

Office of the County Executive

Monroe County, New York

Adam J. Bello
County Executive

January 13, 2021

No. 210030

Not to be removed from the Office of the Legislature Of

Monroe County
Committee Assignment

ENV. & PUB. WORKS

Monroe County Legislature 407 County Office Building Rochester, New York 14614

To The Honorable

Subject:

Classification of Action and Determination of Significance Pursuant to the State Environmental Quality Review Act for the Construction of a Snow Removal Equipment (SRE) Building on Vacant Lands Currently Owned by Monroe County

Honorable Legislators:

I recommend that Your Honorable Body determine whether the construction of +/-27,000 square feet ("SF") new metal building and +/- 83,520 SF of associated taxi-lane and apron space (the "project") is determined to have a significant adverse impact on the environment pursuant to the State Environmental Quality Review Act ("SEQRA").

In accordance with the Federal Aviation Administration requirements outlined in Advisory Circulars 150/5200-30D, 150/5220-20A, and 150/5220-18A, the new building will store twelve (12) pieces of snow removal equipment that bring the total snow removal capacity up to the authorized designated level. The project will redevelop currently vacant land owned by Monroe County.

The SEQRA regulations found at 6 NYCRR Part 617 requires that no agency shall carry out or approve an Action until it has complied with the requirements of SEQRA.

The specific legislative actions required are:

- 1. Determine that the Project is an Unlisted action.
- 2. Make a determination of significance regarding the Project pursuant to 6 NYCRR § 617.7.

3. Authorize the County Executive, or his designee, to take such actions to comply with the requirements of the State Environmental Quality Review Act, including without limitation, the execution of documents and the filing, distribution and publication of the documents required under the State Environmental Quality Review Act, and any other actions to implement the intent of this resolution.

This determination will have no impact on the revenues or expenditures of the current Monroe County budget.

I recommend that this matter be referred to the appropriate committee(s) for favorable action by Your Honorable Body.

Adam J. Bello

Monroe County Executive

AJB:db

Short Environmental Assessment Form Part 1 - Project Information

Instructions for Completing


Part 1 – Project Information. The applicant or project sponsor is responsible for the completion of Part 1. Responses become part of the application for approval or funding, are subject to public review, and may be subject to further verification. Complete Part 1 based on information currently available. If additional research or investigation would be needed to fully respond to any item, please answer as thoroughly as possible based on current information.

Complete all items in Part 1. You may also provide any additional information which you believe will be needed by or useful to the lead agency; attach additional pages as necessary to supplement any item.

Part I - Project and Sponsor Information	ı					
Monroe County, Airport Administration						
Name of Action or Project:						
Snow Removal Equipment Building (SRE)						
Project Location (describe, and attach a loca	• 5 5					
Greater Rochester International Airport (1135 Broo	ks Ave (SBL 135-13-1-1.002); 1177-1185	Scottsville Road (southwes	st of City of Rochester))			
offer Description of Proposed Action:						
Construction of 27,000 +/- SF of new metal building Airport. An additional 1,250 +/-SF of mechanical sp 135.13-1-1.002, 135.13-1-1.002 and 135.03-1-23, r constructing a new associated taxilane/apron space secure the building inside the airport fence at the co	equire annexation and resubdivision for t	i ne proposea developme	ent will cross parcels (parce! II			
Name of Applicant or Sponsor:		Telephone: (585) 753-	7109			
fonroe County Airport Administration (Michael Wall)	E-Mail: MichaelWall@monroecounty gov				
Address;		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	norroecounty gov			
200 Brooks Ave						
City/PO:		State:	Zip Code:			
		NY	14624			
Does the proposed action only involve the administrative rule, or regulation?			NO YES			
f Yes, attach a narrative description of the in-	tent of the proposed action and the e	nvironmental resources (that 🖂 🗀			
and brocked in the mannethanty and brock	ed to Part 2. If no, continue to ones	tion 2				
. Ducs the monosed action require a warmi	t, approval or funding from any other	er government Agency?	NO YES			
Does the proposed action require a permi f Yes, list agency(s) name and permit or appr	oral case of an arm	- •				
	OVAIT FAA funding, City of Rochester (ar and Town of Chili (annexation)	nnexation and zoning classil	ication)			
f Yes. list agency(s) name and permit or appropriate a permit or appropriate and acreage of the site of the propose b. Total acreage to be physically disturbed c. Total acreage (project site and any controlled by the applicant or project.)	ovar: FAA funding, City of Rochester (ar and Town of Chili (annexation) d action? d?	4.5 +/- acres 2 +/- acres 2400 +/- acres	(cation)			
a. Total acreage of the site of the propose b. Total acreage to be physically disturbed c. Total acreage (project site and any contour controlled by the applicant or project.)	ovar: FAA funding, City of Rochester (ar and Town of Chili (annexation) d action? d'? iguous properties) owned ect sponsor?	4.5 +/- acres 2 +/- acres	(cation)			
a. Total acreage of the site of the propose b. Total acreage to be physically disturbed c. Total acreage (project site and any contour or controlled by the applicant or project.) Check all land uses that occur on, are adjo	ovar: FAA funding, City of Rochester (ar and Town of Chili (annexation) d action? d? diguous properties) owned ect sponsor? ining or near the proposed action:	4.5 +/- acres 2 +/- acres 2 +/- acres				
a. Total acreage of the site of the propose b. Total acreage to be physically disturbed c. Total acreage (project site and any controlled by the applicant or project.) Check all land uses that occur on, are adjo	ovar: FAA funding, City of Rochester (ar and Town of Chili (annexation) d action? d'? iguous properties) owned ect sponsor?	4.5 +/- acres 2 +/- acres 2400 +/- acres				

5.		Is the proposed action,	LVO	11100	1
			NO	YES	N/A
		a. A permitted use under the zoning regulations?		V	
		b. Consistent with the adopted comprehensive plan?	一片	V	
				NO	YES
6.		Is the proposed action consistent with the predominant character of the existing built or natural landsca	ape?		1 63
7.	ĵ	Is the site of the proposed action located in, or does it adjoin, a state listed Critical Environmental Area	a?	NO	YES
		es, identify:			1 1 2 3
	_				
8.	а	a. Will the proposed action result in a substantial increase in traffic above present levels?		NO	YES
				7	
	h	b. Are public transportation services available at or near the site of the proposed action?			片岩
	Ç	e. Are any pedestrian accommodations or bicycle routes available on or near the site of the proposed		닏ᆜ	
	_	action?	Ţ		
9.		Does the proposed action meet or exceed the state energy code requirements?		NO	YES
lt th	ıe	proposed action will exceed requirements, describe design features and technologies:			
10	14	Will the proposed action connect to an existing public/private water supply?			
				NO	YES
		If No, describe method for providing potable water:			
					$ \mathbf{V} $
1.1	11	Wil do was a later of the same			
14.	¥¥	Vill the proposed action connect to existing wastewater utilities?		NO	YES
		If No, describe method for providing wastewater treatment:			
]		
12. s vehic	a. ds	Does the project site contain, or is it substantially contiguous to, a building, archaeological site, or dist	trict	NO	YES
Com	m	is listed on the National or State Register of Historic Places, or that has been determined by the missioner of the NYS Office of Parks. Recreation and Historic Preservation to be eligible for listing on the NYS Office of Parks.		V	
State	: R	Register of Historic Places?	.ne		
	h.	b. Is the project site, or any portion of it, located in or adjacent to an area designated as sensitive for			✓
arena	ıcı	cological sites on the NY State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) archaeological site inventory?	-		
13. 1	a. we	Does any portion of the site of the proposed action, or lands adjoining the proposed action, contain etlands or other waterhodies regulated by a federal, state or local agency?		NO	YES
				\Box	
ı) .	Would the proposed action physically alter, or encroach into, any existing wetland or waterbody?	1		\exists
lf Ye	S,	identify the wetland or waterbody and extent of alterations in square feet or acres:	-		
he G	ene	nesee River is south of the project area, however, it will not be altered.		,	

14 Identify the typical habitat types that any		
14. Identify the typical habitat types that occur on, or are likely to be found on the project site. Check all that apply	r:	
Shoreline Forest Agricultural/grasslands Early mid-successional		
☐ Wetland ☑ Urban ☑ Suburban		
15. Does the site of the proposed action contain any species of animal, or associated habitats, listed by the State or		,
Federal government as threatened or endangered?	NO	YE
16. Is the project site located in the 100-year flood plan?	NO	YES
		TE
17. Will the proposed action create storm water discharge, either from point or non-point sources?	NO	YES
If Yes.	V	
Will Storm water discharges flow to adjacent reconstitute	TY.	4
waste distributed adjacent properties?		
b. Will storm water discharges be directed to established conveyance systems (runoff and storm drains)?		17
If Yes, briefly describe:		IA
Runoff from the building will be conveyed to existing drainage system		1
The second state of the se		
18 Does the proposed action includes		
18. Does the proposed action include construction or other activities that would result in the impoundment of water or other liquids (e.g., retention pond, waste lagoon, dam)?	NO	YES
If Yes, explain the purpose and size of the impoundment		
	7	
19. Has the site of the proposed action or an adjoining property been the location of an active or closed solid waste		20000
management facility? If Yes, describe	NO	YES
II Tes, describe		
	$ \checkmark $	
20 Upu the gift. Cal.		
20.Has the site of the proposed action or an adjoining property been the subject of remediation (ongoing or completed) for hazardous waste?	NO	YES
If Yes, describe:		
NVCDCC Carrier LAD		
NYSDEC Environmental Remediation Database and the ECHO (Enforcement and Compliance History Online) revealed one site at 185 Scottsville Road, adjacent to the project area. This site (NYD082758004) was closed 2011-2013 (see attached)		Y
I CERTIFY THAT THE INFORMATION PROVIDED ABOVE IS TRUE AND ACCURATE TO THE BE		
MY KNOWLEDGE	ST OF	- 1
Applicant/sponsormanies-thicknet Wall-Greater Rock-ester Internal Argort Administration Date: 11/5/82		
Date 11/5/2	200	
Signature: Title: Construction Project Manager		
		From:




Part 1 / Question 7 [Critical Environmental Area]	No
Part 1 / Question 12a [National or State Register of Historic Places or State Eligible Sites]	No
Part 1 / Question 12b [Archeological Sites]	Yes
Part 1 / Question 13a [Wetlands or Other Regulated Waterbodies]	Yes - Digital mapping information on local and federal wetlands and waterbodies is known to be incomplete. Refer to EAF Workbook.
Part 1 / Question 15 [Threatened or Endangered Animal]	No
Part 1 / Question 16 [100 Year Flood Plain]	No
Part 1 / Question 20 [Remediation Site]	Yes

Appendices

Project Sketch





Blue outline is the project site. Green is airport property, SBL 135-13-1-1.002 and 135.13-1-1.002 Red outline are the lands to be annexed (SBL 135.03-1-23) and resubdivided into airport property


Archeology

The entire airport is within an archaeological sensitive area buffer zone, however no archaeological artifacts were reported when the site was developed by previous occupants



Wetlands

The project site is not located within a wetland. Cursory mapping identified a stream through the project site, which, upon further investigation by a field-visit confirmed that there is no stream within the project limits.



Sources, Ean, HERE, Garmen, Intermap, increment P. Corp., GEBEC., USGS, FAO, NPS, NRCAN, GeoBase, KGN, Kidasiter NL, Ordnance Survey, Esn Japan, METi, Esn Chéna (Hong Köng), (c) OpenStreetMap contributors, and the GIS User Community

0.1

Riverine

State Regulated Preshwater Wetlands
Coutsine of the Administrate Park

0.04 0.05

0.16 mi

NYS Department of Envronmental Conservation Not a legal document

Hazardous Waste Sites



Detailed Facility Report

Facility Summary

MONROE CTY GREATER ROCHESTER INT'L APRT 1185 SCOTTSVILLE ROAD, ROCHESTER, NY 14624

FRS (Facility Registry Service) ID: 110000881314

EPA Region: 02 Latitude: 43.11954 Longitude: -77.65414

Locational Data Source: FRS

Industry:

Indian Country: N

Enforcement and Compliance Summary

Sistele	RCRA
loss (5 hors)	SOUTH STATE OF THE
Date of Last Suspection	0511/1996
Degree Uniggisle State	No. Other Size pay (bited
Qtrs with NC (af 12)	0
Qtrs with Significant Violation	•
Informal Enforcement Actions (5 years).	
Formal Enforcement Actions (5 years)	-
Pensities from Formal Enforcement Actions (5 years)	
EPA Cases (5 years)	
Penalties from EFA Coact (5 years)	
Penalities from EPA Conce (5 years)	

Regulatory Information

Clean Air Act (CAA): No Information Clean Water Act (CWA): No Information

Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA): Active (NYD082758004)

Safe Drinking Water Act (SDWA): No Information

Other Regulatory Reports

Air Emissions Inventory (EIS): No Information Greenhouse Gas Emissions (eGGRT): No Information

Toxic Releases (TRI): 14624MYCRM1185S

Compliance and Emissions Data Reporting Interface (CEDRI): No Information

Known Data Problems

Facility/System Characteristics

Facility/System Characteristics

System FRS	Stamme	Identifier	Unsterne	Slahes	Areas	Permit Expiration Date	Tedian (nustry	Latinule	Longrade
TRI	FP913	14424545 CR5011855	Torses Release Inventory	Last Reported for 1993			N .	43 11954	-77 65414
RCRAInfo	ROLA	NYD082738004	sqg	Active (III.)			н	43 11985	-77 654309

Facility Address

1 1	ystem FitS	Statute	Figuration 31-4	Pacility Number MINSRUE CTY GREATER RICHESTER INT LAPRI	Facility Address Facility Address Facility Address Facility Address Facility Address Facility Address
'	TRI	17513	14674311 CRM11815	(NALICOAT INC	HRSSCOTTSVILLERD, ROCHESTER, NY 14624
RCR	RAInfo	RCRA	NY D082758004	MONROF CTV GREATER ROCHESTER INT 1. APRI	HASSCOTTSVILLE RD, RIKTIESTER, NY 14614

Facility SIC (Standard Industrial Classification) Codes

,	System	Identifier	SIC Code	SIL Description
L	пи	F4624MYCRM11095	3479	Metal Coating And Ailsed Services

Facility NAICS (North American Industry Classification System) Codes

System	ldentifier	NAICS Code	NAICS Description	
TRE	1462450YCR5011855	312012	Metal Conting, Fagraving (except fewelry and 5th erware), and Allied Services to Manufacturers	1
RCRAInfo	NYD682758004	48100	Scheskeled Passenger Air Transportation	1

Facility Tribe Information

1	Reservation Name	Terbe Name	FPA Trital ID	Distance to Tribe (males)
		No	lata records returned	

Enforcement and Compliance

Compliance Monitoring History (5 years)

Statune Source ID System Activity Type Compliance Monitoring Type Lead Agency Date Finding (if applicable)
No data records returned

Entries in italics are not counted in EPA compliance monitoring strategies or annual results.

Compliance Summary Data

RCRA NYD08275800		Current SN	C (Significant None	No No	ligh Priority Violatio	(a)	Current Aa 89/19/202	1	Qtrs with NC (Nonce 0	implance) (nf 12)	- 1	Last Refreshed 09/18/2020
DC								<u> </u>				AST FEEL
Three-Year Co	mpliance	History	by Qua	rter							*	
Statute Program/Pollutant/Violetion												
RCRA (Searce ID:	QTR 1 16-01-12/31/17	QTR 2 01/01-03/31/18	QTR 3 04/01-06/30/18	QTR 4 07/01-09/30/18	QTR 5	QTR 6	QTR 7	QTR #	QTR 9	QTR 10	QTR II	QTR 12-
NYD062750061) Facility-Level Status	National line	National Inches	Sphillips .	No Valletina	Na Makatan	01/01-03/31/19	04/01-06/30/19	07/01-09/10/19	19/01-12/31/19	01/01-03/31/20	04.01-06/30/20	07/01-09/30/20
	Service of the last	and and	Identified	Spraished	Schooling	Monthles	Monthline	life agitted	Phonesis	Biomick.	Man, letterd	No Voletion Identified
T 0 1 - 1												
Informal Enfor	cement A	Actions (5 Years)									
Statuse 1	System		Source	ĮÞ.		Type of A	ı ÇTaram		L	ead Agency		Date
					No data n	rcords returned						
Entries in italics a	re not count	ed as "info	rmal enforc	rement acti	ons" in EPA	l policies pe	ertaining to	enforceme	nt response	tools.		-
						•	_					
Formal Enforce	ement Ac	tions (5	Years)									
Statute System LawSection	Source (E) Action	Type Case No	Lead Agmey	Case Name 1s	toed Filed Date No data to	Settlements Action	s Settlement	Action Date 6	Federal Penalty :	State/Local Penalty	SEP Cost Co	mp Action Cost
										 -		
									-			
100 Maria 100		- 47							Ng.			
Environmen	tal Cor	dition	<u>s</u>									
Watershed(s)												
12 Digit WBD (Watershed Suundary (RAD (Reach Address Data)	Delascij HUC Will best)j	(Watershed Bound (RAD (Reac	ary Dataset) Subwat h Address Detabase	tershed Name Sta	ate Water Hody Nam Compliance Inform	e (ICIS (Integrated atton System))	Beach Closures Within Last Year		Within Pollutages are Related to	Potentially Water	rrahed with ESA [Fa. Act]-laited Aquata	dangered Species
					No data rec	ords returned						1.7
Assessed Waters	s From I	atest Ste	ta Subm	riccion (ATTA IN	C)						
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		atest Sta	ite Subii	11331011 (2	AI IAIN	3)						
State Report Cycle Asset	nment Vast ID	Asterizoneni Un	4 Name W	/strr Condition	Cause Groups I		Inaking Water Use	Ecological t	Use Frish Co	Prumption Use	Recreation Use	Other Use
					PO 636 FEC	ords returned						
Air Quality												
A. a. a. a.	VII.			20.5								
N-sultanes No				Pollutanii 1		1		Apple	Lable Nunattainment	Standard(s)		
No				Lead								
No				Particulate Matter					 			
No				Carbon Monorada								
No				Nitrogen Dioxide								
No				Sulfur Dioxide								
					4 - 4		-	- 03		2		
		- 1								12 11 11		
Pollutants												5
												-
Toxics Release In	iventory	History	of Repoi	rted Che	emicals R	teleased	in Pounc	is per Ye	ear at Sit	e		P
TRU Facility ID Year Tatal Air E		te Water Drucharges			Vs (Publicly Owned						_	
E A		72		_10_	No data recor		r som 190	and Enjections	References to Land	Total On-site Relea	ees Total Olfi-	site Transfers

Toxics Release Inventory Total Releases and Transfers in Pounds by Chemical and Year							
F		Chemical Name					
	- 69	ho data records retermed	1				
			į				

Demographic Profile

EJSCREEN EJ Indexes

Eleven primary environmental justice (EJ) indexes of EJSCREEN, EPA's screening tool for EJ concerns. EPA uses these indexes to identify geographic areas that may warrant further consideration or analysis for potential EJ concerns. The index values below are for the Census block group in which the facility is located. Note that use of these indexes does not designate an area as an "EJ community" or "EJ facility." EJSCREEN provides screening level indicators, not a determination of the existence or absence of EJ concerns. For more information, see the EJSCREEN home page.

ı			
ı	Census Block Group EJ fadezes (percentile)		Number of Ed Indexes Above 30th Percentile
	Particulate Matter (PM 2.5)	58.5	O THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN P.
I	Onnie NATA Desel PM	58 5	
١	NATA Ast Tuxics Cancer Risk	10	View EJSCRIEN Report
١	MATA Responsively Hazard Index (HII)		
I	Traffic Protonty	19.2	
	E and Paret Indicator	626	
	Superfund Proximity	36.3	
l	Risk Management Plan (RMP) Procunity	58.3	
	Sarathous Waste Processity	58.3	
	Wasternates Electrange Proximity	74 B	

Demographic Profile of Surrounding Area (3 Miles)

This section provides demographic information regarding the community surrounding the facility. ECHO compliance data alone are not sufficient to determine whether violations at a particular facility had negative impacts on public health or the environment. Statistics are based upon the 2010 U.S. Census and 2006-2010 American Community Survey 5-Year Summary and are accurate to the extent that the facility latitude and longitude listed below are correct. EPA's spatial processing methodology considers the overlap between the selected radii and the census blocks (for U.S. Census demographics) and census block groups (for ACS demographics) in determining the demographics surrounding the facility. For more detail about this methodology, see the <u>DI-R Data Dictionary</u>.

General Statistics			
Total Fersons		Age Breshdown - Persons (**)	
	12.207	Children 5 years and younger	5,214 (6*a)
Population Density	2,472 aq ma	Minors 17 years and younger	12,571 (21%)
Percent Managery	Ser-a	Adults 14 years and older	64,636 (79%)
Households in Area	30, 44.7	Seniors 65 years and object	8,695 (11%)
Homming Units in Area	34,030	Box 8-14 - 8 (200)	
Households on Public Assistance	2.5***	Race Strokdown - Persons (%) White	36 (92 (444)
Persons Below Poverty Level	37,081	A frican-American	35,041 (43° ₄)
Geography		Hispanic Origin	5,373 (7%)
Radius of Selected Area) stu	A sam Pacific Islander	5.296 (6%)
Center I. attude	43 119sa	American Indian	305 (0%)
Center Lor pitade	-77 n5433	Other Multiracial	4,673 (0%)
Land Area	98",	-	11012 60 00
hater Acon	25.	Education Level (Persons 25 & alder) - Persons (*a)	
		Less than 9th Grade	3,456 (7 (*))

Income Brenkdown Households (%) Education Level (Persons 25 & older) - Persons (%) Less than \$15,000 6,912 (22 94%) 9th through 12th Grade 5,698 (11.7%) \$15,000 - \$25,000 4,246 (14 01%) High School Diploma 13,129 (26 97%) \$25,000 - \$50,000 0,562 (28.25%) Some College/2-year 13,009 (26,72%) \$50,000 - \$75,000 5,335 (17.6%) B S /B A. (Backetor of Science/Backetor of Arts) or More 13,393 (27 51%)

5,214 (17,2%)

Greater than \$75,000



Department of Environmental Conservation

Environmental Site Remediation Database Search Details

Site Record

Document Repository

Site-related documents are available for review through the DECInfo Locator on line at DECInfoLocator

Administrative Information

Site Name: Rochester Fire Academy

Site Code: 828015

Program: State Superfund Program

Classification: 04
EPA ID Number:

Location

DEC Region: 8

Address: 1190 Scottsville Road City:Rochester Zip: 14624

County:Monroe

Latitude: 43.11656543 Longitude: -77.65223026

Site Type: DUMP Estimated Size: 11 Acres

Institutional And Engineering Controls

Control Type: Deed Restriction

Control Elements:

Groundwater Treatment System Cover System Fencing/Access Control Landuse Restriction Monitoring Plan O&M Plan

Site Owner(s) and Operator(s)

Current Owner Name: CITY OF ROCHESTER

Current Owner(s) Address: CITY HALL, 30 CHURCH ST.

ROCHESTER, NY, 14614

Owner(s) during disposal: CITY OF ROCHESTER

Current On-Site Operator: city of Rochester

Stated Operator(s) Address: City of Rochester, Division of Environ. Quality

Rochester, NY 14614

Hazardous Waste Disposal Period

From: 1955 To: 1980

Site Description

Location: The Rochester Fire Academy site is 18 acres in size and is located at 1190 Scottsville Road in an urban area in the city of Rochester on the west side of the Genesee River. Site Features: The main features of the site include the North Disposal Area, Training Grounds, Police Training Course, South Disposal Area and Parking Area. The Genesee River is approximately 50 feet to the east of the site. A commercial area exists on the west side of the site across from a railroad right of way. Small strips of wood exist north and south of the site along the Genesee River bank. The airport is located on the opposite side of Scottsville Road from the site. Current Zoning and Land Use: The area is owned and operated by the City of Rochester as a training facility used by the City's Fire and Police Departments. Past Use of the Site: The City of Rochester opened the training facility in 1954. During the period of 1954-1980, various chemicals from local hazardous waste generators were burned and/or disposed during training exercises. Site Geology and Hydrogeology: The water table is about 5 feet below ground surface. Groundwater flows to the east toward the Genesee River, which is a class C stream.

Contaminants of Concern (Including Materials Disposed)

Contaminant Name/Type

organic solvents

MEK

toluene

Site Environmental Assessment

Remediation at the site is complete. Prior to remediation, the primary contaminants of concern were cadmium, lead, PCBs, and various VOCs in groundwater and soil. Remedial actions

have successfully achieved soil cleanup objectives for commercial use. Residual contamination in the soil and groundwater is being managed under a Site Management Plan.

Site Health Assessment

The area is served by public water. NYSDOH sampled two private wells located southwest of the site in October 1997 and found no site-related contamination. Exposure at the site was initially reduced by placing crushed stone over the fire training grounds and the north disposal area, by fencing the southern portion of the training grounds area, and by closing the bicycle path. A supplemental investigation was conducted to further define the extent of metals contamination in on-site surface soils. As part of the remedy, contaminated soils were placed in the north disposal area and this area was capped to prevent direct contact exposure and a groundwater collection/treatment system was installed to prevent contaminated groundwater from migrating to the Genesee River.

For more Information: E-mail Us

Return To Results

Refine This Search



Environmental Site Remediation Database Search Details

Site Record

Document Repository

Site-related documents are available for review through the DECInfo Locator on line at DECInfoLocator

Administrative Information

Site Name: Kozel Structural Steel & Fabrication

Site Code: V00206

Program: Voluntary Cleanup Program

Classification: N *
EPA ID Number:

Location

DEC Region: 8

Address: 1150 Scottsville Raod City:Rochester Zip: 14624

County:Monroe

Latitude: 43.11990204 Longitude: -77.65086155

Site Type:

Estimated Size: 0 Acres

Site Owner(s) and Operator(s)

Site Description

VCP Terminated before agreement signed due to lack of response by applicant. Referred back to spills.

Contaminants of Concern (Including Materials Disposed)

Contaminant Name/Type

Petroleum Only

* Class N Sites: "DEC offers this information with the caution that the amount of information provided for Class N sites is highly variable, not necessarily based on any DEC investigation, sometimes of unknown origin, and sometimes is many years old. Due to the preliminary nature of this information, significant conclusions or decisions should not be based solely upon this summary."

For more Information: E-mail Us

Return To Results

Refine This Search

Agency Use Only [If applicable]


Project:	Snow Removal Equipment Bldg
Date:	November 6, 2020

Short Environmental Assessment Form Part 2 - Impact Assessment

Part 2 is to be completed by the Lead Agency.

Answer all of the following questions in Part 2 using the information contained in Part 1 and other materials submitted by the project sponsor or otherwise available to the reviewer. When answering the questions the reviewer should be guided by the concept "Have my responses been reasonable considering the scale and context of the proposed action?"

		No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
	Will the proposed action create a material conflict with an adopted land use plan or zoning regulations?	V	
2.	Will the proposed action result in a change in the use or intensity of use of land?	V	
3.	Will the proposed action impair the character or quality of the existing community?	V	
4.	Will the proposed action have an impact on the environmental characteristics that caused the establishment of a Critical Environmental Area (CEA)?	V	
5.	Will the proposed action result in an adverse change in the existing level of traffic or affect existing infrastructure for mass transit, biking or walkway?	V	
6.	Will the proposed action cause an increase in the use of energy and it fails to incorporate reasonably available energy conservation or renewable energy opportunities?	V	
7.	Will the proposed action impact existing: a. public / private water supplies?	√	
	b. public / private wastewater treatment utilities?	✓	
8.	Will the proposed action impair the character or quality of important historic, archaeological, architectural or aesthetic resources?	V	
9.	Will the proposed action result in an adverse change to natural resources (e.g., wetlands, waterbodies, groundwater, air quality, flora and fauna)?	V	
10.	Will the proposed action result in an increase in the potential for erosion, flooding or drainage problems?	V	
11.	Will the proposed action create a hazard to environmental resources or human health?	V	



Short Environmental Assessment Form Part 3 Determination of Significance

For every question in Part 2 that was answered "moderate to large impact may occur", or if there is a need to explain why a particular element of the proposed action may or will not result in a significant adverse environmental impact, please complete Part 3. Part 3 should, in sufficient detail, identify the impact, including any measures or design elements that have been included by the project sponsor to avoid or reduce impacts. Part 3 should also explain how the lead agency determined that the impact may or will not be significant. Each potential impact should be assessed considering its setting, probability of occurring, duration, irreversibility, geographic scope and magnitude. Also consider the potential for short-term, long-term and cumulative impacts.

In summary, all potential environmental impacts have been have been analyzed including, but not limited to:

Archeology: the entire airport is within an archaeological sensitive area buffer zone, however no archaeological artifacts were reported when the site was developed by previous occupants.

Wetlands: the project site is not located within a wetland. Cursory mapping identified a stream through the project site, which, upon further investigation by a field-visit confirmed that there is no stream within the project limits.

Hazardous Waste: NYSDEC Environmental Remediation Database and the ECHQ (Enforcement and Compliance History Online) revealed one site at 1185 Scottsville Road, adjacent to the project area, however, this site (NYD082758004) was closed 2011-2013.

After reviewing all potential impacts to the environment by the proposed redevelopment of the vacant land for a new Snow Equipment Storage Building, this project will result in no significant adverse impacts on the environment, therefore, an environmental impact statement need not be prepared and, accordingly, a negative declaration is issued.

environmental impact statement is required.	ormation and analysis above, and any supporting documentation, entially large or significant adverse impacts and an ormation and analysis above, and any supporting documentation, adverse environmental impacts.
Name of Lead Agency	Date
Print or Type Name of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency	Title of Responsible Officer
Signature of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency	Signature of Preparer (if different from Responsible Officer)