,200,000 4,275,000	2,981,250 231,250 8,787,500 12,000,000	1,000,000 4,220,000 195,000 7,825,000 12,240,000	6,276,000 4,242,500 542,500 5,415,000 10,200,000	316,250 266,250 11,067,500 11,650,000	121,016,000 14,157,500 2,082,500 52,050,000 68,290,000					
PHYSICAL SERVICES SECTOR DEPARTMENT OF AVIATION - 2 e = enterprise fund s = state funds f = federal funds TOTAL PURE WATERS - 2013-2018 d = district funds TOTAL	2013-2 e s f	39,316,000 018 1,805,000 455,000 9,740,000 12,000,000 5,175,000 5,175,000	36,756,000 592,500 392,500 9,215,000 10,200,000 4,275,000	25,072,000 2,981,250 231,250 8,787,500 12,000,000	1,000,000 4,220,000 195,000 7,825,000 12,240,000 4,725,000	6,276,000 4,242,500 542,500 5,415,000 10,200,000	316,250 266,250 11,067,500 11,650,000	121,016,000 14,157,500 2,082,500 52,050,000 68,290,000					
PHYSICAL SERVICES SECTOR DEPARTMENT OF AVIATION - 2 e = enterprise fund s = state funds f = federal funds TOTAL PURE WATERS - 2013-2018 d = district funds TOTAL ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES/F	2013-2 e s f	39,316,000 018 1,805,000 455,000 9,740,000 12,000,000 5,175,000 5,175,000 - 2013-2018	592,500 392,500 9,215,000 10,200,000 4,275,000 4,275,000	2,981,250 231,250 8,787,500 12,000,000 2,075,000 2,075,000	1,000,000 4,220,000 195,000 7,825,000 12,240,000 4,725,000 4,725,000	4,242,500 542,500 5,415,000 10,200,000 4,500,000	316,250 266,250 11,067,500 11,650,000 11,250,000	121,016,000 14,157,500 2,082,500 52,050,000 68,290,000 32,000,000 32,000,000					
PHYSICAL SERVICES SECTOR DEPARTMENT OF AVIATION - 2 e = enterprise fund s = state funds f = federal funds TOTAL PURE WATERS - 2013-2018 d = district funds TOTAL	2013-2 e s f	39,316,000 018 1,805,000 455,000 9,740,000 12,000,000 5,175,000 5,175,000	592,500 392,500 9,215,000 10,200,000 4,275,000 722,000	25,072,000 2,981,250 231,250 8,787,500 12,000,000	1,000,000 4,220,000 195,000 7,825,000 12,240,000 4,725,000	6,276,000 4,242,500 542,500 5,415,000 10,200,000	316,250 266,250 11,067,500 11,650,000	121,016,000 14,157,500 2,082,500 52,050,000 68,290,000 32,000,000 32,000,000					
PHYSICAL SERVICES SECTOR DEPARTMENT OF AVIATION - 2 e = enterprise fund s = state funds f = federal funds TOTAL PURE WATERS - 2013-2018 d = district funds TOTAL ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES/Fi c= county funds	2013-2 e s f	39,316,000 018 1,805,000 455,000 9,740,000 12,000,000 5,175,000 5,175,000 - 2013-2018 515,000	592,500 392,500 9,215,000 10,200,000 4,275,000 722,000	2,981,250 231,250 8,787,500 12,000,000 2,075,000 968,000	1,000,000 4,220,000 195,000 7,825,000 12,240,000 4,725,000 4,725,000	4,242,500 542,500 5,415,000 10,200,000 4,500,000 430,000	316,250 266,250 11,067,500 11,650,000 11,250,000 577,000	121,016,000 14,157,500 2,082,500 52,050,000 68,290,000 32,000,000 32,000,000					
PHYSICAL SERVICES SECTOR DEPARTMENT OF AVIATION - 2 e = enterprise fund s = state funds f = federal funds TOTAL PURE WATERS - 2013-2018 d = district funds TOTAL ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES/FI c= county funds TOTAL GEOGRAPHIC INFORMATION S	2013-2 e s f	39,316,000 018 1,805,000 455,000 9,740,000 12,000,000 5,175,000 5,175,000 -2013-2018 515,000 515,000	36,756,000 592,500 392,500 9,215,000 10,200,000 4,275,000 4,275,000 722,000 722,000	25,072,000 2,981,250 231,250 8,787,500 12,000,000 2,075,000 2,075,000 968,000 968,000	1,000,000 4,220,000 195,000 7,825,000 12,240,000 4,725,000 4,725,000 364,000 364,000	4,242,500 542,500 5,415,000 10,200,000 4,500,000 430,000 430,000	316,250 266,250 11,067,500 11,650,000 11,250,000 577,000	121,016,000 14,157,500 2,082,500 52,050,000 68,290,000 32,000,000 32,000,000 3,576,000 3,576,000					
PHYSICAL SERVICES SECTOR DEPARTMENT OF AVIATION - 2 e = enterprise fund s = state funds f = federal funds TOTAL PURE WATERS - 2013-2018 d = district funds TOTAL ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES/FI c= county funds TOTAL GEOGRAPHIC INFORMATION S c= county funds	2013-2 e s f	39,316,000 018 1,805,000 455,000 9,740,000 12,000,000 5,175,000 5,175,000 515,000 515,000 6ES - 2013-2	36,756,000 592,500 392,500 9,215,000 10,200,000 4,275,000 4,275,000 722,000 722,000	25,072,000 2,981,250 231,250 8,787,500 12,000,000 2,075,000 2,075,000 968,000 968,000 216,000	1,000,000 4,220,000 195,000 7,825,000 12,240,000 4,725,000 4,725,000 364,000 216,000	4,242,500 542,500 5,415,000 10,200,000 4,500,000 430,000 216,000	12,596,000 316,250 266,250 11,067,500 11,650,000 11,250,000 577,000 577,000	121,016,000 14,157,500 2,082,500 52,050,000 68,290,000 32,000,000 32,000,000 3,576,000 3,576,000 648,000					
PHYSICAL SERVICES SECTOR DEPARTMENT OF AVIATION - 2 e = enterprise fund s = state funds f = federal funds TOTAL PURE WATERS - 2013-2018 d = district funds TOTAL ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES/FI c= county funds TOTAL GEOGRAPHIC INFORMATION S	e s f	39,316,000 018 1,805,000 455,000 9,740,000 12,000,000 5,175,000 5,175,000 515,000 515,000	36,756,000 592,500 392,500 9,215,000 10,200,000 4,275,000 4,275,000 722,000 722,000	25,072,000 2,981,250 231,250 8,787,500 12,000,000 2,075,000 2,075,000 968,000 968,000	1,000,000 4,220,000 195,000 7,825,000 12,240,000 4,725,000 4,725,000 364,000 364,000	4,242,500 542,500 5,415,000 10,200,000 4,500,000 430,000 430,000	12,596,000 316,250 266,250 11,067,500 11,650,000 11,250,000 577,000 577,000	121,016,000 14,157,500 2,082,500 52,050,000 68,290,000 32,000,000 32,000,000 3,576,000 3,576,000					
PHYSICAL SERVICES SECTOR DEPARTMENT OF AVIATION - 2 e = enterprise fund s = state funds f = federal funds TOTAL PURE WATERS - 2013-2018 d = district funds TOTAL ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES/FI c= county funds TOTAL GEOGRAPHIC INFORMATION S c= county funds	2013-2 e s f d LEET - c	39,316,000 018 1,805,000 455,000 9,740,000 12,000,000 5,175,000 5,175,000 515,000 515,000 EES - 2013-2 0 0	36,756,000 592,500 392,500 9,215,000 10,200,000 4,275,000 4,275,000 722,000 722,000 0	25,072,000 2,981,250 231,250 8,787,500 12,000,000 2,075,000 2,075,000 968,000 968,000 216,000	1,000,000 4,220,000 195,000 7,825,000 12,240,000 4,725,000 4,725,000 364,000 216,000	4,242,500 542,500 5,415,000 10,200,000 4,500,000 430,000 216,000	12,596,000 316,250 266,250 11,067,500 11,650,000 11,250,000 577,000 577,000	121,016,000 14,157,500 2,082,500 52,050,000 68,290,000 32,000,000 32,000,000 3,576,000 3,576,000 648,000					
PHYSICAL SERVICES SECTOR DEPARTMENT OF AVIATION - 2 e = enterprise fund s = state funds f = federal funds TOTAL PURE WATERS - 2013-2018 d = district funds TOTAL ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES/FI c= county funds TOTAL GEOGRAPHIC INFORMATION S c= county funds TOTAL	2013-2 e s f d LEET - c	39,316,000 018 1,805,000 455,000 9,740,000 12,000,000 5,175,000 5,175,000 515,000 515,000 EES - 2013-2 0 0	36,756,000 592,500 392,500 9,215,000 10,200,000 4,275,000 4,275,000 722,000 722,000 0	25,072,000 2,981,250 231,250 8,787,500 12,000,000 2,075,000 2,075,000 968,000 968,000 216,000	1,000,000 4,220,000 195,000 7,825,000 12,240,000 4,725,000 4,725,000 364,000 216,000	4,242,500 542,500 5,415,000 10,200,000 4,500,000 430,000 216,000	12,596,000 316,250 266,250 11,067,500 11,650,000 11,250,000 577,000 577,000	121,016,000 14,157,500 2,082,500 52,050,000 68,290,000 32,000,000 32,000,000 3,576,000 3,576,000 648,000					
PHYSICAL SERVICES SECTOR DEPARTMENT OF AVIATION - 2 e = enterprise fund s = state funds f = federal funds TOTAL PURE WATERS - 2013-2018 d = district funds TOTAL ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES/FI c= county funds TOTAL GEOGRAPHIC INFORMATION S c= county funds TOTAL ENGINEERING AND FACILITIES	e s f CEET - C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	39,316,000 018 1,805,000 455,000 9,740,000 12,000,000 5,175,000 5,175,000 515,000 515,000 6ES - 2013-2 0 0 AGEMENT -	36,756,000 592,500 392,500 9,215,000 10,200,000 4,275,000 722,000 722,000 722,000 00 2013-2018	25,072,000 2,981,250 231,250 8,787,500 12,000,000 2,075,000 2,075,000 968,000 968,000 216,000 216,000	1,000,000 4,220,000 195,000 7,825,000 12,240,000 4,725,000 4,725,000 364,000 216,000 216,000	4,242,500 542,500 5,415,000 10,200,000 4,500,000 430,000 216,000	316,250 266,250 11,067,500 11,650,000 11,250,000 577,000 0	121,016,000 14,157,500 2,082,500 52,050,000 68,290,000 32,000,000 3,576,000 3,576,000 648,000 648,000 20,675,000					
PHYSICAL SERVICES SECTOR DEPARTMENT OF AVIATION - 2 e = enterprise fund s = state funds f = federal funds TOTAL PURE WATERS - 2013-2018 d = district funds TOTAL ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES/F c= county funds TOTAL GEOGRAPHIC INFORMATION S c= county funds TOTAL ENGINEERING AND FACILITIES c= county funds	e s f C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	39,316,000 018 1,805,000 455,000 9,740,000 12,000,000 5,175,000 5,175,000 515,000 515,000 CES - 2013-2 0 0 AGEMENT - 4,050,000	36,756,000 592,500 392,500 9,215,000 10,200,000 4,275,000 722,000 722,000 722,000 018 0 2013-2018 2,575,000	25,072,000 2,981,250 231,250 8,787,500 12,000,000 2,075,000 2,075,000 968,000 968,000 216,000 216,000 2,250,000	1,000,000 4,220,000 195,000 7,825,000 12,240,000 4,725,000 4,725,000 364,000 216,000 216,000 3,025,000	4,242,500 542,500 5,415,000 10,200,000 4,500,000 430,000 216,000 4,500,000	12,596,000 316,250 266,250 11,067,500 11,650,000 11,250,000 577,000 577,000 0 4,275,000	121,016,000 14,157,500 2,082,500 52,050,000 68,290,000 32,000,000 3,576,000 3,576,000 648,000 648,000 20,675,000 1,000,000					
PHYSICAL SERVICES SECTOR DEPARTMENT OF AVIATION - 2 e = enterprise fund s = state funds f = federal funds TOTAL PURE WATERS - 2013-2018 d = district funds TOTAL ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES/F c= county funds TOTAL GEOGRAPHIC INFORMATION S C= county funds TOTAL ENGINEERING AND FACILITIES c= county funds d = district funds	e s f C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	39,316,000 018 1,805,000 455,000 9,740,000 12,000,000 5,175,000 5,175,000 515,000 515,000 6ES - 2013-2 0 0 AGEMENT - 4,050,000 0	36,756,000 592,500 392,500 9,215,000 10,200,000 4,275,000 4,275,000 722,000 722,000 722,000 2013-2018 2,575,000 0	25,072,000 2,981,250 231,250 8,787,500 12,000,000 2,075,000 2,075,000 968,000 968,000 216,000 216,000 0	1,000,000 4,220,000 195,000 7,825,000 12,240,000 4,725,000 4,725,000 364,000 216,000 216,000 0	4,242,500 542,500 5,415,000 10,200,000 4,500,000 430,000 216,000 4,500,000 0	12,596,000 316,250 266,250 11,067,500 11,650,000 11,250,000 577,000 577,000 0 4,275,000 1,000,000	121,016,000 14,157,500 2,082,500 52,050,000 68,290,000 32,000,000 3,576,000 3,576,000 648,000 648,000 20,675,000 1,000,000					
PHYSICAL SERVICES SECTOR DEPARTMENT OF AVIATION - 2 e = enterprise fund s = state funds f = federal funds TOTAL PURE WATERS - 2013-2018 d = district funds TOTAL ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES/F c= county funds TOTAL GEOGRAPHIC INFORMATION S C= county funds TOTAL ENGINEERING AND FACILITIES c= county funds d = district funds	e s f C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	39,316,000 018 1,805,000 455,000 9,740,000 12,000,000 5,175,000 5,175,000 515,000 515,000 6ES - 2013-2 0 0 AGEMENT - 4,050,000 0	36,756,000 592,500 392,500 9,215,000 10,200,000 4,275,000 4,275,000 722,000 722,000 722,000 2013-2018 2,575,000 0	25,072,000 2,981,250 231,250 8,787,500 12,000,000 2,075,000 2,075,000 968,000 968,000 216,000 216,000 0	1,000,000 4,220,000 195,000 7,825,000 12,240,000 4,725,000 4,725,000 364,000 216,000 216,000 0	4,242,500 542,500 5,415,000 10,200,000 4,500,000 430,000 216,000 4,500,000 0	12,596,000 316,250 266,250 11,067,500 11,650,000 11,250,000 577,000 577,000 0 4,275,000 1,000,000	121,016,000 14,157,500 2,082,500 52,050,000 68,290,000 32,000,000 3,576,000 3,576,000 648,000 648,000 20,675,000 1,000,000					
PHYSICAL SERVICES SECTOR DEPARTMENT OF AVIATION - 2 e = enterprise fund s = state funds f = federal funds TOTAL PURE WATERS - 2013-2018 d = district funds TOTAL ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES/F c= county funds TOTAL GEOGRAPHIC INFORMATION S c= county funds TOTAL ENGINEERING AND FACILITIES c= county funds TOTAL PARKS - 2013-2018 c= county funds	e s f C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	39,316,000 018 1,805,000 455,000 9,740,000 12,000,000 5,175,000 5,175,000 515,000 515,000 CES - 2013-2 0 0 AGEMENT - 4,050,000 4,050,000 2,070,000	36,756,000 592,500 392,500 9,215,000 10,200,000 4,275,000 4,275,000 722,000 722,000 0 2013-2018 2,575,000 0 2,575,000 2,205,000	25,072,000 2,981,250 231,250 8,787,500 12,000,000 2,075,000 2,075,000 968,000 968,000 216,000 216,000 0 2,250,000 0 2,250,000 2,230,000	1,000,000 4,220,000 195,000 7,825,000 12,240,000 4,725,000 4,725,000 364,000 216,000 216,000 0 3,025,000 0 2,340,000	4,242,500 542,500 5,415,000 10,200,000 4,500,000 4,500,000 216,000 4,500,000 0 4,500,000	12,596,000 316,250 266,250 11,067,500 11,650,000 11,250,000 577,000 577,000 0 4,275,000 1,000,000 5,275,000 2,340,000	121,016,000 14,157,500 2,082,500 52,050,000 68,290,000 32,000,000 32,000,000 3,576,000 648,000 648,000 20,675,000 1,000,000 21,675,000					
PHYSICAL SERVICES SECTOR DEPARTMENT OF AVIATION - 2 e = enterprise fund s = state funds f = federal funds TOTAL PURE WATERS - 2013-2018 d = district funds TOTAL ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES/FI c= county funds TOTAL GEOGRAPHIC INFORMATION S C= county funds TOTAL ENGINEERING AND FACILITIES c= county funds d = district funds TOTAL PARKS - 2013-2018	e s f d LEET - c C SERVIC c d d	39,316,000 018 1,805,000 455,000 9,740,000 12,000,000 5,175,000 5,175,000 515,000 515,000 CES - 2013-2 0 0 AGEMENT - 4,050,000 4,050,000	36,756,000 592,500 392,500 9,215,000 10,200,000 4,275,000 4,275,000 722,000 722,000 722,000 0 2,575,000 2,205,000	25,072,000 2,981,250 231,250 8,787,500 12,000,000 2,075,000 2,075,000 968,000 968,000 216,000 216,000 0 2,250,000 0 2,250,000	1,000,000 4,220,000 195,000 7,825,000 12,240,000 4,725,000 4,725,000 364,000 216,000 216,000 0 3,025,000	4,242,500 542,500 5,415,000 10,200,000 4,500,000 430,000 216,000 4,500,000 0 4,500,000	12,596,000 316,250 266,250 11,067,500 11,650,000 11,250,000 577,000 577,000 0 4,275,000 1,000,000 5,275,000	121,016,000 14,157,500 2,082,500 52,050,000 68,290,000 32,000,000 32,000,000 3,576,000 648,000 648,000 20,675,000 1,000,000 21,675,000					

TABLE 1 - SUMMARY TABLE									
	Budget		ANNUAL	PROJECT	COST		Total Cost		
PROGRAM AREA	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	6 Years		
HIGHWAYS AND BRIDGES - 2013-201	8								
c = county funds c	8,444,000	9,460,500	9,105,500	11,541,000	11,480,000	12,577,000	62,608,000		
f = federal funds f	2,306,000	2,180,000	4,918,000	3,863,000	4,200,000	0	17,467,000		
s = state funds s	433,000	408,500	919,500	725,000	850,000	0	3,336,000		
TOTAL	11,183,000	12,049,000	14,943,000	16,129,000	16,530,000	12,577,000	83,411,000		
TRAFFIC ENGINEERING - 2013-2018									
c = county funds c	1,800,000	1,900,000	2,325,000	2,425,000	2,050,000	1,950,000	12,450,000		
TOTAL	1,800,000	1,900,000	2,325,000	2,425,000	2,050,000	1,950,000	12,450,000		
WATER AUTHORITY - 2013-2018		·	·	·	·	·	·		
d = district funds d	6,285,000	5,700,000	5,585,000	5,460,000	5,730,000	5,730,000	34,490,000		
TOTAL	6,285,000	5,700,000	5,585,000	5,460,000	5,730,000	5,730,000	34,490,000		
c = county funds c	16,879,000	16,862,500	17,094,500	19,911,000	21,226,000	21,719,000	113,692,000		
f = federal funds f	12,046,000	11,395,000	13,705,500	11,688,000	9,615,000	11,067,500	69,517,000		
s = state funds s	888,000	801,000	1,150,750	920,000	1,392,500	266,250	5,418,500		
d=district d	11,460,000	9,975,000	7,660,000	10,185,000	10,230,000	17,980,000	67,490,000		
e = enterprise fund e	1,805,000	592,500	2,981,250	4,220,000	4,242,500	316,250	14,157,500		
TOTAL PHYSICAL SERVICES SECTOR	43,078,000	39,626,000	42,592,000	46,924,000	46,706,000	51,349,000	270,275,000		
PUBLIC SAFETY SECTOR OFFICE OF THE SHERIFF - 2013-2018		4 000 000	050.000	4 450 000	5.050.000	500.000	40.050.000		
c = county funds c TOTAL	1,100,000	1,000,000	950,000	1,450,000	5,250,000	500,000	10,250,000		
TOTAL	1,100,000	1,000,000	950,000	1,450,000	5,250,000	500,000	10,250,000		
PUBLIC SAFETY SERVICES - 2013-20									
c = county funds c	0	200,000	147,000	125,000	732,000	490,000	1,694,000		
TOTAL	0	200,000							
	·		147,000	125,000	732,000	490,000	1,694,000		
c = county funds c	1,100,000	1,200,000	1,097,000	1,575,000	5,982,000	990,000	11,944,000		
c = county funds c TOTAL PUBLIC SAFETY SECTOR	1,100,000 1,100,000	, ,	, ,	, ,	, ,	, ,	, ,		
•	1,100,000	1,200,000 1,200,000	1,097,000 1,097,000	1,575,000	5,982,000	990,000 990,000	11,944,000 11,944,000		
TOTAL PUBLIC SAFETY SECTOR	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	1,200,000	1,097,000	1,575,000	5,982,000	990,000	11,944,000		
TOTAL PUBLIC SAFETY SECTOR ALL SECTORS	1,100,000	1,200,000 1,200,000 36,373,500 11,395,000	1,097,000 1,097,000 31,294,500 13,705,500	1,575,000 1,575,000	5,982,000 5,982,000	990,000 990,000 29,197,900 11,067,500	11,944,000 11,944,000 187,463,900 69,517,000		
TOTAL PUBLIC SAFETY SECTOR ALL SECTORS c = county funds c	1,100,000	1,200,000 1,200,000 36,373,500 11,395,000 18,511,000	1,097,000 1,097,000 31,294,500 13,705,500 13,030,750	1,575,000 1,575,000 22,684,000	5,982,000 5,982,000 30,469,000 9,615,000 3,892,500	990,000 990,000 29,197,900 11,067,500 5,986,250	11,944,000 11,944,000 187,463,900 69,517,000 61,998,500		
TOTAL PUBLIC SAFETY SECTOR ALL SECTORS c = county funds	1,100,000 37,445,000 12,046,000	1,200,000 1,200,000 36,373,500 11,395,000	1,097,000 1,097,000 31,294,500 13,705,500	1,575,000 1,575,000 22,684,000 11,688,000	5,982,000 5,982,000 30,469,000 9,615,000	990,000 990,000 29,197,900 11,067,500	11,944,000 11,944,000 187,463,900 69,517,000		
TOTAL PUBLIC SAFETY SECTOR ALL SECTORS c = county funds	1,100,000 37,445,000 12,046,000 19,658,000	1,200,000 1,200,000 36,373,500 11,395,000 18,511,000	1,097,000 1,097,000 31,294,500 13,705,500 13,030,750	1,575,000 1,575,000 22,684,000 11,688,000 920,000	5,982,000 5,982,000 30,469,000 9,615,000 3,892,500	990,000 990,000 29,197,900 11,067,500 5,986,250	11,944,000 11,944,000 187,463,900 69,517,000 61,998,500		

TABLE 2 - 2013 CAPITAL PROJECT PRIORITIES

			FU	UNDING SOURC	E	
CAPITAL PROJECTS	ESTIMATED 2013 PROJECT BUDGET	FEDERAL FUNDS	STATE FUNDS	DISTRICT FUNDS	ENTERPRISE FUNDS	COUNTY FUNDS
Rehabilitate Taxiway "H"	1,500,000	1,425,000	37,500	0	37,500	0
Airfield Lighting Upgrade	1,000,000	950,000	25,000	0	25,000	0
Rehabilitate Taxiways A1, A3, and N	1,050,000	1,000,000	25,000	0	25,000	0
Bridge Preventative Maintenance	334,000	267,000	50,000	0	0	17,000
Combined System Tunnel System Imp.	2,700,000	0	0	2,700,000	0	0
Combined System Ped. Bridge Imp.	900,000	0	0	900,000	0	0
IBSC Pump Station & Interceptor Imp.	450,000	0	0	450,000	0	0
NWQ Pump Station & Interceptor Imp.	450,000	0	0	450,000	0	0
GCO Collection System Improvements	675,000	0	0	675,000	0	0
Equipment/Furnishings/Resident Care	439,000	0	0	0	439,000	0
EMAS System Improvements	2,000,000	1,900,000	50,000	0	50,000	0
General Aviation Apron Rehabilitation	200,000	190,000	5,000	0	5,000	0
Civic Center Complex Reconstruction	450,000	, 0	0	0	0	450,000
County Office Building Reconstruction	1,000,000	0	0	0	0	1,000,000
Water Main Rehabilitation	1,500,000	0	0	1,500,000	0	0
Faith 2 & 3 Renovations	600,000	0	0	0	600,000	0
Faith Elevator Control Modernization	267,000	0	0	0	267,000	0
North Ramp Improvements	2,000,000	1,900,000	50,000	0	50,000	0
Refurbish Passenger Loading Bridges	750,000	0	0	0	750,000	0
Spot Improvement Projects	500,000	0	0	0	0	500,000
Buildings and Structures	650,000	0	0	0	0	650,000
Twin Bridge Rd. Bridge over Oatka Creek	768,000	614,000	115,000	0	0	39,000
Storage Facilities Rehabilitation	2,615,000	0	0	2,615,000	0	0
Monroe Co. Jail/Correctional Facility Imp.	750,000	0	0	0	0	750,000
Heavy Equipment	1,500,000	1,425,000	37,500	0	37,500	0
General Improvements	600,000	0	0	0	0	600,000
County-wide Communications Infrastructure	291,000	0	0	0	0	291,000
Downtown Campus	28,000,000	0	14,000,000	0	0	14,000,000
Infrastructure Improvements	133,000	0	0	0	133,000	0
Environmental Compliance Projects	500,000	475,000	12,500	0	12,500	0
Hall of Justice Elevator Improvements	2,000,000	0	0	0	0	2,000,000
Long Pond Rd. Bridge over Round Pond Cr.	606,000	485,000	91,000	0	0	30,000
Traffic Engineering	500,000	0	0	0	0	500,000
Information Systems Upgrade	500,000	0	0	0	500,000	0
Milling/Resurfacing/Recycling	2,700,000	0	0	0	0	2,700,000
Culvert Replacement Program	1,200,000	0	0	0	0	1,200,000
Highway Rehabilitation Program	3,400,000	0	0	0	0	3,400,000
South Ave Elmwood Ave/Bellvue Dr. &						
Elmwood Ave Mt. Hope/South Ave. (City)	1,000,000	o	0	0	0	1,000,000
Sheriff's Shotgun and Rifle Replacement	350,000	0	0	0	0	350,000
Exterior, Site and Utility Improvements	157,000	0	0	0	157,000	0
Stone Road - Mt. Read Blvd. to Lynette Dr.	560,000	448,000	84,000	0	0	28,000
Hydrant Replacement Program	200,000	0	0	200,000	0	0
Valve Replacement Program	200,000	0	0	200,000	0	0
Residential Meter Replacement & Upgrade	1,450,000	0	0	1,450,000	0	0
Property Preservation Projects Phase 2	1,000,000	0	500,000	0	0	500,000
Property Acquisition	500,000	475,000	12,500	0	12,500	0
Utilities, Access and Site Improvements	650,000	0	0	0	0	650,000
Public Safety Building/Building 21	6,140,000	0	3,070,000	0	0	3,070,000
Alternative Energy	500,000	0	200,000	0	300,000	0
Equipment Traffic Engineering	146,000	0	0	0	0	146,000
Equipment/Vehicles Highways and Bridges	119,000	0	0	0	0	119,000

Italics Denotes a New Project

TABLE 2 - 2013 CAPITAL PROJECT PRIORITIES

		FUNDING SOURCE					
	ESTIMATED						
CAPITAL PROJECTS	2013 PROJECT	FEDERAL	STATE	DISTRICT	ENTERPRISE	COUNTY	
	BUDGET	FUNDS	FUNDS	FUNDS	FUNDS	FUNDS	
Erie Station Rd W. Henrietta Rd. to							
Middle Rd.	84,000	67,000	13,000	0	0	4,000	
Large Meter Replacement Upgrade Program	320,000	0	0	320,000	0	0	
Parking and Loop Road	2,400,000	0	1,200,000	0	0	1,200,000	
Preventative Maintenance							
Bridges/Rustic Rail	531,000	425,000	80,000	0	0	26,000	
Equipment/Vehicles Parks	250,000	0	0	0	0	250,000	
Planning and Feasibility	225,000	0	0	0	0	225,000	
Powder Mills Park - Master Plan Imp.	770,000	0	0	0	0	770,000	
City of Rochester Traffic Features	500,000	0	0	0	0	500,000	
Library System Automation	180,000	0	0	0	0	180,000	
Traffic Sign Retroreflectivity Upgrade	300,000	0	0	0	0	300,000	
GRAND TOTALS	84,010,000	12,046,000	19,658,000	11,460,000	3,401,000	37,445,000	

Italics Denotes a New Project

User Charges

Projects, activities and services for which the specific users or beneficiaries can be identified and to whom the benefits of the service can be confined, lend themselves to charging user fees. The products and services of the private sector of our economy are of this nature and are called 'private goods'. To the extent that a capital project has the characteristics of private goods, it becomes feasible to support an appropriate portion of its debt service and operating costs through direct charges to those who benefit from it. This permits the County to offer this service with little or no dependence on general tax support for the project, allowing more public monies to be allocated to other public services. Projects for the following are financed primarily by user charges without taxpayer support in Monroe County: the Airport; Water Authority; Monroe Community Hospital; the Pure Waters Division of the Department of Environmental Services; and the Solid Waste Division of the Department of Environmental Services.


Special Assessments

Projects, which benefit a specific group of properties, can have the financing and construction of these public improvements financed through special assessment arrangements. The costs of extending a water or sewer line can be assessed against the abutting properties rather than charged against the taxpayers as a whole. Special assessments are often paid in installments over a number of years and cover both the expenditure and the financing. This form of financing is rarely used in Monroe County.

Sources of Funding

The various sources of funding for the Capital Improvement Program can be found indicated in the Table 1 - Summary Table and in the graphic below titled 2013-2018 CIP Source of Funds.

2013-2018 CIP Source of Funds



Fiscal Policy and Debt Service

In preparing Monroe County's 2013-2018 Capital Improvement Program, great care was taken to insure sound fiscal management. This is necessary to assure:

- That the County is able to finance approved projects as they reach implementation stage:
- That the State constitutional debt limit is not exceeded;
- That the County maintains a good credit rating so as to minimize the cost of borrowing funds for capital projects;

4) That the annual repayment cost for the debt incurred is kept within reasonable limits so as not to overburden County taxpayers.

Monroe County uses only a small portion of its State constitutional debt limit. The objective is to maintain an annual debt service burden that is clearly within the County's ability to pay.

In evaluating projects for inclusion in the CIP, two sets of criteria are used:

- For projects to be funded by State, Federal and other aid or whose local costs will be financed by user charges, the criteria are:
 - the project is needed and appropriate; and
 - it can be financed with the aid or user charges and thus not entail a general County budget charge.
- 2) For projects to be funded in whole or in part with general County budget funds, the criteria are:
 - out of all the projects that need to be done, this project's priority is high enough to be funded; and
 - the aggregate annual cost of all approved projects should be kept within reasonable limits so as not to overburden County taxpayers.

All debt obligations shall be issued with extreme care including debt of those enterprises for which the County is contingently liable.

The County Administration's debt policy provides that debt shall be issued to finance capital projects where other funding sources are not immediately available. The debt will be structured in the most efficient manner to limit the impact on the taxpayer and will take payment of existing debt obligations into consideration as well as the total projected operating cost of the County. The use of cash capital through the operating budget will be utilized to finance projects with a short useful life or with costs estimated at \$100,000 or less or for portions of the cost of other projects as financial and budgetary conditions permit without placing undue pressures on the taxpayers. The Monroe County Legislature included \$100,000 in the General Fund and \$3,300,000 in the Rochester Pure Waters District Fund in the 2012 County Budget for cash capital purposes. Debt may be issued for cash flow purposes if needed. Any and all debt issues will be structured and sold in accordance with sound debt management practices.

Capital Project Operating Cost Impacts

Article VII, Section A7-1, of the Monroe County Code requires that the Capital Improvement Program include "... the estimated effect of each capital project in the first two (2) years of the program upon operating costs of the county within each of the three (3) fiscal years following completion of the project." The Operating Budget impact (including debt service) is detailed under the heading "Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget" included in the descriptions for each project.

Net County Debt Service Projections

The overall debt service impacts of the projects to be funded in whole or in part with general County budget funds is displayed in Table 3 - Net Debt Service Projection. Typically, these projects are related to County operations contained within the General, Road, Library, and Internal Service Funds.

Table 3 - NET DEBT SERVICE PROJECTION (000'S)

DEBT SERVICE PROJECTION	2013 ESTIMATE	2014 ESTIMATE	2015 ESTIMATE	2016 ESTIMATE	2017 ESTIMATE	2018 ESTIMATE
2013-2018 CIP DEBT:	\$ 0	\$ 4,100	\$ 8,100	\$ 11,500	\$13,900	\$16,800
AUTHORIZED BUT UNISSUED DEBT:	\$ 5,300	\$ 7,700	\$ 7,700	\$ 7,700	\$ 7,700	\$ 7,700
EXISTING DEBT:	\$35,400	\$33,200	\$27,600	\$25,400	\$23,300	\$17,700
TOTAL DEBT SERVICE PROJECTION:	\$40,700	\$45,000	\$43,400	\$44,600	\$44,900	\$42,200

Notes:

- 1) Debt that is offset by revenues from self-supporting areas such as the Airport, Pure Waters, Solid Waste (other than the Resource Recovery Facility), and Community Hospital is not included.
- 2) The above projections are based on numerous assumptions including future interest rates and actual timing of construction of projects. Deviations in any of the actual data from the assumptions used may materially alter actual results. Thus, actual results will vary over time from these projections. The principal assumptions are:
 - Bonds will bear 6% interest.
 - Projected expenditures may not occur in the year programmed in the Capital Improvement Program.

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

ADMINISTRATIVE SECTOR

Strategic Framework

Vision

Monroe County is a safe and healthy community, which respects the diversity and dignity of its citizens. All have access to a variety of cultural, educational and recreational activities and the opportunity to achieve their full potential to be productive and self-reliant. Monroe County is a community dedicated to excellence.

To create this environment, Monroe County Government ensures County resources are effectively utilized by working in partnership with community, education, business and other government bodies to provide easy access to programs and services. We are a responsive, action oriented service provider whose employees are prepared to meet customer needs.

Mission

Monroe County Government, through the dedicated and productive efforts of employees, elected officials and citizens provides:

- Public services that contribute to the quality of life and provide a safe, healthy, prosperous and stimulating environment for the community
- Leadership that sets the community agenda and aligns resources
- Practices that add highest value to everything we do

This will be achieved by creating an environment of continuous learning and improvement.

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

DEPARTMENT OF INFORMATION SERVICES

HIGHLIGHTS OF CAPITAL PROGRAM

• Implementation of an enterprise-wide infrastructure for voice, data storage and video communications.

Monroe County's Information Services Department provides solutions to meet the County's requirements for information for both internal departments and external customers such as towns, villages, the City and citizens. The Department coordinates the installation, operation and maintenance of County mid-range and microcomputers. It is also responsible for monitoring and evaluating technological developments and formulating standards and guidelines for computing within the Monroe County government.



County-wide Communications Infrastructure:

In 2011, disk storage was added to accommodate county wide data growth and the addition of multiple virtual servers. This storage purchase also included appliances to virtualize this, and future storage. Virtualizing this storage will allow future maintenance and storage replacements to be performed without service interruption. Storage units were also purchased to accommodate a disaster recovery project for several key systems.

New wireless endpoints were added to several locations to facilitate end user's needs, and a new backup system was purchased for the County's new fault tolerant email environment.

Some of the County's network cabling was replaced to maintain network quality and additional cabling was required to connect several new facilities and provide connectivity within those facilities.

County-wide ERP Updates:

In 2011 the upgrade to the current version of SAP was completed. The newest version is up and running. A few additional changes will continue over the next few months with the remaining funds.



DEPARTMENT OF INFORMATION SERVICES - 2013-2018

	Budget		ANNUAL	PROJECT	COST		Total Cost
PROJECT NAME	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	6 Years
County-wide Communications Infrastructure c	291,000	286,000	350,000	352,000	356,000	318,900	1,953,900
County Wide ERP Updates c			558,000	531,000			1,089,000
TOTAL c	291,000	286,000	908,000	883,000	356,000	318,900	3,042,900

County-wide Communications Infrastructure

Project Description: This project provides for an enterprise-wide infrastructure for data storage and communications. This network will eventually encompass all Monroe County Offices at all County business locations. The network will provide connectivity to the State of New York, the City of Rochester, County Towns and Villages, Monroe Community College, Monroe County Library, and access to the Internet. The strategy will be flexible enough to accommodate multiple network topologies and multiple hardware configurations. It will incorporate existing structures whenever possible, and allow for future growth and expansion. This project is beyond the scope of computer and telecommunication services provided to Monroe County by Upstate Telecommunications Corporation LDC, a not-for-profit local development corporation.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life:5 yearsAnnual Debt Service Payment:\$360,800Annual Operating Costs:\$ 0Attributable Revenues:\$108,240Net Operating Budget Impact:\$252,560

County Wide ERP Updates

Project Description: This project provides for the County wide ERP (Enterprise Resource Planning) system that is used for functions such as human resources, finance and payroll. This project is beyond the scope of computer and telecommunication services provided to Monroe County by Upstate Telecommunications Corporation LDC, a not-for-profit local development corporation.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life: 5 years
Annual Debt Service Payment: \$201,100
Annual Operating Costs: \$ 0
Attributable Revenues: \$60,300
Net Operating Budget Impact: \$140,800

PLANNING AND FEASIBILITY STUDIES

HIGHLIGHTS OF CAPITAL PROGRAM

Provide adequate funding for needed capital project evaluation.

Planning and Feasibility Studies is presented under the Administrative Sector because this important program is administered primarily through the joint efforts of the County Executive's Office and Department of Environmental Services, Division of Engineering and is used to support the entire range of County departments and agencies in their capital program evaluation needs.

Planning and Feasibility

Project Description: This program provides funds for preengineering work, detailed project plans, special data needs such as aerial photography or site specific analysis and public participation efforts necessary for programs and individual capital projects. These funds will enable better evaluation of alternatives and preparation of detailed project designs and should result in better cost estimates. Funding of this program has been ongoing.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life:	5 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$320,500
Annual Operating Costs:	\$ 0
Attributable Revenues:	\$ 0
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$320,500

PLANNING AND FEASIBILITY - 2013-2018

	Budget		ANNUAL	PROJECT	COST		Total Cost
PROJECT NAME	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	6 Years
Planning and Feasibility c	225,000	225,000	225,000	225,000	225,000	225,000	1,350,000
TOTAL c	225,000	225,000	225,000	225,000	225,000	225,000	1,350,000

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

HEALTH & HUMAN SERVICES SECTOR

Strategic Framework

Vision

Monroe County is a safe and healthy community which respects the diversity and dignity of its citizens. All have access to a variety of Health and Human Services and the opportunity to achieve their full potential to be productive and self-reliant. Monroe County is a community dedicated to excellence.

The Health and Human Services sector will provide, either directly or through cooperative partnerships, innovative and efficient services that meet the health, economic and social needs of the community.

Mission


Health and Human Services is a sector of county government that provides health related, economic and social programs for the citizens of the community to ensure an opportunity for a healthier and more self-reliant life style.

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

MONROE COMMUNITY COLLEGE

HIGHLIGHTS OF CAPITAL PROGRAM

- New support building to house the combined departments of Purchasing, Receiving, Facilities, and Campus Safety and Security.
- The beginning of a second phase of infrastructure projects to reduce deferred maintenance and preserve the campus asset.
- Reconstruction of campus roads and parking lots.



The state, students and local sponsors (Monroe County) share operating costs at the community college. State aid is determined both by statute and by regulations of the State University Trustees. As provided by statute, the actual aid formula is set forth in regulations adopted by the University Trustees and approved by the Director of the Budget. Since 1977-78, all 30 SUNY community colleges have adopted Full Opportunity Plans (FOP), which require them to accept all high school graduates in the sponsorship area in exchange for enhanced state funding.

In January 1991, the college established the Damon City Campus in downtown Rochester to provide a convenient educational alternative for those who live and work in the city. The programs and needs of the students currently have grown beyond the capacity of this facility. The MCC Board of Trustees has recently selected a new downtown campus site.

During academic year 2008-2009, MCC enrolled 37,301 credit and non-credit students, making it the third largest community college in the SUNY system More than 80 SUNY approved programs/curriculums are offered as contrasted to thirty-five in 1983, indicating responsiveness to both student's vocational interests and the expressed work force needs of local business, industry and organizations.

New York State shares community college capital project costs equally with Monroe County. The State's share may be financed from regular state appropriations or through issuance of bonds by the Dormitory Authority.



Status of Previously Programmed Projects:

Projects implemented in 2011 include:

- Completion of the renovations to Building 9 which resulted in creation of 10 additional classrooms and a marked increase in energy efficiency.
- Replacement of 3 electrical substations on campus serving the majority of the oldest buildings on campus.
- Upgrades to 3 of the most used elevators on campus.

Projects planned for 2012 include:

- Continue to design the Damon City Campus.
- Completion of the final projects in the first phase of the Property Preservation Project.
- Continuation of brick and concrete repair at Brighton Campus.
- Continuation of the window replacements.
- Design of the entrance roadway and front loop improvements.
- Design for the second Phase of Property preservation projects.

MONROE COMMUNITY COLLEGE - 2013-2018

		Budget		ANNUAL	PROJECT	COST		Total Cost
PROJECT NAME		2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	6 Years
Downtown Campus	С	14,000,000	14,000,000	4,500,000				32,500,000
	S	14,000,000	14,000,000	4,500,000				32,500,000
	total	28,000,000	28,000,000	9,000,000	0	0	0	65,000,000
Public Safety Building/Building 21	С	3,070,000						3,070,000
	S	3,070,000						3,070,000
	total	6,140,000	0	0	0	0	0	6,140,000
Parking & Loop Road	С	1,200,000						1,200,000
	S	1,200,000						1,200,000
	total	2,400,000	0	0	0	0	0	2,400,000
Property Preservation Projects	С	500,000	3,710,000	7,380,000				11,590,000
Phase 2	S	500,000	3,710,000	7,380,000				11,590,000
	total	1,000,000	7,420,000	14,760,000	0	0	0	23,180,000
Capital Equipment Replacement -	С					2,500,000	2,500,000	5,000,000
Technology	S					2,500,000	2,500,000	5,000,000
	total	0	0	0	0	5,000,000	5,000,000	10,000,000
North Academic Building/Building 6	С						3,220,000	3,220,000
Renovation	S						3,220,000	3,220,000
	total	0	0	0	0	0	6,440,000	6,440,000
c = county funds	С	18,770,000	, ,	11,880,000		2,500,000	, ,	56,580,000
s = state SUNY	S	18,770,000	17,710,000	11,880,000		2,500,000	5,720,000	56,580,000
TOTAL		37,540,000	35,420,000	23,760,000	0	5,000,000	11,440,000	113,160,000

Italics denotes a new project

Downtown Campus

Project Description: The College has selected a site for its new Downtown Campus in an existing building complex. The current programs at the Damon City Campus will be transferred to this new location as soon as development is complete. The SEQR process and design activities will begin in 2012 and redevelopment of the building complex is expected to be completed in 2015.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type I Action and will require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life:	20 years	
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$2,833,50	0
Annual Operating Costs:	\$	0
Attributable Revenues:	\$	0
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$2,833,50	0

Public Safety Building/Building 21

Project Description: This project will renovate and add approximately 16,000 square feet to the existing Building 21 Campus Services Complex, improving operations for the purchasing and receiving department and providing essential centralized office space for the Security and Safety department. Additional space will also be constructed for the maintenance department for storage and repair of equipment required to maintain the campus. Additionally, parking for security vehicles will be relocated from the very congested front loop area.

Environmental Review: This project is an Unlisted Action and will require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life:	20 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$267,700
Annual Operating Costs:	\$ 70,143
Attributable Revenues:	\$ <u>0</u>
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$337,843

Parking & Loop Road Improvements

Project Description: This project will continue the construction of improvements to reduce traffic congestion and the vehicle accident rate on campus. As the campus continues to grow, additional parking and traffic circulation improvements are needed to maintain a safe campus. Additional improvements are anticipated at the front entrance of the campus to improve safety and aesthetics. Additional parking is needed to support both existing and new facilities. This project will also address deferred maintenance issues concurrently with the planned improvements.

Environmental Review: This project is an Unlisted Action and will require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life:	•	15 ye	ears
Annual Debt Service Payment:		\$123	3,600
Annual Operating Costs:		\$	0
Attributable Revenues:		\$	0
Net Operating Budget Impact:		\$123	3,600

Property Preservation Projects Phase 2

Project Description: The second phase of the Property Preservation Project will focus on improvements that directly impact the quality and delivery of instruction and management of the college operations. This includes the upgrade and modernization of the electrical, mechanical, life safety, and communications infrastructure at all campus locations. These upgrades include power, water, heating and cooling, cable and optic pathways, improving connectivity and security/life safety systems. Code compliance issues will also be addressed.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Lite:	20 yea	ars
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$1,01	0,500
Annual Operating Costs:	\$	0
Attributable Revenues:	\$	0
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$1,01	0,500

Capital Equipment Replacement - Technology

Project Description: This project will replace large equipment pieces that have a predictable useful life and replacement schedule, such as core switches, servers, distribution frames and emergency generators. Items recently replaced will be due for replacement again in 2017-2018 due to capacity issues, increased bandwidth demands, and obsolescence.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life:	5	years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$	1,187,000
Annual Operating Costs:	\$	0
Attributable Revenues:	\$	0
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$	31,187,000

North Academic Building/Building 6 Renovation

Project Description: This project involves the renovation of building 6 and the construction of a 130,000 square foot academic building eliminating the need for the "temporary" classrooms adjacent to building 9. Included will be the renovation of instructional and support spaces in Building 6 to address fire code issues and the cooling plant required for the Computer Center. The North Building will also serve as a gateway to connect the academic campus to the growing oncampus residential village, and anchor a corridor for future development.

Environmental Review: This project is an Unlisted Action and will require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life:	20 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$280,700
Annual Operating Costs:	\$ 0
Attributable Revenues:	\$ 0
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$280,700

MONROE COMMUNITY HOSPITAL

HIGHLIGHTS OF CAPITAL PROGRAM

 Provides a balanced series of projects that will maintain the facility's infrastructure, modernize patient care delivery system and ensure the safety and comfort of our residents.

Monroe Community Hospital (MCH) is a 566 bed chronic care Residential Health Care Facility (RHCF), owned and operated by the County of Monroe. The hospital is nationally recognized for its research in geriatrics and chronic diseases. It is a unique health care institution within the metropolitan area, serving residents from one month to over 100 years of age. MCH is unique in its services provided to the younger populations of the area. It provides many specialty units focused on care of disabilities, which cannot be cared for in other facilities in the region.

In addition, the facility provides residents with special ambulatory consultative services, as well as many subspecialties to serve their complex medical needs. Monroe Community Hospital's medical staffs, who are faculty members of the University of Rochester School of Medicine and Dentistry, are nationally recognized leaders in researching the areas of gerontology and the causes of chronic illness.

Status of Previously Programmed Projects

A dynamic health care facility must continue to keep the physical plant, medical service and patient furnishings functional. The capital program has addressed these issues through a phased program that addressed the upgrading of many of the major physical systems of the Hospital. Between 1995 and 2009, a major construction effort was undertaken in the form of the Master Facilities Plan renovations. The project provided a modern resident living facility through total renovation of the Hope and Friendship Buildings. Work continues in the Faith Building and on facility-wide mechanical and structural systems.

Renovations to the first floor at MCH were begun in 2001 and were completed in 2008. These renovations included the interior renovation of the Hope and Garden Lobby as well as construction of a Gift Shop for residents, families, staff and the public. Conversion of existing space to create additional private rooms to serve residents with complex infections and those requiring short term rehabilitation began in 2009. This work, along with modernization of the rehabilitation therapy clinics will be completed in January 2012.

In 2009, the facility completed an energy conservation and major utility systems upgrade involving the Faith Building. Additionally, significant work towards providing full fire protection sprinklers throughout the facility was initiated. All work was completed in 2010, ahead of the Federal 2013



deadline. Exterior masonry repairs to the facade were begun in 2007 and were completed in 2011. The Roadway and Paving project started in 2010 was completed in 2011; and the Faith Roof replacement is slated for completion in 2012.

Work continues on a complete clinical and financial software systems upgrade. The placement of computer based "care tracking" kiosks in nursing unit corridors started in 2009. Such kiosks will improve quality of care and reimbursement potential by more closely monitoring services delivered to MCH residents. Infrastructure and equipment required for the transition to an Electronic Medical Record were initiated in 2011 and are scheduled for completion in 2012.

Each year, the facility prioritizes the replacement of routine equipment and furnishings such as beds, wheelchairs, fixtures, kitchen and meal delivery equipment, laundry and grounds equipment, etc. Critical patient care equipment is replaced on a planned schedule based on Medicare's "useful life" equipment schedules.

MONROE COMMUNITY HOSPITAL - 2013-2018

		Budget		ANNUAL	PROJECT	COST		Total Cost
PROJECT NAME		2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	6 Years
Faith 2 & 3 Renovations	е	600,000	600,000					1,200,000
Faith Elevator Control Modernization	е	267,000	267,000	267,000				801,000
Exterior, Site and Utility Improvements	е	157,000						157,000
Infrastructure Improvements	е	133,000		103,000	104,000	106,000	107,000	553,000
Equipment/Furnishings/Resident Care	е	439,000	379,000	474,000	624,000	804,000	635,000	3,355,000
Interior Improvements	е			178,000	182,000	186,000	189,000	735,000
Roadway/Parking Lot Resurfacing	е			200,000				200,000
TOTAL	е	1,596,000	1,246,000	1,222,000	910,000	1,096,000	931,000	7,001,000

Italics denotes a new project

Faith 2 & 3 Renovations

Project Description: This project is for the renovation of the second and third floors of the Faith Building. The work will include, but not be limited to, the addition of new flooring, hand rails, corner guards, electrical fixtures, wallpaper and painting.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

	· • •	
Bond Life:	20	years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$10	4,600
Annual Operating Costs:	\$	0
Attributable Revenues:	\$10	4,600
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$	0

Faith Elevator Control Modernization

Project Description: This project is for the upgrade of the generators and drive motors in the three Faith elevators.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Lottinated Attitude impact on the operat	iiig Du	aget.
Bond Life:	10 ye	ears
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$108	3,800
Annual Operating Costs:	\$	0
Attributable Revenues:	\$108	3,800
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$	0

Exterior, Site and Utility Improvements

Project Description: This project will fund exterior general improvements throughout/around the Hospital complex. Examples to include data switch upgrade and chiller plant upgrade.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life:	10 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$21,300
Annual Operating Costs:	\$ 0
Attributable Revenues:	\$21,300
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$ 0

Infrastructure Improvements

Project Description: This project will fund general infrastructure improvements throughout and around the Hospital complex. Examples are water, electric, emergency generators, waste water and heating, ventilation and air conditioning system replacements, as well as installation and upgrades to fire protection and security systems.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life:	10 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$75,100
Annual Operating Costs:	\$ 0
Attributable Revenues:	\$75,100
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$ 0

Equipment/Furnishings/Resident Care

Project Description: This project provides for the ongoing replacement of beds, resident room furnishings, patient lifts and wheelchairs and other patient support equipment. In addition it provides funds for the replacement of computers, servers, printers, and related software upgrades. It will also be a funding source for other support departments of the Hospital such as laundry, environmental services, maintenance and dietary departments.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life:	5 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$796,500
Annual Operating Costs:	\$ 0
Attributable Revenues:	<u>\$796,500</u>
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$ 0

Interior Improvements

Project Description: This project will fund interior general improvements, specifically Marmoleum flooring in the Friendship building.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life:	•	10 y	ears
Annual Debt Service Payment:		\$99,	900
Annual Operating Costs:		\$	0
Attributable Revenues:		\$99,	900
Net Operating Budget Impact:		\$	0

Roadway/Parking Lot Resurfacing

Project Description: This project is established for the paving of parking lots number 1 and 5 on the MCH campus.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	_	_
Bond Life:	15	years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$20	,600
Annual Operating Costs:	\$	0
Attributable Revenues:	\$20	0,600
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$	0

MONROE COUNTY LIBRARY SYSTEM

HIGHLIGHTS OF CAPITAL PROGRAM

Provide for the upgrade of the library computer system.

The Monroe County Library System (MCLS) is an association of autonomous local public libraries working together to improve library services for residents of Monroe County. Established in 1952 by the Monroe County Board of Supervisors, MCLS is chartered by the New York State Board of Regents and directed by an eleven-member board of trustees appointed by the County Legislature. Its members include the libraries of sixteen towns, two villages, a school district and the City of Rochester. The Rundel Memorial Building and the Bausch and Lomb Public Library Building serve as the Central Library for the system. Each library retains its own autonomy under the governance of its own board of trustees. The System improves library services by increasing access to information, by reducing the cost of repetitive, labor-intensive library operations and by providing for sharing of resources.

The Monroe County Library System and the Central Library of Rochester and Monroe County provide core services to the public libraries and their customers/patrons across Monroe County. This is accomplished by leveraging information technology and web based resources, ensuring resource sharing and the delivery of materials, providing research expertise and collection support, maximizing purchasing power and spearheading research and development for collaborative initiatives.

Because the service philosophy of MCLS focuses on services to its members which can achieve economies of scale, requests for capital projects will be also limited to those services, which are system wide, serving all the residents of Monroe County. Automated library services are appropriate to this operating philosophy due to the ability of automation to handle large amounts of information that can be shared among libraries.

Status of Previously Programmed Projects

Library Automation: The Library Automation System was installed in 1993 and connects all the public library personal computers in Monroe County to a centralized circulation, catalog, database and the Internet. Over the past several years the system has been expanded to accommodate over 2,000 personal computers and the telecommunications system has been reconfigured to accommodate LAN/WAN connectivity throughout the Monroe County Library System. Access to the catalogs of all public libraries in Monroe County



and a number of full text databases and digitized local historical documents are now provided to the residents of Monroe County over the Internet. Monroe County residents can place holds, renew library materials, and conduct research from their homes or offices.

The Monroe County Library System completed a major upgrade to the integrated library system in 2011. This has continued the program of providing cost effective, state of the art automation service to all the public libraries and library patrons in Monroe County.

Library System Automation

Project Description: The MCLS Automation system provides on-line catalog, circulation, acquisitions, databases, internet access, and other services to all thirty-three public libraries in Monroe County. The Automation Project will provide updated hardware, software, and telecommunications equipment on a yearly basis with a major upgrade of the System planned for 2011-2012. Computer replacements are scheduled for 2013 and 2017. This project is beyond the scope of computer and telecommunication services provided to Monroe County by Upstate Telecommunications Corporation LDC, a not-for-profit local development corporation.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life: 5 years
Annual Debt Service Payment: \$225,500
Annual Operating Costs: \$ 0
Attributable Revenues: \$ 0
Net Operating Budget Impact: \$225,500

MONROE COUNTY LIBRARY SYSTEM - 2013-2018

		Budget ANNUAL PROJECT COST					Total Cost	
PROJECT NAME		2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	6 Years
Library System Automation	С	180,000	90,000	90,000	90,000	180,000	225,000	855,000
c=county funds	С	180,000	90,000	90,000	90,000	180,000	225,000	855,000
TOTAL		180,000	90,000	90,000	90,000	180,000	225,000	855,000

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

PHYSICAL SERVICES SECTOR

Strategic Framework

Vision

The Physical Services departments are a cohesive team of proud and empowered employees working collectively to continuously improve the quality of public services

Mission

The Physical Services sector is a grouping of interrelated departments providing responsive services to plan, build, operate and maintain a safe and efficient infrastructure and a health environment. These services enhance the economic well-being and quality of life in the community.

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

DEPARTMENT OF AVIATION

HIGHLIGHTS OF CAPITAL PROGRAM

- North Ramp Improvements
- Airfield Lighting Upgrade
- EMAS System Improvements
- Alternative Energy
- Heavy Equipment

The Department of Aviation administers the Greater International Airport, a County-operated Rochester international airport, one of the busiest commercial air facilities in New York State. The airport was leased to the Monroe County Airport Authority in 1989. The lease provided that all revenue generated by various operations at the airport would remain with the Airport Authority. The Authority contracted with the County to perform the functions previously handled by the County and the County Legislature continued its role in the awarding of contracts related to activities at the field. As agent for the Authority, County personnel continue to perform direct services such as building and field maintenance, security and emergency response. These services by the County include the leasing of land and terminal space to the airlines, concessionaires and other parties.



Projects completed in 2011:

- Energy efficient LED Lighting Replacement Project for the Ramp Parking Structure.
- Runway 28 extension of 600 feet and related extension of Taxiway B to the new takeoff threshold.
- Rehabilitation of the Intersection of Runway 4-22 and Runway 10-28 and related improvements, this created a new takeoff threshold 300' west of the previous location.
- Extension of Taxiway C to connect to the new Runway 10 and related improvements.
- Rehabilitation of Taxiway L.
- Construction of the intersection of Taxiway E and Taxiway D project commenced in the Fall of 2011 for 2012 completion.
- Terminal Improvements that include Passenger Circulation Areas was designed in 2011 and is scheduled for construction in 2012.
- Initiation of the Airport Master Plan Update Study.
- Design of North Ramp Improvements was progressed for future construction.



 Environmental Compliance program has been advanced and utilizes consultant services to comply with current regulations.

All projects were funded with Federal Aviation Administration Grants (95% of eligible Costs) and New York State Department of Transportation Grants (2.5% of eligible costs), Passenger Facility Charges (PFC's) or Special Revenue (100% of eligible costs).

DEPARTMENT OF AVIATION - 2013-2018

		Budget		ANNUAL	PROJECT	COST		Total Cost
PROJECT NAME		2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	6 Years
								0= 000
Airfield Lighting Upgrade	е	25,000						25,000
	S	25,000						25,000
	f	950,000	0	0	0	0		950,000
	total	1,000,000	0	0	0	0	0	1,000,000
Rehabilitate Taxiway "H"	е	37,500						37,500
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	S	37,500						37,500
	f	1,425,000						1,425,000
	total	1,500,000	0	0	0	0	0	1,500,000
Rehabilitate Taxiways A1, A3, and N	0	25,000						25,000
Reliabilitate Taxiways AT, AS, and N	e s	25,000						25,000
	f	1,000,000						1,000,000
	total	1,050,000	0	0	0	0	0	1,050,000
		.,000,000	· ·	ŭ			v	.,000,000
EMAS System Improvements	е	50,000						50,000
	S	50,000						50,000
	f	1,900,000						1,900,000
	total	2,000,000	0	0	0	0	0	2,000,000
Environmental Compliance Projects	е	12,500						12,500
Environmental Compilation Projects	s	12,500						12,500
	f	475,000						475,000
	total	500,000	0	0	0	0	0	500,000
		,						,
Alternative Energy	е	300,000	300,000			600,000		1,200,000
	S	200,000	200,000			400,000		800,000
	total	500,000	500,000	0	0	1,000,000	0	2,000,000
North Ramp Improvements	е	50,000			75,000		75,000	200,000
North Kamp Improvements	s	50,000			75,000		75,000	200,000
	f	1,900,000			2,850,000		2,850,000	7,600,000
	total	2,000,000	0	0	3,000,000	0	3,000,000	8,000,000
		500.000				500.000		4 000 000
Information Systems Upgrade	е	500,000				500,000		1,000,000
Heavy Equipment	е	37,500				37,500		75,000
	S	37,500				37,500		75,000
	f	1,425,000				1,425,000		2,850,000
	total	1,500,000	0	0	0	1,500,000	0	3,000,000
Refurbish of Passenger Loading Bridg	es e	750,000		750,000	1,500,000	1,500,000		4,500,000
<u> </u>	-	,		,	,,	,,		,,-
Property Acquisition	е	12,500					12,500	25,000
	S	12,500					12,500	25,000
	f	475,000					475,000	950,000
	total	500,000	0	0	0	0	500,000	1,000,000
General Aviation Apron Rehabilitation	е	5,000	5,000			5,000		15,000
	S	5,000	5,000			5,000		15,000
	f	190,000	190,000			190,000		570,000
	total	200,000	200,000	0	0		0	600,000
Tovincov "A" Improve to the	_		00.500					00 500
Taxiway "A" Improvements	e		62,500					62,500
	S f		62,500 2,375,000					62,500 2,375,000
	total	0	2,500,000	0	0	0	0	
Federal funds share includes both Airn				_		ı Y	U	۷,500,000

Federal funds share includes both Airport Improvement Program and Passenger Facility Charge funds.

Italics denotes a new project

DEPARTMENT OF AVIATION - 2013-2018

		Budget		ANNUAL	PROJECT	COST		Total Cost
PROJECT NAME		2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	6 Years
Runway 10/28 and Taxiway E	е		100,000					100,00
ramay 10/20 and ramay 2	s		100,000	l .				100,00
	f		3,800,000					3,800,00
	total	0	4,000,000		0	0	0	4,000,00
Disab Ossal Oshumt Futansian	_		05.000	75.000				400.00
Black Creek Culvert Extension	е		25,000 25,000					100,00 100,00
	S f		950,000					3,800,00
	total	0	1,000,000			0	0	4,000,000
-			400.000		05.000		50.000	475.00
Terminal Improvements	e s		100,000		25,000		50,000	175,00
	f		1,900,000		475,000		950,000	3,325,000
	total	0	2,000,000		500,000	0	1,000,000	3,500,000
Airfield Drainage Improvements	е			25,000				25,000
All held brailiage improvements	S			25,000				25,000
	f			950,000				950,000
	total	0	0	1,000,000	0	0	0	1,000,000
Dispuis a sad Desira Dasis etc	_			0.050				0.05
Planning and Design Projects	e s			6,250 6,250				6,250 6,250
	s f			237,500				237,50
	total	0	0			0	0	250,000
VC 1 4 D 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1				50.000				50.00
Viaduct Rehabilitation	е			50,000 50,000				50,000 50,000
	S f			1,900,000				1,900,000
	total	0	0		0	0	0	2,000,000
A (Oinsulation Decalmon)	_			0.000.000				0.000.00
Access/Circulation Roadway	е			2,000,000				2,000,000
Runway 4/22 and Taxiway Improven				75,000				175,00
	s f			75,000 2,850,000				175,000 6,650,000
	total	0	0			0	0	7,000,000
	total	ŭ	Ŭ	0,000,000	1,000,000		· ·	7,000,00
Airport Building Improvements	е				1,500,000	1,500,000		3,000,000
Voluntary Airport Low Emissions (VA	LE) e				5,000			5,000
Voluntary Airport Low Emissions (VA	s s				5,000			5,000
	f				200,000			200,000
	total	0	0	0	210,000	0	0	210,000
Perimeter Service Road	0				15,000			15,000
Ferimeter Service Road	e s				15,000			15,000
	f				500,000			500,000
	total	0	0	0		0	0	530,000
Parking Facility Upgrades	е				1,000,000			1,000,000
g . domity opgraded					1,000,000			1,000,000
Aviation Support Complex	е					62,500		62,50
	S					62,500		62,50
	f					2,375,000		2,375,000
	total	0	0	0	0	2,500,000	0	2,500,00
Taxiway "D" Extension	е					37,500		37,50
-	s					37,500		37,50
				1		1,425,000		1,425,00

Federal funds share includes both Airport Improvement Program and Passenger Facility Charge funds. Italics denotes a new project

DEPARTMENT OF AVIATION - 2013-2018

		Budget		ANNUAL	PROJECT	COST		Total Cost
PROJECT NAME		2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	6 Years
Master Plan Update	е						16,250	
	S						16,250	16,250
	f						617,500	617,500
	total	0	0	0	0	0	650,000	650,000
West Taxiway - Runway 4/22	е						62,500	62,500
	S						62,500	62,500
	f						2,375,000	2,375,000
	total	0	0	0	0	0	2,500,000	
South Taxiway - Runway 10/28	е						100,000	100,000
	S						100,000	100,000
	f						3,800,000	3,800,000
	total	0	0	0	0	0	4,000,000	4,000,000
e = enterprise fund	е	1,805,000	592,500	2,981,250	4,220,000	4,242,500	316,250	14,157,500
s = state funds	s	455,000	392,500	231,250	195,000	542,500	266,250	2,082,500
f = federal funds	f	9,740,000	9,215,000	8,787,500	7,825,000	5,415,000	11,067,500	52,050,000
TOTAL		12,000,000	10,200,000	12,000,000	12,240,000	10,200,000	11,650,000	68,290,000

Federal funds share includes both Airport Improvement Program and Passenger Facility Charge funds. Italics denotes a new project

Airfield Lighting Upgrade

Project Description: This project provides funding for upgrading critical components of the airfield lighting system. While some components of the system have been updated when airfield improvements have been implemented, a complete overall system update is required. Lights, wiring, switches and other component parts may need replacement or reconditioning. The work will also include replacement of the backup generator that powers the lighting system during electric grid failures.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life:	10 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$3,400
Annual Operating Costs:	\$ 0
Attributable Revenues:	\$3,400
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$ 0

Rehabilitate Taxiway 'H'

Project Description: This project will rehabilitate and reconstruct portions of Taxiway "H" from Taxiway "D" north to the north ramp to include new rigid and flexible pavement, miscellaneous drainage and electrical improvements and pavement markings. This will improve one of the older taxiways on the airport, thus avoiding possible pavement failure and resulting delays in service.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Bond Life:	10 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$5,100
Annual Operating Costs:	\$ 0
Attributable Revenues:	<u>\$5,100</u>
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$ 0

Rehabilitate Taxiways A-1, A-3, and N

Project Description: This project rehabilitates three of the existing exit taxiways from Runway 4-22, the primary Airport runway. Taxiways A-3 and N (formerly A-4) were constructed in the 1960's and require major structural rehabilitation to the existing asphalt pavement. Taxiway A-1 will be reviewed for conformance to current design standards for pavement width and it is proposed that the concrete pavement be rehabilitated, and widened should this be required to meet standards. Work on the Taxiways will include drainage, lighting, signage, and markings.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life:	10 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$3,400
Annual Operating Costs:	\$ 0
Attributable Revenues:	<u>\$3,400</u>
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$ 0

EMAS System Improvements

Project Description: This project rehabilitates the Engineered Material Arresting System (EMAS) located in the Runway 28 Overrun at the west end of runway 10-28. This product provides for the deceleration of aircraft that were unable to stop on the runway during their operations. The design aircraft and runway geometry has changed since the system was installed in 2001.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

30 years
\$3,600
\$ 0
<u>\$3,600</u>
\$ 0

Environmental Compliance Projects

Project Description: This project will include various environmental improvements at the airport including improvements to existing storm water quality discharges, upgrade of existing chemical storage areas, automation of deicing fluid collection systems for remote operations, contaminated site investigation and remediation, and wetland mitigation. This project will enable the airport to comply with federal and state environmental regulations.

Environmental Review: This project is an Unlisted Action and will require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life:	10 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$1,700
Annual Operating Costs:	\$ 0
Attributable Revenues:	\$1,700
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$ 0

Alternative Energy

Project Description: This project will provide further implementation of energy conservation and generation measures at the Airport complex. The sequence of this project following the current energy projects will provide a period to evaluate the performance of current green energy initiatives that have been undertaken and make performance based decisions on how to best continue reducing the Airport's energy consumption. This project includes the design and construction of renewable energy improvements to supply power for building consumption. Solar panels (photo voltaic) as well as wind turbine power generation will be utilized to offset power purchase from the utility company.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Bond Life:	-	10 ye	ears
Annual Debt Service Payment:		\$163	3,000
Annual Operating Costs:		\$	0
Attributable Revenues:		\$163	3,000
Net Operating Budget Impact:		\$	0

North Ramp Improvements

Project Description: This project will rehabilitate existing concrete and asphalt aircraft parking aprons at the terminal. The project will extend the useful life of the existing pavement by replacing existing concrete slabs that have or are failing; and, rehabilitate concrete slabs with localized damage areas. The project will also update drainage and deicing systems to current requirements. This will minimize the disruption to the airline operations at the terminal that would result if areas of the pavement were allowed to fail and total reconstruction was required.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life:	10 y	ears/
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$27	,200
Annual Operating Costs:	\$	0
Attributable Revenues:	\$27	,200
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$	0

Information Systems Upgrade

Project Description: This project provides funds for the replacement of critical airport information system components that have exhausted their useful life or become obsolete and are no longer supported by maintenance agreement or unit replacement in kind. Systems providing service for passenger information, security, paging, and operations are required to be upgraded both software and hardware to maintain the level of service required by the FAA, TSA, law enforcement, and passengers. This project is beyond the scope of computer and telecommunication services provided to Monroe County by Upstate Telecommunications Corporation LDC, a not-for-profit local development corporation.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life:	5 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$237,400
Annual Operating Costs:	\$ 0
Attributable Revenues:	\$237,400
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$ 0

Heavy Equipment

Project Description: This project will provide for the purchase of replacement equipment to be used for airport field maintenance and safety operations such as runway maintenance, snow removal, ice control, firefighting and rescue. This scheduled and planned heavy equipment replacement program allows the Airport's airfield to remain operational and functional year round in all types of weather and conditions.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

10 v	vears
	ycais
\$10	,200
\$	0
\$10	,200
\$	0
	\$10 \$

Refurbish of Passenger Loading Bridges

Project Description: This project will provide for the refurbishment of existing Passenger Loading Bridges. This scheduled and planned refurbishment program will continue the useful life of this important equipment. The existing passenger loading bridges at the airport range in age from twenty to more than 40 years in age. Annual maintenance on this equipment is exceeding the ability to be supported by the operating budget as the equipment continues to age and require more significant maintenance and component replacement.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

	99
Bond Life:	10 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$611,400
Annual Operating Costs:	\$ 0
Attributable Revenues:	<u>\$611,400</u>
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$ 0

Property Acquisition

Project Description: This project will provide funds to acquire property around the Airport for safety, compatibility and control of future development at the airport. Identification of these properties is included in the Airport Master Plan Update.

Environmental Review: This project is an Unlisted Action and will require further environmental review.

Bond Life:	10 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$3,400
Annual Operating Costs:	\$ 0
Attributable Revenues:	<u>\$3,400</u>
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$ 0

General Aviation Apron Rehabilitation

Project Description: This project rehabilitates and reconstructs the existing aircraft aprons on the south side of the airfield and will include pavement, drainage improvements, electrical improvements and pavement markings. The configuration of pavement in this area of the airport has evolved by combining former runways, taxiways, aircraft parking and vehicle parking lots. These paved areas need to be reconfigured to improve safety for both aircraft and vehicle movements.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life:	10 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$2,000
Annual Operating Costs:	\$ 0
Attributable Revenues:	\$2,000
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$ 0

Taxiway 'A' Improvements

Project Description: The project provides for the reconstruction of Taxiway A from Taxiway A-1 to Taxiway A-3. The project will include necessary improvements at the intersecting taxiways and the Taxiway A hold apron. The work will include new rigid pavement, miscellaneous drainage improvements, electrical lighting circuit and runway guard light improvements and installation of appropriate pavement markings for the taxiway configuration. This project also provides for localized concrete pavement rehabilitation to extend the useful life of the pavement in areas not programmed for reconstruction, as recommended in the Pavement Management Study.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life:	10 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$8,500
Annual Operating Costs:	\$ 0
Attributable Revenues:	\$8,500
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$ 0

Runway 10/28 and Taxiway E

Project Description: This project will provide for improved aircraft access from the south to the Runway 10 threshold. The cross field Taxiway E will be extended west from Runway 4/22 and then continue north to Runway 10/28. The airport previously implemented improvements at both runway connection locations to minimize the duration of disruption of runway use during project construction. The work will include new asphalt pavement, drainage improvements, electrical lighting, and pavement markings.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type I Action and will require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life:	10 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$13,600
Annual Operating Costs:	\$ 0
Attributable Revenues:	\$13,600
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$ 0

Black Creek Culvert Extension

Project Description: This project will extend the culvert to further enclose Little Black Creek on the west side of Runway 4/22. This project will include drainage systems and related work to construct the culverts. This project will improve the water quality of Little Black Creek and allow for the future construction of the planned parallel taxiway west of Runway 4/22

Environmental Review: This project is an Unlisted Action and will require further environmental review.

Bond Life:	10 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$13,600
Annual Operating Costs:	\$ 0
Attributable Revenues:	<u>\$13,600</u>
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$ 0

Terminal Improvements

Project Description: This project involves the construction of new walls, corridors, vertical circulation for pedestrians and building renovations to support both improved circulation and provide additional leased space for airline usage. The Airport has identified the need to alter the existing pedestrian circulation patterns to better address customer needs for services and ADA compliance within the constraints of airport security requirements. It is also anticipated that the expansion of services with low fare and regional carriers will require the renovation of the concourse function to provide the required leased areas.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life:	10 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$23,800
Annual Operating Costs:	\$ 0
Attributable Revenues:	\$23,800
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$ 0

Airfield Drainage Improvements

Project Description: This project provides funding to upgrade and expand the existing storm drainage system for the airport. The program will include replacement of portions of existing systems and supplemental outfall piping to provide the required system capacity.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type I Action and will require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life:	•	10 y	ears/
Annual Debt Service Payment:		\$3,4	100
Annual Operating Costs:		\$	0
Attributable Revenues:		\$3,4	<u> 100</u>
Net Operating Budget Impact:		\$	0

Planning and Design Projects

Project Description: Planning and design activities related to future aviation projects which are eligible for Federal AIP funding. The current FAA procedure places more emphases on the Airport being able to progress an aided project. Projects which are designed and ready to construct are the best demonstration that the project is ready to progress.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

	3	, -
Bond Life:	5 years	s
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$1,500)
Annual Operating Costs:	\$ 0)
Attributable Revenues:	\$1,500)
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$ 0)

Viaduct Rehabilitation

Project Description: This project provides funds for the rehabilitation of the Viaduct, the elevated roadway that traverses the front of the Passenger Terminal. The work will include replacement of the concrete deck that is supported by a pre-cast plank system, remedial work as required on existing piers and columns and related work necessary to maintain the structural integrity of the Viaduct.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life:	10 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$6,800
Annual Operating Costs:	\$ 0
Attributable Revenues:	\$6,800
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$ 0

Access/Circulation Roadway

Project Description: This project will upgrade the airport circulation roadway network that was constructed in the 1980's to support terminal improvements and existing operations outside the airfield. The project includes surface rehabilitation, drainage system improvements, curbing and pavement marking for landside roadways that connect the Airport to Brooks Avenue and Scottsville Road.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

•	_	_
Bond Life:	10 y	ears
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$271	700,1
Annual Operating Costs:	\$	0
Attributable Revenues:	\$271	,700
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$	0

Runway 4/22 and Taxiway Improvements

Project Description: This project improves the existing runway pavement and will include an extension of Runway 4 and parallel Taxiway "A" at the south end of the airfield. The relocation of several existing navigation aids and lighting systems will be required. The project will include milling and resurfacing the existing runway pavement, new asphalt pavement, new concrete pavement, drainage systems, lighting, marking and related work to construct the runway improvements. The resulting improvements will provide safer aircraft landing and take off as well as facilitate the utilization of a more diverse aircraft type at GRIA. This project will require a multi-year planning process.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type I Action and will require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life:	10 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$23,800
Annual Operating Costs:	\$ 5,000
Attributable Revenues:	\$28,800
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$ 0

Airport Building Improvements

Project Description: This project will provide necessary improvements to Airport buildings to maintain the required level of service and building code compliance. Many buildings have been constructed for a variety of purposes on the airport property over the past 20 years and need to be renovated to replace components and facilities that have reached the end of their useful life. This will include work such as roof replacement, window and doors, flooring and finishes, as well as concrete slabs, pavement and curbing. Mechanical equipment will be addressed as will electrical systems and lighting.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life:	•	10 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:		\$407,600
Annual Operating Costs:		\$ 0
Attributable Revenues:		\$407,600
Net Operating Budget Impact:		\$ 0

Voluntary Airport Low Emissions (VALE)

Project Description: This project will provide vehicles that are powered by Compressed Natural Gas (CNG) under the parameters defined in the Airport Improvement Program (AIP). The project will also expand the existing Compressed Natural Gas facility by providing a second dispensing station.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life:	5 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$1,200
Annual Operating Costs:	\$ 0
Attributable Revenues:	\$1,200
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$ 0

Perimeter Service Road

Project Description: This project will upgrade existing gravel roadways within the Airport security fence to allow vehicles to move more efficiently around the airfield independent of aircraft operations. Construction of asphalt surfaces on the service roadways in the southern portion of the Airport will allow improved operations by the Airport.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

•	
10	years
\$2	,000
\$1	,200
\$3	,200
\$	0
	\$2 \$1 <u>\$3</u>

Parking Facility Upgrades

Project Description: This project will result in the upgrading of existing surface areas and related support facilities as well as required structural upgrades to existing airport parking facilities. Installation of another vertical circulation ramp and replacement of the revenue control system are projected. The parking garage and related facilities construction (for the terminal) began in 1989. Parking garages require periodic major maintenance projects to maintain the integrity of the structure and extend the useful life at a minimal cost. Surface parking lots need periodic maintenance to maintain their condition.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

	3	
Bond Life:	10 ye	ears
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$135	,900
Annual Operating Costs:	\$	0
Attributable Revenues:	\$135	,900
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$	0

Aviation Support Complex

Project Description: This project will provide vehicles and equipment that are used to provide aircraft support services a facility for parking and protection from weather. The spaces that had provided protection for this equipment have been reconfigured for baggage screening and no longer have available space for support equipment. The project will include demolition of existing buildings, construction of new buildings, and rehabilitation and reconstruction of interior spaces as needed within existing structures on the east terminal apron area. This project will provide weather protection for Airline ground support equipment and make available alternative space to protect the equipment from freezing temperatures.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life:	5 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$14,800
Annual Operating Costs:	\$ 0
Attributable Revenues:	<u>\$14,800</u>
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$ 0

Taxiway "D" Extension

Project Description: This project extends Taxiway D from Taxiway F south to the development parcel located east of the existing Air Traffic Control Tower. The project will include asphalt pavement, drainage improvements, electrical system upgrades and new pavement markings. Modifications to the existing airport roadway and utility grid in the vicinity of Hangar #2 will be required.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type I Action and will require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life:	10 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$5,100
Annual Operating Costs:	\$ 0
Attributable Revenues:	<u>\$5,100</u>
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$ 0

Master Plan Update

Project Description: This project will update the current Airport Master Plan to reflect needed improvements in the next 20 years. The FAA requires updated long range planning for airport facilities to remain eligible for federal funding for airport improvements. The plan will include analysis of runway and taxiway configurations for future activity at the airport, projecting capital improvement requirements over a 20 year period, and assessing the need for expansion of airport property for both safety and aviation needs.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life:	10 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$2,200
Annual Operating Costs:	\$ 0
Attributable Revenues:	\$2,200
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$ 0

West Taxiway - Runway 4/22

Project Description: This project will create a new north/south taxiway for Runway 4/22, west of the runway between the Runway 4 threshold and Taxiway E extended. The Airport Master Plan Update identifies the need to improve the operational characteristics of the North/South runway to improve aircraft circulation west of the runway.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type I Action and will require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life:	10	years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$8,	500
Annual Operating Costs:	\$	0
Attributable Revenues:	\$8,	<u>500</u>
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$	0

South Taxiway - Runway 10/28

Project Description: This project will create a new 2500 foot segment of east/west taxiway for Runway 10/28, south of the runway, including upgrading of the intersected pavements at Taxiway H and Taxiway F. This taxiway will align with Taxiway P, which starts at Taxiway F and connects to the Runway 28 Threshold. This project will also include relocation of FAA owned navigation facilities.

Environmental Review: This project is an Unlisted Action and will require further environmental review.

Bond Life:	10 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$13,600
Annual Operating Costs:	\$ 0
Attributable Revenues:	\$13,600
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$ 0

DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES DIVISION OF PURE WATERS


HIGHLIGHTS OF CAPITAL PROGRAM

- Continuation of upgrades and replacement of major process equipment at the Frank E. Van Lare Wastewater Treatment Plant (FEV WWTP) consisting of improvements to the aeration basins, primary tanks, and thickeners in combination with collection system improvement initiatives for pump stations and sewers including CSOAP infrastructure in the Rochester Pure Waters District (RPWD).
- Continuation of comprehensive improvements to address hydraulic capacities and extend the useful life of the interceptor system and upgrades to pump stations in the Irondequoit Bay South Central Pure Waters District (IBSCPWD).
- Continuation of comprehensive improvements to address hydraulic capacities and extend the useful life of the interceptor system and upgrades to pump stations in the Northwest Quadrant Pure Waters District (NWQPWD).
- Continuation of collection system improvement initiatives for pump stations and sewers in the Gates-Chili-Ogden Sewer District (GCOSD).

The Division of Pure Waters was established by the County Legislature to implement the Pure Waters Master Plan to reduce the levels of pollution of Irondequoit Bay, the Genesee River, areas of Lake Ontario, and other waters of Monroe County to safe, healthy levels. It operates and maintains four geographic districts containing two wastewater treatment facilities, 52 pump stations, 1000 miles of collection system sewers, 100 miles of interceptor / trunk sewers and 30 miles of conveyance / storage deep tunnels and 6 major control structures.

The various staff of the four Pure Waters Districts work cooperatively together to maximize operational effectiveness and enhance cost efficiencies. The County's two wastewater treatment plants treat an average of 40 to 45 billion gallons of water annually through physical, biological, and chemical processes. The Field Operations Section is responsible for the operation and maintenance of over 700 miles of sewers in the City and nearly 300 miles of sanitary sewers in the Gates-Chili-Ogden District. This section is also responsible for the operation of 52 pump stations and the Combined Sewer Overflow Abatement Program (CSOAP) Tunnel System, which dramatically reduces storm generated overflows to the river, bay and lake through improved overflow capture and treatment rates. The Industrial Waste Pretreatment Section administers a federally approved pretreatment program, the sampling and analysis of discharges from 150 industrial facilities and enforcement of the Monroe County Sewer Use Law.

The County Legislature, which serves as the Pure Waters Administrative Board, oversees program management, approves contracts, holds public hearings, and establishes the annual rates and operating budgets for the four districts.



Engineering services, including project design and construction administration, are generally provided by the Monroe County Division of Engineering and Facilities Management, either directly, or through contractual services with consultants and other outside vendors.

Status of Previous Programmed Projects

In the **Rochester Pure Waters District** construction of Phase I upgrades to the FEV WWTP aeration system began in 2011, with additional phases continuing through 2013. Evaluation of electrical system improvements to the FEV WWTP were also completed in 2011. Various other rehabilitation and replacement projects in the collection system FEV WWTP were completed in 2011 and will continue in 2012 and beyond.

In the **Irondequoit Bay South Central Pure Waters District** construction of improvements to the Irondequoit Bay Pump Station force mains and upgrades to the Pinnacle Road and Brighton 5 Pump Stations began in 2011, and will continue in 2012.

In the **Northwest Quadrant Pure Waters District**, design of a third, secondary clarifier to increase hydraulic capacities of the NWQ WWTP continued in 2011, with construction scheduled to begin in 2012. Various other general improvements to the pump stations, interceptor system and NWQ WWTP were completed in 2011 and will continue in 2012 and beyond.

In the Gates Chili Ogden Sewer District construction of upgrades to the Trolley Pump Station were completed. Planning and design of upgrades for the Central Gates, Southwest and Scottsville Road Pump Stations continued in 2011 with construction scheduled to begin in 2012 and beyond.

PURE WATERS - 2013-2018

	Budget		ANNUAL	PROJECT	COST		Total Cost
PROJECT NAME	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	6 Years
Rochester Pure Waters District							
Combined System Tunnel System Improvements d	2,700,000						2,700,000
improvements d	2,700,000						2,700,000
Combined System Pedestrian Bridge							
Improvements d	900,000						900,000
Lake & Merrill Pump Station Improvements d		900,000					900,000
Frank E. VanLare General Improvements d			250,000	250,000	250,000	250,000	1,000,000
General Collection System Improvements d			250,000	250,000	250,000	250,000	1,000,000
Frank E. VanLare Thickener							
Improvements Phase II d				1,750,000	1,750,000	3,000,000	6,500,000
Frank E. VanLare Maintenance Center d					675,000	6,025,000	6,700,000
Trank L. VariLare ivialitieriance Center u					075,000	0,023,000	0,700,000
SCADA System Upgrades d					450,000		450,000
Rochester Operations Center d						600,000	600,000
District Subtotal d	3,600,000	900,000	500,000	2,250,000	3,375,000	10,125,000	20,750,000
Irondequoit Bay South Central							
Pure Waters District							
General Pump Station & Interceptor							
Improvements d	450,000	450,000	450,000	450,000	450,000	450,000	2,700,000
District Subtotal d	450,000	450,000	450,000	450,000	450,000	450,000	2,700,000
North West Quadrant Pure Waters District							
General Pump Station & Interceptor	450,000	450,000	450,000	450,000	450,000	450,000	2 700 000
Improvements d District Subtotal d	450,000 450,000	450,000 450,000	450,000 450,000	450,000 450,000	450,000 450,000	450,000 450,000	2,700,000 2,700,000
Cotoo Chili Ondon Buro Waters Biotrict							
Gates Chili Ogden Pure Waters District							
General Collection System Improvements d	675,000	675,000	675,000	225,000	225,000	225,000	2,700,000
Southwest Pump Station Improvements d		1,800,000					1,800,000
Scottsville Road Pump Station							
Improvements d				1,350,000			1,350,000
District Subtotal d	675,000	2,475,000	675,000	1,575,000	225,000	225,000	5,850,000
TOTAL d	5,175,000	4,275,000	2,075,000	4,725,000	4,500,000	11,250,000	32,000,00

Italics denotes a new project

RPWD - CSOAP Tunnel System Improvements

Project Description: This project includes a comprehensive evaluation of and improvements to Pure Waters' Combined Sewer Overflow Abatement Program (CSOAP) Tunnel System including, but not limited to, the Tiger-Carlisle/Dewey-Eastman and Lexington Avenue Tunnels. Improvements will include grit and debris removal to restore hydraulic capacity and structural rehabilitation to ensure physical integrity throughout the Tunnel System.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life:	-	20 ye	ears
Annual Debt Service Payment:		\$235	,400
Annual Operating Costs:		\$ -15	5,000
Attributable Revenues:		\$220	,400
Net Operating Budget Impact:		\$	0

RPWD - CSOAP Pedestrian Bridge Improvements

Project Description: This project consists of a comprehensive structural rehabilitation of the Maplewood Pedestrian Bridge access pathways.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life:	20	years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$ 7	8,500
Annual Operating Costs:	\$ -1	0,000
Attributable Revenues:	\$ 6	8,500
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$	0

RPWD - Lake & Merrill Pump Station Improvements

Project Description: This project includes major mechanical, electrical and structural improvements to the District's Lake and Merrill Pump Station.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

•	
20	years
\$	78,500
\$ -	-15,000
\$	63,500
\$	0
	\$ \$ \$

RPWD - FEV WWTP General Improvements

Project Description: This project generally includes various smaller scale improvements at the FEV WWTP, which are internally managed and part of the Department's "Five Year Plan" operation and maintenance program that generates repair and replacement priorities that may not be part of larger and long term planned initiatives.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life:	20 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$87,200
Annual Operating Costs:	\$ 0
Attributable Revenues:	\$87,200
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$ 0

RPWD - General Collection System Improvements

Project Description: This project generally includes various smaller scale collection system improvements, which are internally managed and part of the Department's "Five Year Plan" operation and maintenance program that generates repair and replacement priorities that may not be part of larger and long term planned initiatives.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

20 y	ears/
\$87	,200
\$	0
\$87	,200
\$	0
	\$87 \$

RPWD - FEV WWTP Thickener Improvements - Phase II

Project Description: This project includes mechanical improvements to the thickener tanks in combination with structural and electrical upgrades necessary to support the critical process of solids handling. The RPWD recently completed Phase I of the thickener improvements. Phase II is necessary to complete the entire complex.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Bond Life:	20 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$566,700
Annual Operating Costs:	\$ 0
Attributable Revenues:	<u>\$566,700</u>
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$ 0

RPWD - FEV Maintenance Center

Project Description: This project includes planning, design and construction of a new maintenance facility to house operations & maintenance staff, shop space, locker rooms, equipment and stockroom/warehouse functions. This facility may be a combination of existing renovated space and new building construction. Existing spaces are overcrowded, antiquated and physically separated. A new consolidated space will provide needed facilities and improved functionality and efficiencies.

Environmental Review: This project is an Unlisted Action and will require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life:	20 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$584,100
Annual Operating Costs:	\$ 0
Attributable Revenues:	<u>\$584,100</u>
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$ 0

RPWD - SCADA System Upgrades

Project Description: This project involves upgrading Supervisory Control and Data Acquisition (SCADA)) systems for monitoring and control of wastewater collection and treatment infrastructure. This project is beyond the scope of computer and telecommunication services provided to Monroe County by Upstate Telecommunications Corporation LDC, a not-for-profit local development corporation.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life:	20 y	ears
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$39	,200
Annual Operating Costs:	\$	0
Attributable Revenues:	\$39	,200
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$	0

RPWD - Rochester Operations Center

Project Description: This project includes planning, design and construction of a new operations center to house staff, locker rooms, equipment and vehicles. This facility may be a combination of existing renovated space and new building construction.

Environmental Review: This project is an Unlisted Action and will require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life:	20 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$52,300
Annual Operating Costs:	\$ 0
Attributable Revenues:	\$52,300
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$ 0

IBSCPWD - General Pump Station & Interceptor Improvements

Project Description: This project includes general improvements to various pump stations and interceptors in the District. Improvements include a phased rehabilitation of the Irondequoit Bay Pump Station's two major force mains that convey District flows to the FEV WWTP; trunk sewer improvements necessary to increase conveyance capacities in the southwest quadrant of the District, and District-wide enhancements to the appurtenant communication and data transmission systems. A major component for all facility improvements is an emphasis on energy efficiencies and cost savings that will provide a partial offset to debt service incurred.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Louinated Amidai impact on the O	porating Baagot.
Bond Life:	20 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$235,400
Annual Operating Costs:	\$ -25,000
Attributable Revenues:	<u>\$210,400</u>
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$ 0

NWQPWD - General Pump Station & Interceptor Improvements

Project Description: This project includes general improvements to various pump stations and interceptors in the District. Improvements include increases in conveyance capacity of localized sections of the District's interceptors; facility upgrades necessary to more effectively maximize flow distribution and capacities between the District and the Gates-Chili-Ogden Sewer District; and District-wide enhancements to the appurtenant communication and data transmission systems. A major component for all facility improvements is an emphasis on energy efficiencies and cost savings that will provide a partial offset to debt service incurred.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Ope	erating budget.
Bond Life:	20 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$235,400
Annual Operating Costs:	\$ -25,000
Attributable Revenues:	\$210,400
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$ 0

GCOSD - General Collection System Improvements

Project Description: This project includes general improvements to various pump stations and collection system infrastructure in the District. Improvements will serve to extend the useful life of various facilities, while also providing increased conveyance capacity and enhanced operational flexibility and District-wide enhancements to the appurtenant communication and data transmission systems. A major component for all facility improvements is an emphasis on energy efficiencies and cost savings that will provide a partial offset to debt service incurred.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life:	20	years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$23	35,400
Annual Operating Costs:	\$ -2	20,000
Attributable Revenues:	\$21	5,400
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$	0

GCOSD - Southwest Pump Station Improvements

Project Description: This project includes major mechanical, electrical and structural improvements.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life:	20 y	/ears
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$15	6,900
Annual Operating Costs:	\$ -1	5,000
Attributable Revenues:	\$14	1,900
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$	0

GCOSD - Scottsville Road Pump Station Improvements

Project Description: This project includes major mechanical, electrical and structural improvements.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Bond Life:	20 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$117,700
Annual Operating Costs:	\$ 0
Attributable Revenues:	<u>\$117,700</u>
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$ 0

DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES FLEET

HIGHLIGHTS OF CAPITAL PROGRAM

Purchase of necessary equipment for various department operations

The Division of Fleet was created in the Environmental Services Department in 2003. The purpose of the division is to coordinate the purchase of specialized equipment for a variety of county department operations. Equipment for Parks, Highways and Bridges, and Traffic Engineering are included in this program.



ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES FLEET - 2013-2018

		Budget		ANNUAL	PROJECT	COST		Total Cost
PROJECT NAME		2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	6 Years
Equipment/Vehicles Parks	С	250,000	250,000	250,000	250,000	250,000	250,000	1,500,000
Equipment/Vehicles Traffic Engineering	С	146,000	282,000	565,000	86,000	70,000	113,000	1,262,000
Equipment/Vehicles Highways and Bridges	С	119,000	190,000	,	,		214,000	- ,
TOTAL	С	515,000	722,000	968,000	364,000	430,000	577,000	3,576,000

Equipment/Vehicles Parks

Project Description: This project involves the purchase of heavy equipment for county parkland maintenance activities. Items to be purchased in each year of the capital program are intended to supplement existing equipment or replace worn and out dated equipment.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

•	_	_
Bond Life:	10	years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$20	03,800
Annual Operating Costs:	\$	0
Attributable Revenues:	\$	0
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$20	03.800

Equipment/Vehicles Traffic Engineering

Project Description: This project provides for the purchase of heavy equipment used for the county's traffic engineering maintenance activities. Items to be purchased in each year of the capital program are to supplement existing equipment or replace worn and outdated equipment.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

	J	3
Bond Life:	10 y	ears
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$171	,500
Annual Operating Costs:	\$	0
Attributable Revenues:	\$	0
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$171	,500

Equipment/Vehicles Highways and Bridges

Project Description: This project provides funds for the purchase of heavy equipment used for the maintenance of county highways and bridges. Items to be purchased in each year of the capital program are intended to supplement existing equipment or replace worn and outdated equipment.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Bond Life:	10 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$110,600
Annual Operating Costs:	\$ 0
Attributable Revenues:	\$ 0
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$110,600

DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES GEOGRAPHIC INFORMATION SERVICES DIVISION

HIGHLIGHTS OF CAPITAL PROGRAM

 Continuation of the implementation of the County's Geographic Information System.

The Division of Geographic Information Services was created in the Environmental Services Department in 2000. The purpose of the division is to continue the development of the County's Geographic Information System by improving computer hardware and software and providing technical services to improve computerized mapping capabilities in County Departments. The program initially involved creating a digital base map using the County's real property tax maps. Building upon this base, additional computerized map layers will be established for County departments wishing to display and analyze their facility information in a geographic manner. Working with other departments, local governments, and state and federal agencies, the Geographic Information Services Division will continue to expand and improve the computerized mapping capabilities in Monroe County.



GIS (Geographic Information System) Enterprise Development

Project Description: This project is a continuation of the County's GIS (Geographic Information System) development. The project revolves around three initiatives: data conversion for the cadastral base map, network infrastructure development, and application development. The cadastral layer will serve as a base map for city and county departments, local towns and villages, private agencies and the public. Network infrastructure development concentrates on the hardware and software improvements necessary to bring GIS technology to a broad audience. Specific improvements are underway that will facilitate the internet, intranet, and extranet community. Application development applies GIS technologies to tasks, projects, or programs in order to perform that task, project, or program more efficiently. This project is beyond the scope of computer and telecommunication services provided to Monroe County by Upstate Telecommunications Corporation LDC, a not-for-profit local development corporation.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life: 5 years
Annual Debt Service Payment: \$153,800
Annual Operating Costs: \$ 0
Attributable Revenues: \$153,800
Net Operating Budget Impact: \$ 0

GEOGRAPHIC INFORMATION SERVICES - 2013-2018

		Budget		ANNUAL	PROJECT	COST		Total Cost
PROJECT NAME		2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	6 Years
Geographic Information System Enterprise								
Development	С			216,000	216,000	216,000		648,000
TOTAL	С	0	0	216,000	216,000	216,000	0	648,000

DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES FACILITIES MANAGEMENT

HIGHLIGHTS OF CAPITAL PROGRAM

- Upgrade County facilities including the renovation, replacement and/or reconstruction of various building systems including mechanical, electrical and plumbing (MEP); heating, ventilation and air conditioning (HVAC), masonry and structural; building envelope (window, door, wall and roof), lighting, energy and security, life safety and hazardous material abatement.
- Renovate the Civic Center Complex, Hall of Justice and County Office Building.
- Upgrade security systems to control unauthorized building access.
- Undertake implementation of corrective measures for ADA compliance.



The Division of Engineering and Facilities Management is responsible for the operation and maintenance of County-owned facilities. This includes setting and meeting security and safety objectives for all County buildings and its personnel; developing and administering housekeeping programs that will use worker time more efficiently, achieve savings, and provide building cleaning; and managing energy consumption in County buildings. The four major facilities are the Hall of Justice, the County Office Building, the Health and Human Services Building, and the Civic Center Complex.

Status of Previously Programmed Projects

The Division of Engineering and Facilities Management continues to maintain County facilities. The Division continues to make improvements to roofs, updates to elevators, accommodations to improve handicapped accessibility, and general improvements to County Buildings.

ENGINEERING AND FACILITIES MANAGEMENT - 2013-2018

		Budget		ANNUAL	PROJECT	COST		Total Cost
PROJECT NAME		2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	6 Years
General Improvements	С	600,000	600,000	600,000	600,000	850,000	850,000	4,100,000
Hall of Justice Elevator Improvements	С	2,000,000						2,000,000
Civic Center Complex Reconstruction	С	450,000	450,000	1,275,000	1,050,000	1,925,000	2,050,000	7,200,000
County Office Building Reconstruction	С	1,000,000	1,150,000		1,000,000	1,350,000		4,500,000
Hall of Justice Reconstruction	С		225,000	225,000	225,000	225,000	225,000	1,125,000
Hall of Justice Court Requested Improvements	С		150,000	150,000	150,000	150,000	150,000	750,000
Westfall Building Reconstruction	С						1,000,000	1,000,000
Fleet Center Improvements	d						1,000,000	1,000,000
c=county d=district	p o	4,050,000 0	2,575,000 0	2,250,000 0	3,025,000 0	4,500,000 0	4,275,000 1,000,000	, ,
TOTAL	С	4,050,000	2,575,000	2,250,000	3,025,000	4,500,000	5,275,000	21,675,000

Italics denotes a new project

General Improvements

Project Description: This project funds improvements to County Buildings that address health, safety, and code concerns. Improvements include the planning, design and construction (replacement, rehabilitation or reconstruction) of various building systems including mechanical, electrical and plumbing (MEP), heating, ventilation and air conditioning (HVAC), masonry and structural, building envelope (window, door, wall and roof), lighting, energy and security and hazardous material abatement.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life:	10 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$389,900
Annual Operating Costs:	\$ 0
Attributable Revenues:	\$181,200
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$208,700

Hall of Justice Elevator Improvements

Project Description: This project includes the replacement of the Hall of Justice elevators.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life:	10 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$190,200
Annual Operating Costs:	\$ 0
Attributable Revenues:	\$ 0
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$190,200

Civic Center Complex Reconstruction

Project Description: The Civic Center Complex buildings are approximately 40 years old and much of the infrastructure is in need of reconstruction. This project will fund phased improvements to mechanical, electrical and plumbing (MEP), heating, ventilation and air conditioning (HVAC), masonry and structural, utilities, life safety and security systems including various upgrades to improve building operations.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life:	10 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$684,800
Annual Operating Costs:	\$ 0
Attributable Revenues:	\$ 54,930
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$629,870

County Office Building Reconstruction

Project Description: The County Office Building is over 100 years old and much of the infrastructure is in need of reconstruction. This project will fund phased improvements to mechanical, electrical and plumbing (MEP), heating, ventilation and air conditioning (HVAC), masonry and structural, building envelope (windows, doors, walls and roof), lighting, energy and security and hazardous material abatement.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

•	•	
Bond Life:		20 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:		\$274,600
Annual Operating Costs:		\$ 0
Attributable Revenues:		\$ 65,910
Net Operating Budget Impact:		\$208,690

Hall of Justice Reconstruction

Project Description: The Hall of Justice is over 40 years old and much of the infrastructure is in need of reconstruction. This project will fund phased improvements to building mechanical, electrical and plumbing (MEP), heating, ventilation and air conditioning (HVAC), steam, chilled water, lighting, energy and security systems. Projects will also include interior renovations and reconfigurations to meet the functional needs of the building occupants.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

	<u> </u>
Bond Life:	20 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$68,700
Annual Operating Costs:	\$ 0
Attributable Revenues:	\$64,080
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$ 4,620

Hall of Justice Court Requested Improvements

Project Description: This project will fund New York State Unified Courts System (Courts) requested building modifications at the Hall of Justice. The County will act as the project manager/contractor for these modifications, and pay for them from this capital fund. The Courts will reimburse the County for the costs of the modifications, resulting in no additional expense to the County.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Bond Life:	10 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$101,900
Annual Operating Costs:	\$ 0
Attributable Revenues:	\$101,900
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$ 0

Westfall Building Reconstruction

Project Description: This project includes phased improvements to various building systems including mechanical, electrical and plumbing (MEP), heating, ventilation and air conditioning (HVAC), masonry and structural, building envelope (windows, doors, wall and roof), lighting, energy and security and hazardous material abatement.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life:	20 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$61,000
Annual Operating Costs:	\$ 0
Attributable Revenues:	<u>\$</u> 0
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$61,000

Fleet Center Improvements

Project Description: This project includes phased improvements to various fleet center infrastructure and building systems including site utilities, parking, lighting and security.

Environmental Review: This project is an Unlisted Action and will require further environmental review.

zominatou / minuai mipuot on the operating	9 – ~ ~;	5
Bond Life:	20 yea	ars
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$61,00	00
Annual Operating Costs:	\$	0
Attributable Revenues:	\$	0
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$61,00	00

DEPARTMENT OF PARKS

HIGHLIGHTS OF CAPITAL PROGRAM

- Improvement of recreational facilities throughout the park system.
- Construction of master plan improvements at existing parks stressing necessary health/safety improvements.
- Rehabilitation of substandard restroom facilities and construction of new restrooms.
- Handicapped access improvements.
- Improvement of park facilities, which increase operating efficiency and reduce maintenance costs.

The Monroe County Department of Parks is responsible for the operation and maintenance of approximately 11,600 acres of parkland throughout the County. The Department also maintains park buildings and recreational facilities, including picnic areas, trails, shelters and lodges, beach area, boat launches, a sensory memorial garden, arboretums, wetlands, sledding hills, ice skating rinks, a community center, ski slopes and play areas. It houses a zoological collection of over 400 animals. It maintains an extensive horticultural collection and arboretum. The Department cosponsors, with community organizations, a number of special attractions, such as the Highland Park Bowl, Ontario Performance Pavilion and programs at Springdale Farm, Seneca Park Zoo, Lamberton Conservatory, The Rochester Civic Garden Center, Landmark Society Olmsted Task Force, City of Rochester, Jack Tindale Inc., and the Ontario Beach Park Program Committee.



Status of Previously Programmed Projects

Projects Completed in 2011:

- Elephant Exhibit Improvements
- Shared Use Trails at Tryon Park and Irondequoit Bay Park West
- Rocky Coasts Exhibit Life Support Improvements
- Design of Ellison Area Parks Master Plan Improvements
- Greece Canal Park Master Plan Improvements

Projects Nearing Completion:

- Main Zoo Building Evaluation
- Ellison Park Off Leash Dog Park
- Powder Mills Park Master Plan
- Africa Phase III

Other Projects Underway or Beginning:

- System-wide ADA Improvements
- Oatka Creek Park Master Plan
- Webster Park Master Plan Improvements
- Mendon Ponds Park Master Plan Improvements

PARKS - 2013-2018

		Budget		ANNUAL	PROJECT	COST		Total Cost
PROJECT NAME		2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	6 Years
Buildings and Structures	С	650,000	650,000	650,000	650,000	700,000	700,000	4,000,000
Utilities, Access and Site Improvements	С	650,000	650,000	650,000	650,000	700,000	700,000	4,000,000
Powder Mills Park								
Master Plan Improvements	С	770,000						770,000
Mendon Ponds Park								
Master Plan Improvements	С		805,000					805,000
Churchville Park								
Master Plan Improvements	С		100,000	810,000				910,000
Seneca Park - Master Plan Improvements	С			120,000	900,000			1,020,000
Highland Park Master Plan Improvements	С				140,000	1,050,000		1,190,000
Northampton Park								
Master Plan Improvements	С					100,000	840,000	940,000
Black Creek Park								
Master Plan Improvements	С						100,000	100,000
TOTAL	С	2,070,000	2,205,000	2,230,000	2,340,000	2,550,000	2,340,000	13,735,000

Italics denotes a new project

Buildings and Structures

Project Description: This continuing project provides funds for: upgrading buildings and structures to provide handicapped access and use; meeting building and fire codes and other standards; major structural repairs and improvements to buildings such as new roofs, energy conservation improvements, heating and ventilation systems; construction of new support facilities such as storage barns, picnic facilities, playgrounds, restrooms, and shelters; and bridges and other structure construction and rehabilitation. Emphasis is on making improvements in the most heavily used parks and for projects involving public health and safety concerns, particularly restrooms and meeting ADA requirements.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Estimated Armadi impact on the ope	rating baaget.
Bond Life:	15 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$411,900
Annual Operating Costs:	\$- 37,000
Attributable Revenues:	\$ 0
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$374,900

Utilities, Access and Site Improvements

Project Description: This project provides for reconstruction and improvements to roads, parking areas, walkways, bridges, patios, stairs and associated improvements such as lighting, grading and landscaping throughout the entire parks system. Improvements included in this program have been identified in various park master plans. Also provided for are: new/replacement energy efficient security lighting for roads, parking lots, related facilities and selected walkways; erosion protection measures for steep slopes/stream banks; drainage improvements to increase usability of recreation facilities; repair/replacement of main sewer, water, electric, gas, phone lines, septic systems, pump stations and pumps, and meters; new utility service lines on a site specific, as needed basis; and handicapped accessibility. The emphasis is to fund heavily used parks and for public health and safety concerns.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Bond Life:	15 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$411,900
Annual Operating Costs:	\$- 35,000
Attributable Revenues:	<u>\$ 0</u>
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$376,900

Powder Mills Park - Master Plan Improvements

Project Description: This project involves the construction of Master Plan improvements at Powder Mills Park. Projects will include: recreational facility improvements; building rehabilitation; handicapped accessibility improvements; road, parking and trail reconstruction; and utility system upgrades. Powder Mills Park was initially developed in the 1930's and the park facilities and infrastructure are in need of upgrading to protect the County investment in this popular park. The Master Plan will identify and set priorities for project implementation.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life:	15 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$79,300
Annual Operating Costs:	\$ 0
Attributable Revenues:	<u>\$ 0</u>
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$79,300

Mendon Ponds Park - Master Plan Improvements

Project Description: This project implements Park Master Plan recommendations. These are: (1) improving handicapped accessibility; (2) developing a sign system; (3) road, parking and trail reconstruction; (4) building rehabilitation; (5) recreational facility improvements; and (6) utility system upgrades. Improvements will address health and safety problems, make the park more accessible to the handicapped, protect significant natural features, and reduce operations and maintenance costs.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

15 years
\$ 82,900
\$-10,000
\$ 0
\$ 72,900

Churchville Park - Master Plan Improvements

Project Description: This project involves the design and construction of Master Plan improvements at Churchville Park. Projects include road and utility reconstruction, building rehabilitation, recreation facility improvements, trail reconstruction, and landscaping. Churchville Park was initially developed in the 1930's and the park facilities and infrastructure need upgrading to protect the County investment in this important park.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life:	15 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$93,700
Annual Operating Costs:	\$- 8,000
Attributable Revenues:	\$ 0
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$85,700

Seneca Park - Master Plan Improvements

Project Description: These funds begin to implement recommendations in the park master plan. Projects include: improve handicapped accessibility; rehabilitate trails and other facilities; provide signs and install security lighting; reconstruct roads and parking lots; rehabilitate existing structures; and restore historic landscape design throughout the park.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life:	15 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$105,000
Annual Operating Costs:	\$ 10,000
Attributable Revenues:	<u>\$ 0</u>
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$115,000

Highland Park - Master Plan Improvements

Project Description: This project involves the design and construction of Master Plan Improvements at Highland Park. Improvements include rehabilitated pathways, tree and shrub collection improvements, road and parking reconstruction, building rehabilitation, handicapped access, and infrastructure upgrades.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

zommatou / mmaur mpaot on the ope		.9~
Bond Life:	15 ye	ars
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$122	,500
Annual Operating Costs:	\$	0
Attributable Revenues:	\$	0
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$122	,500

Northampton Park - Master Plan Improvements

Project Description: This project involves the design of Master Plan improvements at Northampton Park. Projects will include: building rehabilitation; road, parking and trail improvements; recreational facility improvements; handicapped accessibility improvements; and utility system upgrades. Northampton Park has experienced significant increased use and demands for recreational use from the community. Implementation of master plan recommendations will address these park and recreation services demands.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

_	_
15	years
\$96	6,800
\$	0
\$	0
\$96	6,800
·	\$96 \$ <u>\$</u>

Black Creek Park - Master Plan Improvements

Project Description: This project involves the design of Master Plan improvements at Black Creek Park. Projects include road and parking construction, trails, playfields, lodges, shelters, playgrounds, handicapped access, and related utilities, site work and landscaping.


Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

zommatou / mmaan mpaot on the opt	<i>.</i>	~ອ~
Bond Life:	15 ye	ears
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$10,	300
Annual Operating Costs:	\$	0
Attributable Revenues:	\$	0
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$10,	300

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION HIGHWAYS AND BRIDGES

HIGHLIGHTS OF CAPITAL PROGRAM

- Resurface approximately 190 lane miles of County highways.
- Reconstruct/rehabilitate approximately 31 lane miles of County highways.
- Reconstruct/rehabilitate approximately 6 lane miles of City highways.
- Rehabilitate and reconstruct 10 County Bridges.
- Preventative Maintenance of 12 County Bridges.
- Rehabilitate and reconstruct approximately 22 County culverts.



In accordance with section 131-k of the State Highway Law, the County has undertaken a program to fund reconstruction of certain City streets that function as arterials. In projects of this type, County funds may be used only for engineering design, construction inspection, and for actual costs of construction of highway elements. Utility displacement, sidewalks and right-of-way costs must be borne by the City.

Status of Previously Programmed Highway Projects:

Projects that will be completed or under construction in 2012 include: Jefferson Avenue, Paul Road/Fisher Road Corridor, Highway Preventative Maintenance II Project, Lyell Avenue, Portland Avenue, East Ridge Road, Lincoln Road, John Street Ext., Westfall Road III, and Culver Road.

Projects that are currently in planning or design and will begin construction in 2013, or later, include: Long Pond Road V, Erie Station Road and Highway Rehabilitation-Pinnacle Road (Henrietta).



The Bridge Engineering and Operations Division is responsible for engineering, inspection, operation, and maintenance of 176 bridges and 276 major culverts on the County's highway system. It is also responsible, via an agreement with the NYSDOT, for the operation and maintenance of the Colonel Patrick H. O'Rorke Memorial Bridge. Specific bridges have been chosen for the capital program through an inventory of all county bridges compiled from detailed biennial inspection reports prepared by both the County and State engineers. All bridges are condition rated on a Statewide and Federal rating system. These ratings are the key elements used in prioritizing and programming bridges for capital improvements. Typically these structures have existed well beyond their projected useful lives and, if not maintained, rehabilitated or reconstructed, may receive some Structural restrictions are caused by restrictions. deterioration, outdated design capacity, narrow pavement width, hydraulic inadequacies, obsolete and unsafe railing system and parapets.

Specific culverts have been chosen for capital improvements solely through inventory and inspection efforts of County staff. A condition rating system similar to that used for bridges is used to prioritize and program culverts for capital improvements.

Status of Previously Programmed Bridge Projects: Bridge projects completed or under construction in 2012 include: bridges on: Edgemere Drive, Kirk Road, Klem Road, Penfield Road, Burnt Mill Road, and culverts on Redman Road and Salt Road.

Projects that are currently in planning or design and will begin construction in 2013 or later include: Twin Bridge Road Bridge, Edgewood Avenue Bridge, Long Pond Road Bridge, Coldwater Road Bridge, Preventative Maintenance, Rustic Railing and Mendon Center Road culvert.

HIGHWAYS AND BRIDGES - 2013-2018

	Budget		ANNUAL	PROJECT	COST		Total Cost
PROJECT NAME	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	6 Years
Milling/Resurfacing/Recycling c	2,700,000	2,900,000	3,100,000	3,200,000	3,200,000	3,200,000	18,300,000
Culvert Replacement Program c	1,200,000	1,300,000	1,400,000	1,600,000	1,700,000	1,700,000	8,900,000
Highway Rehabilitation Program c	3,400,000	3,500,000	2,900,000	2,400,000	2,550,000	3,940,000	18,690,000
Long Pond Rd. Bridge Over c	30,000						30,000
Round Pond Creek 3369710 s	91,000						91,000
f	485,000	0	0	0	0	0	485,000
total	606,000	U	0	0	U	0	606,000
Twin Bridge Road Bridge over Oatka Creek c	39,000						39,000
3317380 s	115,000						115,000
f	614,000						614,000
total	768,000	0	0	0	0	0	768,000
Preventative Maintenance Bridges/Rustic c	26,000						26,000
Rail s	80,000						80,000
f	425,000						425,000
total	531,000	0	0	0	0	0	531,000
Erie Station Rd-W Henrietta Rd. to Middle c	4,000		150,000				154,000
Road s	13,000		450,000				463,000
f	67,000		2,400,000				2,467,000
total	84,000	0	3,000,000	0	0	0	3,084,000
Bridge Preventive Maintenance c	17,000	66,500	66,500				150,000
s	50,000	200,500	200,500				451,000
f	267,000	1,069,000	1,069,000				2,405,000
total	334,000	1,336,000	1,336,000	0	0	0	3,006,000
Stone Road - Mt. Read Blvd. to c	28,000	8,000		199,000			235,000
Lynette Drive s	84,000	24,000		599,000			707,000
f	448,000	128,000		3,192,000			3,768,000
total	560,000	160,000	0	3,990,000	0	0	4,710,000
South Avenue - Elmwood Ave/Bellvue Dr &							
Elmwood Ave./Mt. Hope/South Ave (City) c	1,000,000	1,000,000	1,100,000	268,000			3,368,000
Edgewood Ave. Bridge over Allen c		51,000					51,000
Edgewood Ave. Bridge over Allen c Creek 3317400 s		154,000					154,000
f		821,000					821,000
total	0	1,026,000	0	0	0	0	1,026,000
Rustic Railing Replacement Project c		75,000	300,000				375,000
Sibley Rd Bridge Rehabilitation over c		10,000		42,000			52,000
Honeoye Creek 3317750 s		30,000		126,000			156,000
f		162,000		671,000			833,000
total	0	202,000	0	839,000	0	0	1,041,000
Phillips Road - Schlegel Rd. to Lake Rd. c		550,000		2,600,000	2,400,000		5,550,000
Coldwater Bood over Little Black Creek			40.000				40.000
Coldwater Road over Little Black Creek c			40,000 122,000				40,000 122,000
f			649,000				649,000
total	0	0	811,000	0	0	0	811,000
Whitney Road - Turk Hill Road to c			49,000		280,000		329,000
Howell Road s			147,000		850,000		997,000
f			800,000		4,200,000		5,000,000
total	0	0	996,000	0	5,330,000	0	6,326,000

Italics denotes a new project

HIGHWAYS AND BRIDGES - 2013-2018

	Budget		ANNUAL	PROJECT	COST		Total Cost
PROJECT NAME	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	6 Years
Bowerman Road Bridge over							
Oatka Creek 3359090 c				400,000		2,012,000	2,412,000
Goodman Street - Bay Street to Clifford							
(City) c				832,000	648,000		1,480,000
Park Rd. Bridge over							
Irondequoit Creek 3317860 c					250,000		250,000
Broadway - S. Union Street to							
Goodman Street (City) c					452,000	1,100,000	1,552,000
Moscow Rd Bridge over Yanty Creek 33171 c						350,000	350,000
Taylor Rd Bridge Over Irondequoit Creek							
3317720 c						275,000	275,000
c = county funds c	8,444,000	9,460,500	9,105,500	11,541,000	11,480,000	12,577,000	62,608,000
s = state funds s	433,000	408,500	919,500	725,000	850,000	0	3,336,000
f = federal funds f	2,306,000	2,180,000	4,918,000			0	17,467,000
TOTAL	11,183,000	12,049,000	14,943,000	16,129,000	16,530,000	12,577,000	83,411,000

Italics denotes a new project

Milling/Resurfacing/Recycling

Project Description: This is an annual program to improve various county highways. Projects in this program are designed to restore deteriorating highways by improving the drainage, edge treatments, shoulders and roadway condition. This program will improve arterial and collector highways in the county and extend the useful life of the roads before major rehabilitation or reconstruction is needed. The projects will improve traffic safety and operating conditions on these highways.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life:	15 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$1,884,200
Annual Operating Costs:	\$- 435,000
Attributable Revenues:	\$ 0
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$1,449,200

Culvert Replacement Program

Project Description: This program is designed to replace deteriorated and inadequate culverts on the county highway system. An inventory is updated each year and the highest priority culverts are scheduled in the program. Replacement of these culverts will improve traffic safety by eliminating the structural, geometric, hydraulic and functional deficiencies such as serious deterioration, narrow shoulders, hydraulic capacity, the possibility of upstream and downstream flooding, etc.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Bond Life:	15 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$ 916,400
Annual Operating Costs:	\$-100,000
Attributable Revenues:	\$ 0
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$ 816,400

Highway Rehabilitation Program

Project Description: This project is designed to restore deteriorating highways by improving the drainage, edge treatments, shoulders and roadway condition. This program will improve roads in the county and extend the useful life of the roads before major reconstruction is needed. The projects will improve traffic safety, drainage and operating conditions on these highways. The roads in this program currently include: Pinnacle Road (Rte. 251 to Thruway), Long Pond Road (Lake Ontario State Parkway to Edgemere Drive), Kuhn Road (Flynn Road to Long Pond Road), Mendon Center Road (Canfield Road to Calkins Road), and Salt Road (Atlantic to Plank).

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life: 15 years
Annual Debt Service Payment: \$1,924,400
Annual Operating Costs: \$ -75,000
Attributable Revenues: \$ 0
Net Operating Budget Impact: \$1,849,400

Long Pond Road Bridge over Round Pond Creek (3369710)

Project Description: This project will provide funds for rehabilitation of Long Pond Road Bridge over Round Pond Creek, in the town of Greece (BIN 3369710). Originally built in 1965, this 47 year old structure is approaching the end of useful life. The 2010 NYSDOT inspection gives this structure a condition rating of 5.273, with a Federal Sufficiency Rating of 44, indicating deterioration. Since the CIP bridge replacement program is intended to replace or rehabilitate deteriorated structures on the County Highway System, this bridge was selected for inclusion in this program.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life:	20 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$ 2,600
Annual Operating Costs:	\$-24,400
Attributable Revenues:	\$ 0
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$-21,800

Twin Bridge Road Bridge over Oatka Creek (3317380)

Project Description: This project will provide funds for rehabilitation of two bridges on Twin Bridge Road over Oatka Creek, in the town of Wheatland. The North bridge (BIN 3317380), originally built in 1929, this 83 year old structure is approaching the end of useful life. The 2010 NYSDOT inspection gives this structure a condition rating of 3.653, with a Federal Sufficiency Rating of 21.3, indicating deterioration. The South bridge (BIN 3317370), was rehabilitated in 1973. This 39-year improvement has served its purpose. The 2010 NYSDOT inspection gives this structure a condition rating of 4.250, with a Federal Sufficiency Rating of 65.4, indicating deterioration. A thorough hydraulic analysis will be performed during design, to determine the hydraulic capacity of both bridges. Planning study will determine how to best rehabilitate these two bridges to extend their useful lives. Since the CIP bridge replacement program is intended to rehabilitate or replace deteriorated structures on the County Highway System, the bridge was selected for inclusion in this program.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life:	20 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$ 3,400
Annual Operating Costs:	\$-69,440
Attributable Revenues:	\$ 0
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$-66,040

Preventative Maintenance Bridges/Rustic Rail

Project Description: Projects in this program are designed to maintain, and prevent from deterioration, various bridges in Monroe County by deck sealing, joint repairs, Rustic railing upgrade and any other needed element specific light repairs. This program is intended to keep up with the cyclic preventive maintenance work of the newer County Bridges in order to extend their service life and protect them from premature deterioration. The bridges in this program include: Linden Avenue over Irondequoit Creek (BIN 1043470), Parma Center Road over Salmon Creek (BIN 3317200), Thornell Road over Irondequoit Creek (BIN 3317280), Clover Street over Allen Creek (BIN 1029000).

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Bond Life:	15	years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$2	,700
Annual Operating Costs:	\$	0
Attributable Revenues:	\$	0
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$2	,700

Erie Station Rd. - W. Henrietta Rd. to Middle Road

Project Description: This project involves the reconstruction of Erie Station Road to include improved drainage, catch basins, replacement of the base and riding surface, curbs and paved shoulders. Adequate bicycle space will be designed into the planned improvements. This project will improve the structural integrity and efficiency of the highway and preserve the investment in the highway system. The 2009 Pavement Quality Index (scale 0-100; worst to best) for this road is 49.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life:	15 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$ 15,900
Annual Operating Costs:	\$-22,500
Attributable Revenues:	\$ 0
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$ -6,600

Bridge Preventive Maintenance

Project Description: Projects in this program are designed to maintain, and prevent from deterioration, various bridges in Monroe County by painting and addressing deck elements. This program is intended to keep up with the cyclic preventive maintenance painting and sealing of the newer County Bridges in order to extend their service life and protect them from premature deterioration. The bridges include: Clarkson-Parma Townline Road over Otis Creek (3317780); Edgemere Drive over Buck Pond Outlet (3317570); Lake Road West Fork over Sandy Creek (3317630); North Greece Road over Northrup Creek (3317520); North Hamlin Road over Sandy Creek (3317920); Winton Road over Erie Canal (4443090).

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life:	10 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$20,400
Annual Operating Costs:	\$ 0
Attributable Revenues:	\$ 0
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$20,400

Stone Road - Mt Read Blvd. to Lynette Drive

Project Description: This project involves the reconstruction of Stone Road to include improved drainage, catch basins, replacement of the base and riding surface, gutters/curbs and paved shoulders. Adequate bicycle space will be designed into the planned improvements. This project will improve the structural integrity and efficiency of the highway and preserve the investment in the highway system. The 2009 Pavement Quality Index (scale 0-100; worst to best) for this road is 47.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life:	15	years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$ 2	4,200
Annual Operating Costs:	\$-2	4,000
Attributable Revenues:	\$	0
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$	200

South Avenue: Elmwood/Bellvue & Elmwood Ave: Mt. Hope/South (City)

Project Description: This project involves the reconstruction of the roadway; installation of curbs, sidewalks, landscaping and street lighting; and drainage and water improvements. This road is an arterial highway in the City of Rochester and is eligible for county funding under section 131-k of the Highway Law. Adequate bicycle space will be designed into the planned improvements.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Bond Life:	15 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$346,800
Annual Operating Costs:	\$ 0
Attributable Revenues:	<u>\$ 0</u>
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$346.800

Edgewood Ave. Bridge over Allen Creek (3317400)

Project Description: This project will provide funds for replacement of Edgewood Avenue Bridge over Allen Creek, in the town of Brighton (BIN 3317400). Originally built in 1953, this 59 year old structure is approaching the end of useful life. The 2010 NYSDOT inspection gives this structure a condition rating of 4.522, with a Federal Sufficiency Rating of 45.5, indicating deterioration. Since the CIP bridge replacement program is intended to replace or rehabilitate deteriorated structures on the County Highway System, this bridge was selected for inclusion in this program.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life:	20 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$ 4,400
Annual Operating Costs:	\$-42,840
Attributable Revenues:	\$ 0
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$-38,440

Rustic Railing Replacement Project

Project Description: There are rustic railings installed at over a dozen locations throughout the County, for various design and aesthetic reasons. These systems range from 5 to 29 years in age and were installed at locations where the public demand required a more aesthetically blending system with their surroundings. These railings, although aesthetically appealing to some neighborhoods, have been deemed prone to premature deterioration and breakdown of the protective coating, in moist environments (such as road sides where they are now installed). The material tends to deteriorate from inside out, making it difficult to visually inspect, while compromising the system's integrity and safety from within. In 2007, NYSDOT issued an engineering bulletin requiring all rustic railings, within the State, to be replaced with conventional galvanized railing, no later than ten years from the issuance of the mandate. We are required to meet this requirement by 2017.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life:	15 y	ears/
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$38	,600
Annual Operating Costs:	\$	0
Attributable Revenues:	\$	0
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$38	,600

Sibley Road Bridge over Honeoye Creek (3317750)

Project Description: This project will provide funds for rehabilitation of Sibley Road Bridge over Honeoye Creek, in the town of Mendon (BIN 3317750). Originally built in 1962, this 50 year old structure will need a major rehabilitation to extend its useful life. The 2010 NYSDOT inspection gives this structure a condition rating of 4.767, with a Federal Sufficiency Rating of 72.9, indicating moderate deterioration. Since the CIP bridge program is intended to rehabilitate or replace deteriorated structures, on the County Highway System, this bridge was selected for inclusion into this program.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life:	20 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$ 4,500
Annual Operating Costs:	\$-45,200
Attributable Revenues:	\$ 0
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$-40,700

Phillips Road - Schlegel Road to Lake Road

Project Description: This project involves the reconstruction of Phillips Road to include improved drainage, catch basins, replacement of the base and riding surface, and paved shoulders. Adequate bicycle space will be designed into the planned improvements. This project will improve the structural integrity and efficiency of the highway and preserve the investment in the highway system. The 2009 Pavement Quality Index (scale 0-100; worst to best) for this road is 56.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Bond Life:	15 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$571.400
Annual Operating Costs:	\$ -52.500
1 3	φ -52,500
Attributable Revenues:	<u>\$ 0</u>
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$518,900

Coldwater Road Bridge over Little Black Creek (1043310)

Project Description: This project will provide funds for replacement of the Coldwater Road Bridge over Little Black Creek, in the town of Chili (1043310). Originally built in 1920, this 92 year old single span concrete drop beam - through girder structure has outlasted its design useful life. The 2010 NYSDOT inspection gives this structure a condition rating of 4.756, with a Federal Sufficiency Rating of 34.8, indicating significant deterioration. Since the CIP bridge program is intended to replace or rehabilitate deteriorated structures on Monroe County System, this bridge was selected for inclusion into this program.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life:	20 y	years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$3,	500
Annual Operating Costs:	\$	0
Attributable Revenues:	\$	0
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$3,	500

Whitney Road - Turk Hill Road to Howell Road

Project Description: This project involves the reconstruction of Whitney Road to include improved drainage, catch basins, replacement of the base and riding surface, and paved shoulders. Adequate bicycle space will be designed into the planned improvements. This project will improve the structural integrity and efficiency of the highway and preserve the investment in the highway system. The 2009 Pavement Quality Index (scale 0-100; worst to best) for this road is 42.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life:	15 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$ 33,900
Annual Operating Costs:	\$-60,000
Attributable Revenues:	<u>\$ 0</u>
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$-26,100

Bowerman Road Bridge over Oatka Creek (3359090)

Project Description: This project will provide funds for replacement of Bowerman Road Bridge over Oatka Creek, in the town of Wheatland (BIN 3359090). Originally built in 1948, this 64 year old structure is approaching the end of useful life. The 2010 NYSDOT inspection report gives this structure a condition rating of 4.569, with a Federal Sufficiency Rating of 42.9, indicating deterioration. Since the CIP bridge program is intended to replace or rehabilitate deteriorated structures on the County Highway System, this bridge was selected for inclusion into this program.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life:	20 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$ 210,300
Annual Operating Costs:	\$-112,000
Attributable Revenues:	\$ 0
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$ 98,300

Goodman Street - Bay Street to Clifford (City)

Project Description: This project involves the reconstruction of the roadway; installation of curbs, sidewalks, landscaping and street lighting; and drainage and water improvements. This road is an arterial highway in the City of Rochester and is eligible for county funding under section 131-k of the Highway Law. Adequate bicycle space will be designed into the planned improvements.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Bond Life:	15 years	S
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$152,40	0
Annual Operating Costs:	\$	C
Attributable Revenues:	\$	C
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$152,40	0

Park Rd. Bridge over Irondequoit Creek (3317860)

Project Description: This project will provide funds for replacement of Park Road Bridge over Irondequoit Creek, in the town of Perinton (Bin 3317860). Originally built in 1957, this 55 year old structure is approaching the end of useful life. The 2010 NYSDOT inspection gives this structure a condition rating of 4.800, with a Federal Sufficiency Rating of 56.8, indicating deterioration. Since the CIP bridge replacement program is intended to replace or rehabilitate deteriorated structures on the County Highway System, this bridge was selected for inclusion in this program.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life:	20 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$ 21,800
Annual Operating Costs:	\$-42,440
Attributable Revenues:	<u>\$ 0</u>
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$-20,640

Broadway - S. Union Street to Goodman Street (City)

Project Description: This project involves the reconstruction of the roadway; installation of curbs, sidewalks, landscaping and street lighting; and drainage and water improvements. This road is an arterial highway in the City of Rochester and is eligible for county funding under section 131-k of the Highway Law. Adequate bicycle space will be designed into the planned improvements.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life:	15 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$159,800
Annual Operating Costs:	\$ 0
Attributable Revenues:	<u>\$ 0</u>
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$159,800

Moscow Road Bridge over Yanty Creek (3317110)

Project Description: This project will provide funds for replacement of Moscow Road Bridge over Yanty Creek, in the town of Hamlin (3317110). Originally built in 1932, this 80 year old structure is approaching the end of useful life. The 2010 NYSDOT inspection gives this structure a condition rating of 4.347, with a Federal Sufficiency Rating of 73.1, indicating deterioration. Since the CIP bridge program is intended to replace or rehabilitate deteriorated structures on the County Highway System, this bridge was selected for inclusion into this program.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

zemmatea / mmaai mipaet en mie e pera	9 _ ~ ~ 9 ~
Bond Life:	20 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$ 30,500
Annual Operating Costs:	\$-62,640
Attributable Revenues:	\$ 0
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$-32,140

Taylor Road Bridge over Irondequoit Creek (3317720)

Project Description: This project will provide funds for replacement of Taylor Road Bridge over Irondequoit Creek, in the town of Mendon (Bin 3317720). Originally built in 1950, this 62 year old structure is approaching the end of its useful life. The 2010 NYSDOT inspection gives this structure a condition rating of 4.517, with a Federal Sufficiency Rating of 84.0, indicating deterioration. Since the CIP bridge replacement program is intended to replace or rehabilitate deteriorated structures on the County Highway System, this bridge was selected for inclusion in this program.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Bond Life:	20 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$24,000
Annual Operating Costs:	\$ 0
Attributable Revenues:	<u>\$ 0</u>
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$24,000

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION -TRAFFIC ENGINEERING

HIGHLIGHTS OF CAPITAL PROGRAM

- Provides for the upgrading and replacement of existing traffic signals and expressway lighting, installation of new traffic signals, expansion of the intelligent transportation system, and expansion of the computerized signal system for the City, towns and villages of Monroe County;
- Undertake spot improvements at accident, traffic congestion and problem locations in Monroe County;
- Replace and upgrade traffic signs to bring them up to today's standards and improve safety for motorists;
- Rehabilitate and improve the Regional Traffic Operations Center to maintain operations at this critical facility.

Various Department of Transportation Divisions are responsible for all the County's traffic engineering needs such as: traffic analysis and design; the computerized traffic control system; signal maintenance; highway lighting; highway spot safety improvement program; and City traffic features needs. These Divisions identify prospects for spot improvements and traffic engineering improvements.

Status of Previously Programmed Projects

Projects that will be completed, or under construction, in 2012 include: Traffic Engineering – (upgrading the County's signal system and expressway lighting infrastructure, expanding the computerized signal system and Intelligent Transportation Systems); Phase III of the Communication Conduit construction; City Traffic Features; Phase III of the Intelligent Transportation Systems (which includes expansion of the traffic signal and traffic camera systems); Spot Improvements to shoulders on County highways; and, Traffic Sign Retroreflectivity Upgrade.

Projects that are currently in planning or design phase and should be under construction in 2013 or later include: Spot improvements: shoulder and vertical curve improvements to County roads; City Traffic Features; Traffic Engineering: continue to upgrade the County's signal system and expressway lighting infrastructure, expand the computerized signal system expansion using fiber optic cable and Traffic Sign Retroreflectivity Upgrade.



TRAFFIC ENGINEERING - 2013-2018

		Budget		ANNUAL	PROJECT	COST		Total Cost
PROJECT NAME		2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	6 Years
Traffic Engineering	С	500,000	600,000	750,000	750,000	750,000	750,000	4,100,000
Spot Improvement Projects	С	500,000	500,000	500,000	600,000	600,000	500,000	3,200,000
City of Rochester Traffic Features	С	500,000	500,000	400,000	400,000	400,000	400,000	2,600,000
Traffic Sign Retroreflectivity Upgrade	С	300,000	300,000	300,000	300,000	300,000	300,000	1,800,000
Regional Traffic Operations Center								
Rehabilitation	С			375,000	375,000			750,000
TC	OTAL c	1,800,000	1,900,000	2,325,000	2,425,000	2,050,000	1,950,000	12,450,000

Traffic Engineering

Project Description: This is a multi-year program designed to provide for the upgrading, expansion and replacement of existing traffic signal and roadway lighting facilities. Tasks include the replacement/upgrades of existing equipment (such as traffic signal and lighting systems controls), installation of new signals and flashers where they are justified, and the expansion of the County's computerized traffic control systems (for improved highway signal system performance).

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life:	5 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$973,300
Annual Operating Costs:	\$ -20,000
Attributable Revenues:	<u>\$ 0</u>
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$953,300

Spot Improvement Projects

Project Description: This is a multi-year program designed to provide improvements to county highways that may have identified safety and/or traffic congestion problems along road sections or at county intersections. These locations have been identified as areas where the accident rate may be higher than the County average or where traffic congestion exists due to continued growth. Additionally, this program will provide adequate paved space for: other modes of transportation i.e. pedestrians/bicyclists, for a recovery area to regain control of a vehicle or provide structural support to the roadway pavement. Improvements may include but are not limited to the cutting and filling of hills and the installation of turn lanes, shoulders and traffic control devices.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life:	15 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$329,500
Annual Operating Costs:	\$ 0
Attributable Revenues:	<u>\$ 0</u>
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$329,500

City of Rochester Traffic Features

Project Description: This project provides funding to reimburse the City for traffic engineering costs associated with those City-initiated highway projects exclusive of 131-k projects. The County of Monroe is responsible for the signal system, pavement markings, and traffic signs on highways in the City of Rochester. There is an obligation to provide traffic-engineering services to the City and this project will provide a mechanism for identifying traffic-engineering costs for specific City projects. This program funds the replacement and upgrading of problem signalized intersections and installation of signs and pavement markings for traffic control for projects undertaken by the City of Rochester through their capital improvement program.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Bond Life:	10 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$353,300
Annual Operating Costs:	\$ 0
Attributable Revenues:	<u>\$ 0</u>
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$353,300

Traffic Sign Retroreflectivity Upgrade

Project Description: This project will replace and upgrade traffic signs on County/City roads that do not meet the minimum retroreflectivity standards or letter size as set forth by the Federal Highway Administration's (FHWA) Manual of Uniform Traffic Control Devices (MUTCD). By replacing signs that are identified not to meet the minimum standards with signs that do, the County's and City's road system will become safer by reducing the collisions that are associated with poor sign visibility and in turn, incur less liability. Due to the recent adoption of newer standards by the Federal Highway Administration's (FHWA) Manual of Uniform Traffic Control Devices (MUTCD), we are mandated to provide brighter signs for nighttime viewing that will benefit all drivers and in particular seniors, which are a growing segment of our population. This project will comply with that mandate.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life:	10 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$244,600
Annual Operating Costs:	\$ 0
Attributable Revenues:	\$ 0
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$244,600

RTOC Rehabilitation

Project Description: This project provides funds for rehabilitation and improvements to the Regional Traffic Operations Center (RTOC) to maintain the operations of the computerized signal system, the County's and NYSDOT's signal operations and the New York State Police. Improvements include roof replacement, HVAC upgrades, parking lot repaving/resurfacing, sidewalks, plumbing upgrades and other building/facility upgrades as required.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Bond Life:	15 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$77,200
Annual Operating Costs:	\$ 0
Attributable Revenues:	<u>\$ 0</u>
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$77,200

MONROE COUNTY WATER AUTHORITY


HIGHLIGHTS OF CAPITAL PROGRAM

Continue with prudent infrastructure renewals and replacements in order to economically achieve a safe, secure and reliable water supply system for the community.

The Monroe County Water Authority is the largest water supplier in the region, furnishing water to over 650,000 people in the region through 170,000 services on a retail or direct basis and 20,000 services on a wholesale basis. The Water Authority serves Monroe County and portions of each of the surrounding counties. The City of Rochester and the Village of Webster still operate their own water production facilities; however, the City and the Water Authority have an exchange agreement whereby each obtains substantial quantities of water from the other and the Water Authority also serves a portion of the Village of Webster.

Due to the size of the Water Authority's operation, substantial economies of scale are being realized in meeting the Region's increasing public water supply requirements. This scale allows for increased effectiveness in the production, transmission and storage of our water resources. The objective of using Lake Ontario as the principal source of supply allows the Authority to enjoy a relatively unlimited supply of high quality raw water, thereby, avoiding the water quality problems that have been experienced by many smaller public suppliers in the region.

The Water Authority's asset management planning focuses on timely renewals and replacements of the water supply infrastructure such that the economic life of these assets is maximized and the high degree of reliability demanded by the residential, commercial and industrial customers is achieved.



Status of Previously Programmed Projects

The following projects were completed or underway during the past year:

- Hydrant, valve and meter replacement candidates have been prioritized and replacement work is ongoing.
- The storage facilities rehabilitation and water main rehabilitation projects are underway.

WATER AUTHORITY - 2013-2018

		Budget		ANNUAL	PROJECT	COST		Total Cost
PROJECT NAME		2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	6 Years
Hydrant Replacement Program	d	200,000	200,000	200,000	200,000	200,000	200,000	1,200,000
Valve Replacement Program	d	200,000	200,000	200,000	200,000	200,000	200,000	1,200,000
Residential Meter Replacement and Upgrade Program	d	1,450,000	1,450,000	1,450,000	1,450,000	1,450,000	1,450,000	8,700,000
Large Meter Replacement and Upgrade Program	d	320,000	340.000	355.000	370.000	380.000	380.000	2,145,000
Storage Facilities Rehabilitation	d	2,615,000	2,010,000	1,880,000	1,740,000		2,000,000	12,245,000
Water Main Rehabilitation	d	1,500,000	1,500,000	1,500,000	, ,	, ,		9,000,000
	TOTAL d	6,285,000	5,700,000	5,585,000	5,460,000	5,730,000	5,730,000	34,490,000

Hydrant Replacement Program

Project Description: The hydrant replacement program is for the systematic, system wide replacement of older, leaking, or damaged hydrants. Hydrants are replaced based upon maintenance inspections, leak detection testing, and damage as a result of vehicular accidents. In order to keep the required fire protection throughout the water system, older, outdated and damaged hydrants have to be replaced on a regular schedule.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life:	20 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$104,600
Annual Operating Costs:	\$ 0
Attributable Revenues:	\$104,600
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$ 0

Valve Replacement Program

Project Description: Based on on-going inspection and problems discovered in operating the system, valves are selected for replacement or additional valves are installed. Properly functioning valves are essential for the daily operation and maintenance of the water system, and for rapid usage during emergency situations.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life:	10	years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$16	3,000
Annual Operating Costs:	\$	0
Attributable Revenues:	\$16	3,000
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$	0

Residential Meter Replacement and Upgrade Program

Project Description: The Water Authority policy is to replace residential meters on a 25 year cycle. The meter replacement program ensures optimum revenue through accurate registration of residential water consumption.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life:	15 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$895,800
Annual Operating Costs:	\$ 0
Attributable Revenues:	\$895,800
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$ 0

Large Meter Replacement and Upgrade Program

Project Description: The Water Authority has a continuous meter maintenance program for large meters that ensures optimum revenue through accurate registration of water consumption. The project benefits both the customer and Water Authority by working towards the goal of accurate registration of water consumed by the largest customers and provides for accurate billings and revenue receivables.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life:	20 y	ears
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$187	7,000
Annual Operating Costs:	\$	0
Attributable Revenues:	\$187	7,000
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$	0

Storage Facilities Rehabilitation

Project Description: This is a long-term annual program to secure, upgrade, repair, and paint tanks in the Authority's production and distribution systems. There are currently 44 potable water storage tanks in the system, of which 33 are steel and the remainder concrete. The expected life of the paint coating systems ranges from 15-25 years, depending on conditions and existing coating systems.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life:	20 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$1,067,600
Annual Operating Costs:	\$ 0
Attributable Revenues:	\$1,067,600
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$ 0

Water Main Rehabilitation

Project Description: This is a long-term multi-year program for rehabilitation of old pipelines in the Authority's system by either rehabilitating the old mains by cement lining existing water mains or replacing them. Approximately five miles of water main are rehabilitated per year. Cleaning and lining improves chlorine residual retention and reduces dirty water complaints. The lining process also provides increased hydraulic capacity in the vicinity of the lining project, and reduces internal corrosion. It has advantages over direct replacement as it is less disruptive to the community.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Bond Life:	20 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$784,700
Annual Operating Costs:	\$ 0
Attributable Revenues:	<u>\$784,700</u>
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$ 0

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

PUBLIC SAFETY SECTOR

Strategic Framework

Vision

Monroe County's Public Safety sector working together with the community, is dedicated to providing all citizens a safer and fear-free community, while maintaining a fair and equitable system of justice for citizen, offender and victim alike.

Mission

The Public Safety sector is a service area of Monroe County government that provides safety, security, legal, prevention and education services to protect its customers in an equitable, fair, just and timely manner in a way that adds highest value to everything we do.

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

MONROE COUNTY OFFICE OF THE SHERIFF

HIGHLIGHTS OF CAPITAL PROGRAM

- Monroe County Jail and Correctional Facility Improvements
- Shotgun and Rifle Replacement
- TEU/STOP DWI Van and equipment replacement
- Court Bureau Base Station and Exterior Camera Replacement



The Monroe County Office of the Sheriff is the primary provider of law enforcement services to thirteen towns and five villages in Monroe County. Deputy Sheriffs conduct specialized police activities at the County parks, airport and on navigational waterways. The Police Bureau Administration directs and oversees all operations from the downtown County Public Safety Building. Road Patrol deputies operate out of three decentralized zone substations. Last year, Sheriff's deputies answered over 204,166 calls for service. The Road Patrol's uniformed deputies are the most visible segment of the Police Bureau. Deputies investigate crimes and complaints, patrol neighborhoods, enforce traffic laws and conduct community education programs.

The Monroe County Jail Bureau staff provides care and custody of inmates confined by the courts, the New York State Parole Office and the United States Marshal. The Jail Bureau staff is responsible for an inmate population which fluctuates throughout the year from a mid 1,300 to a high of 1,500 for a daily population. The jail population consists of: nonsentenced prisoners - awaiting trial or sentencing, and sentenced prisoners - serving a maximum one year sentence, parole violators and federal detainee. The Jail Bureau Administration provides staff and facilities for security, prisoner transport, medical care, inmate rehabilitation and other related support activities.

The Civil Bureau staff is comprised of civilian and sworn employees. Deputies are responsible for the service of all civil process such as summonses, subpoenas and citations. In addition to civil process, the deputies also serve subpoenas issued by the District Attorney and verify voter residency for the Board of Elections. The deputies are court enforcement officers and may collect money by virtue of executions on real and personal property to satisfy money judgments. They are also responsible for enforcement of court orders such as civil arrests, evictions and seizures of property.

The Court Security Unit deputies maintain order and decorum during court functions and provide security in the Hall of Justice. These deputies place persons in custody on the order of a judge, provide security and transportation for sequestered juries and act as an informational source to the public. The Court Security Bureau preserves the integrity of the judicial process by ensuring the orderly transactions of all court procedures.

Status of Previously Programmed Projects

- Renovations and maintenance to the Monroe County Jail and Correctional Facility continue.
- Sheriff's Range Facility location is being evaluated.
- Replacement of passenger bus is underway

OFFICE OF THE SHERIFF - 2013-2018

		Budget		ANNUAL	PROJECT	COST		Total Cost
PROJECT NAME		2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	6 Years
Monroe County Jail and Correctional Facility	,							
Improvements	С	750,000	1,000,000	750,000	750,000	2,250,000	500,000	6,000,000
Sheriff's Shotgun and Rifle Replacement	С	350,000						350,000
Sheriff's TEU/STOP DWI Van and Equipment Replacement	С			200,000	200,000			400,000
County Public Safety Building Reconstruction	С				500.000	3,000,000		3,500,000
TOTAL	С	1,100,000	1,000,000	950,000	1,450,000	, ,		, ,

Monroe County Jail and Correctional Facility Improvements

Project Description: This project will include interior improvements to the Monroe County Jail building to include plumbing, HVAC, electrical and masonry repairs, security system upgrades, window replacement and caulking as well as fire/life protection improvements. This project also involves projects for the Monroe County Correctional Facility and site improvements at Sheriff's Department facilities which will include paving, drainage improvements, fencing, access control and security.

The new project for 2018 is for the Plaza Level to be redesigned for use by our Jail Medical Unit. 2018 funding is for the design phase of the project, future funding will need to be budgeted in 2019 for reconstruction.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life:	10 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$815,200
Annual Operating Costs:	\$ 0
Attributable Revenues:	<u>\$</u> 0
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$815,200

Sheriff's Shotgun and Rifle Replacement

Project Description: The project entails the purchase and replacement of 110 shotguns that will be almost 20 years at the time of replacement. Rifles will also be purchased to implement an Active Shooter Program for the Sheriff's Road Patrol which will equip and train instructors and road patrol deputies with rifles and ammunition to enhance both officer and public safety.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life:	10 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$47,600
Annual Operating Costs:	\$ 0
Attributable Revenues:	<u>\$ 0</u>
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$47,600

Sheriff's TEU/STOP DWI Van and Equipment Replacement

Project Description: This project involves replacing one of the two Sheriff's DWI Processing Vans and associated equipment. At the time of replacement, the van will be over 8 years old.

Environmental Review: This project is an Exempt Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life:	5 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$95,000
Annual Operating Costs:	\$ 0
Attributable Revenues:	<u>\$ 0</u>
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$95,000

County Public Safety Building Reconstruction

Project Description: The building is over 40 years old and many of the systems are reaching the end of their useful life and need replacement. This project will fund design and reconstruction of the 2nd and 3rd Floor for use by the Sheriff's Office. Improvements will include electrical, plumbing, steam, chilled water and security systems. Also included are changes to the building to make it suitable for the needs of the Sheriff's Office.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Bond Life:	-	20 y	ears
Annual Debt Service Payment:		\$308	5,100
Annual Operating Costs:		\$	0
Attributable Revenues:		\$	0
Net Operating Budget Impact:		\$308	5,100

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY

HIGHLIGHTS OF CAPITAL PROGRAM

The Monroe County Department of Public Safety provides technical support, staff services, and coordination and liaison services to various direct public safety service agencies. Public Safety services are interwoven among the various divisions, County departments and other governmental agencies. The Department of Public Safety is the support mechanism for first line response as the 'glue' that holds the safety of responders and ultimately, the community. Heightened emphasis in homeland security planning and response has increased demands and regulations on public safety personnel.

Public Safety Communications provides technical expertise, maintenance and installation of public safety and other government radios. Through an agreement with the City of Rochester, the County assumed full responsibility for maintenance and replacement of all communications, telephone and information technology and personnel costs for the 911 Emergency Communications Center.

Monroe County has begun the design phase of the replacement of the Computer Aided Dispatch System at Emergency Communications Department/911 Center. The Public Safety Integrated Management Information System project will integrate systems such as the Jail Management System, Records Management Systems, Mobile Data Technology System, etc. The Computer Aided Dispatch System serves as the core of the 9-1-1 structure by taking information from the phone system and moves events and dispatch data between call-takers and dispatchers. The 2013-18 CIP includes future hardware and software upgrades to adhere to the current Information Services policy of a five (5) year refresh to comply with ever-changing technology.



Status of Previously Programmed Projects

Monroe County Crime Lab - Monroe County is required to provide, "in a public safety laboratory, crime detection services through the analysis of evidence associated with possible crimes" and to provide services "to those counties outside the County of Monroe which desire to use the services of the laboratory" (in accordance with section C6-18 of the County Charter. Monroe County currently services all criminal justice agencies (federal, state and local) agencies throughout Monroe and eight surrounding counties of Genesee, Livingston, Ontario, Seneca, Wayne, Wyoming, Yates, and Orleans.

Construction of the state-of-the-art crime laboratory was completed in May 2011. The new facility offers workflow efficiencies and offers new services such as digital evidence analysis.

Computer Aided Dispatch (CAD) replacement system - Monroe County began the integrated management of public safety records by upgrading the jail management system in February 2011. Also, design and testing on the CAD portion of the project was ongoing through 2011 with expected implementation in early 2013.

PUBLIC SAFETY SERVICES - 2013-2018

	Budge	t		ANNUAL	PROJECT	COST		Total Cost
PROJECT NAME	2013		2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	6 Years
Public Safety Training Center								
Capital Improvements			200,000	147,000	125,000		150,000	622,000
Public Safety Integrated System Management Upgrade and Enhancements						732,000	340,000	1,072,000
TOTAL	С	0	200,000	147,000	125,000	732,000	490,000	1,694,000

Public Safety Training Center Capital Improvements

Project Description: This project will replace and/or renovate aging facility infrastructure and specialized training props at the Public Safety Training Facility with current technology. The facility is heavily used for training first responders throughout Monroe and surrounding counties, as well as a number of other states and countries. A building systems and equipment analysis was performed by the Monroe County Division of Engineering.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life:	10 y	ears
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$84,500	
Annual Operating Costs:	\$	0
Attributable Revenues:	\$	0
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$84	,500

911/Public Safety Integrated System Management Upgrade and Enhancements

Project Description: This project will involve replacement of computer equipment and software and the integration of computer management systems at the 911 Operations Center, Monroe County Jail, and Correctional Facility. Equipment replacements will include servers, laptops, desktops, monitors, video connections, networking infrastructure and ancillary computer user equipment. System software will also be evaluated and upgraded as necessary to improve integration of public safety information systems. This project is beyond the scope of public safety technology and facilities services provided to Monroe County by Monroe Security & Safety Systems LDC, a not-for-profit local development corporation.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Bond Life:	5 yea	rs
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$254,	500
Annual Operating Costs:	\$	0
Attributable Revenues:	\$	0
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$254,	500