





2011-2016 Capital Improvement Program







July, 2010

Adopted by the Monroe County Legislature

Submitted By Maggie Brooks County Executive

and The Monroe County Planning Board Linda A. Faubel, Acting Chairperson










ote

oure



By Legislators Howland, Hanna, Valerio, Antelli, Drawe, Cassetti, Colby and Daniele

Intro. No. 168

RESOLUTION NO. 166 OF 2010

ADOPTING 2011-2016 CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE COUNTY OF MONROE, as follows:

Section 1. The Legislature hereby adopts the proposed 2011-2016 Capital Improvement Program of the County of Monroe, as submitted by County Executive Maggie Brooks, in its entirety.

Section 2. This resolution shall take effect in accordance with Section C2-7 of the Monroe County Charter.

Planning and Economic Development Committee; May 24, 2010 – CV: 5-0 Public Safety Committee; May 24, 2010 – CV: 7-0 Recreation and Education Committee; May 25, 2010 – CV: 5-0 Intergovernmental Relations Committee; May 25, 2010 – CV: 5-0 Human Services Committee; May 25, 2010 – CV: 7-0 Environment and Public Works Committee; May 26, 2010 – CV: 6-0 Transportation Committee; May 26, 2010 - CV: 6-0 Ways and Means Committee; May 26, 2010 – CV: 10-0 File No. 10-0152

ADOPTION: Date: July 13, 2010

Vote: 28-0

ACTION BY THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE

APPROVED: χ	VETOED:		, ,	
SIGNATURE MAY	Mods	DATE: 7	1201	18
EFFECTIVE DATE OF RESO		01/0		

Planning Board

Monroe County, New York



Maggie Brooks County Executive Linda A. Faubel Acting Chairperson

March 25, 2010

Hon. Maggie Brooks, County Executive 110 County Office Building 39 West Main Street Rochester, New York 14614

SUBJECT: PROPOSED 2011-2016 CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

Dear Ms. Brooks:

I am pleased to report that the Monroe County Planning Board on March 25, 2010 completed its review, public meetings and ranking process for their recommended 2011-2016 Capital Improvement Program (CIP). This proposed Capital Improvement Program is submitted to you in accordance with Section C4-10 of Article IV and Section C5-7 of Article V of the Monroe County Charter.

The process used to review this capital program included direct discussion with County operating departments, citizens, and local government officials. The County Planning Board held three public information meetings and four Board meetings during the preparation of this proposed CIP. County departments and their staff are to be congratulated on what the Board considers a reasoned, well-planned six-year CIP. Public comments were given full consideration in evaluating project need and priorities.

The Board supports the goal of establishing a fiscally responsible capital program that maintains the community's infrastructure. The Board strongly urges the support of this CIP and its implementation.

Sincerely,

A. Faube inclu 1

Linda A. Faubel, Acting Chairperson Monroe County Planning Board

LAF/pj

xc: Daniel M. DeLaus, Jr., Deputy County Executive Judith Seil, Director, Department of Planning and Development

Summary 2011-2016 Capital Improvement Program 1 **ADMINISTRATIVE SECTOR** Board of Elections 11 Information Services 12 Planning and Feasibility Studies 13 HEALTH AND SOCIAL SERVICES SECTOR **Community College** 17 Community Hospital 20 County Library System 22 PHYSICAL SERVICES SECTOR Aviation 25 Environmental Services - Division of Pure Waters 34 **Environmental Services - Fleet** 39 Environmental Services - Geographic Information Services 40 Environmental Services – Solid Waste 41 42 Facilities Management Parks 45 Transportation - Highways and Bridges 49 Transportation - Traffic Engineering 60 Water Authority 63 PUBLIC SAFETY SECTOR

Office of the Sheriff	66
Public Safety	73

CONTENTS

SUMMARY 2011-2016 CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

Monroe County's Capital Improvement Program for 2011-2016 totals \$374,754,000. Of this amount, \$214,857,000 or 57% is for non-self-supporting programs - those administered by departments such as Public Safety, Parks, Highways, Bridges and Traffic Engineering and the Office of the County Sheriff. Federal aid, state aid and local county revenues fund these. Also, \$159,897,000 or 43% is for self-supporting programs those administered by departments such as Environmental Services, the Airport, and the Community Hospital. Operating and capital costs associated with these functions are generally covered by district and user service charges. A summary of the six-year program is provided in Table 1 - Summary Table.

Year one of the 2011-2016 Capital Improvement Program totals \$59,770,000. Of this amount, \$29,520,000 or 49% is for nonself-supporting programs (of which the County contributes \$18,316,000 or 62%), and \$30,250,000 or 51%, is for selfsupporting programs. County funding is 31% of the Grand Total for 2011.

Major objectives of Monroe County's 2011-2016 Capital Improvement Program are to provide public facilities necessary to stimulate private investment and enhance economic development in Monroe County. We continue to improve transportation facilities, our Public Safety operations, the County's Pure Waters program, and the County's park system. The approximately \$375 million in the total 2011-2016 Capital Improvement Program consists of:

- \$ 108 million for Highways, Bridges and Traffic Engineering
- \$ 81 million for the Greater Rochester International Airport
- \$ 50 million for the Community College and Library System
- \$ 40 million for Pure Waters construction projects
- \$ 15 million for parks
- \$ 29 million for Water Authority projects
- \$ 8 million for projects at Monroe Community Hospital
- \$ 33 million for Facilities, Information Services, Recycling and other programs

\$ 11 million for County Sheriff and Public Safety Services

\$ 375 million total program

The 2011 Capital Budget

The first year of the six-year Capital Improvement Program is adopted annually by the County Legislature in December as the capital budget for the ensuing fiscal year. The capital budget is the annual spending plan for capital improvements. In accordance with the County Charter, projects that are to be built in the first year of the six-year program are to be ranked on the basis of need in order of their relative priority. The County Planning Board, following their action on the recommended sixyear program did this. Table 2 shows the projects listed in priority order. It should be emphasized that all projects are considered needed in 2011, even though their relative priorities are different.

Financing the County's Projects

There are three sources of funds for financing the County's capital projects. These are general County revenues, project revenues and aid (state and federal). General County revenues primarily consist of property and sales taxes. Project revenues are made up of: 1) special district charges such as Pure Waters assessments; and 2) direct user fees such as fees for airport users, water consumption, or health care at the community hospital. The citizens who use or directly benefit from a project or activity pay some or all of its cost when user fees are charged. The state and federal governments also provide grants-in-aid for specific projects.

Project Financing

Project costs are paid from current operating cash or borrowing. The federal and state governments distribute grants-in-aid for specific projects and in a few instances, private parties, special districts or local governments contribute towards a portion of the project costs. Issuing bonds, a form of borrowing, frequently raises money for capital projects, which are then repaid with interest over a period of years.

When using bonds, the County pledges its faith and credit and the repayment of the bonds legally has the first claim on all the County's general revenues such as property and sales taxes. Bond anticipation notes are issued by the County to borrow funds for the immediate costs of projects for which bonds have been authorized. They permit the County to delay the issuance of long-term bonds until state and federal aid is received and the actual cost of a project to the County is known. The notes then are generally converted to bonds. This helps the County keep its bonded debt at a minimum. The notes are generally issued for one year and may be renewed up to four times for a total of five years. Debt financing of capital projects permits beneficiaries of the facilities to share in their cost during the useful life of the facility and allows the County to undertake several projects immediately rather than delay construction until funds are available from the current operating budget.

Debt Capacity

In order to control the amount of debt which a county may incur, the New York State Constitution has established a debt limit equal to 7% of the five-year average full valuation of real property in the county. Debt related to water facilities improvements and certain other self-supporting items may be excluded from the limit. The economic realities of the ability to repay borrowed money are, however, more stringent and are independently judged by rating agencies such as Moody's Investor's Service, Inc., Standard & Poor's Rating Services and Fitch Ratings. If these agencies judge that the County's ultimate ability to repay borrowed money has decreased, they lower the credit rating and the interest rate paid on funds borrowed in the future increases. Thus, it is important to manage the County's finances so as to maintain a good credit rating. Currently, Monroe County holds a "A3" rating from Moody's Investors Services, Inc., a "BBB+" rating from Standard and Poor's Ratings Services and a "A-" rating from Fitch Ratings.

TABLE 1 - SUMMARY TABLE

	Budget				OST	0010	Total Cost
ADMINISTRATIVE SECTOR	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	6 Years
BOARD OF ELECTIONS - 2011-2016							
	0	1,000,000	0	0	0	0	1 000 000
TOTAL c	U U	1,000,000	U	U.	U	U	1,000,000
DEPARTMENT OF INFORMATION SERVICES	5 - 2011-2016						
TOTAL c	394,000	352,000	317,000	320,000	648,000	394,000	2,425,000
PLANNING AND FEASIBILITY - 2011-2016	250,000	250,000	250,000	250,000	250,000	250,000	1,500,000
	230,000	230,000	230,000	200,000	230,000	230,000	1,500,000
c=county funds	644,000	1,602,000	567,000	570,000	898,000	644,000	4,925,000
TOTAL ADMINISTRATIVE SECTOR	644,000	1,602,000	567,000	570,000	898,000	644,000	4,925,000
HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES SEC	TOR						
MONROE COMMUNITY COLLEGE - 2011-20							
c = county funds c	1,700,000	2,495,000	4,770,000	3,710,000	7,380,000	4,500,000	24,555,000
s = state SUNY s	1,700,000	2,495,000	4,770,000	3,710,000	7,380,000	4,500,000	24,555,000
TOTAL	3,400,000	4,990,000	9,540,000	7,420,000	14,760,000	9,000,000	49,110,000
MONROE COMMUNITY HOSPITAL - 2011-20	16						
TOTAL e	1,400,000	1,475,000	1,300,000	1,270,000	1,225,000	1,250,000	7,920,000
MONROE COUNTY LIBRARY SYSTEM - 2017	1-2016						
c = county funds c	250,000	250,000	200,000	100,000	100,000	100,000	1,000,000
TOTAL	250,000	250,000	200,000	100,000	100,000	100,000	1,000,000
c=county funds	1,950,000	2,745,000	4,970,000	3,810,000	7,480,000	4,600,000	25,555,000
s = state funds	1,700,000	2,495,000	4,770,000	3,710,000	7,380,000	4,500,000	24,555,000
e = enterprise fund TOTAL HEALTH/HUMAN SERVICES SECTOR	1,400,000 5,050,000	1,475,000 6,715,000	1,300,000 11,040,000	1,270,000 8,790,000	1,225,000	1,250,000 10,350,000	7,920,000 58,030,000
TOTAL REALTH/HOMAN SERVICES SECTOR	5,050,000	0,715,000	11,040,000	8,790,000	10,005,000	10,350,000	56,030,000
PHYSICAL SERVICES SECTOR							
DEPARTMENT OF AVIATION - 2011-2016							
e = enterprise fund	345,000	1,791,250	820,000	345,000	3,250,000	337,500	6,888,750
s = state funds f = federal funds	345,000 13,120,000	791,250 11,067,500	820,000 12,150,000	345,000 13,075,000	250,000 9,500,000	337,500 12,825,000	2,888,750 71,737,500
TOTAL	13,810,000	13,650,000	13,790,000	13,765,000	13,000,000	13,500,000	81,515,000
		•		•	•	•	
PURE WATERS - 2011-2016	0.500.000	40 500 000	F F00 000	4 500 000	0.500.0001	F F00 000	40.000.000
TOTAL d	9,500,000	12,500,000	5,500,000	4,500,000	2,500,000	5,500,000	40,000,000
ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES/FLEET - 2011-	2016						
TOTAL c	306,000	510,000	369,000	590,000	825,000	155,000	2,755,000
GEOGRAPHIC INFORMATION SERVICES - 2	011-2016	0	0	0	240,000	240,000	480,000
•	ן א	ν i	U	V	240,000	240,000	400,000
SOLID WASTE - 2011-2016				•		•	
e = enterprise fund	210,000	210,000	500,000	900,000	0	0	1,820,000
TOTAL	210,000	210,000	500,000	900,000	0	0	1,820,000
ENGINEERING AND FACILITIES MANAGEMI	ENT - 2011-2016	;					
TOTAL c	4,700,000	4,875,000	5,500,000	2,875,000	2,500,000	2,875,000	23,325,000
DARKS 2014 2010							
PARKS - 2011-2016 TOTAL c	2,500,000	2,450,000	2,300,000	2,450,000	2,480,000	2,600,000	14,780,000
1101/1E U	∠,000,000	2,700,000	2,000,000	2,700,000	2,700,000	2,000,000	17,100,000

TABLE 1 - SUMMARY TABLE

		Budget	A	NNUAL	PROJECT	COST		Total Cost
PROGRAM AREA		2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	6 Years
HIGHWAYS AND BRIDGES - 2011-2	016							
c = county funds	ſ	4,566,000	6,524,000	9,188,000	10,941,000	9,173,000	15,225,000	55,617,000
f = federal funds		8,051,000	6,797,000	3,723,000	3,168,000	7,240,000	3,800,000	32,779,000
s = state funds		1,453,000	1,275,000	698,000	594,000	1,358,000	713,000	6,091,000
TOTAL		14,070,000	14,596,000	13,609,000	14,703,000	17,771,000	19,738,000	94,487,000
TRAFFIC ENGINEERING - 2011-201	6							
c = county funds		1,900,000	2,050,000	2,200,000	2,150,000	2,450,000	2,550,000	13,300,000
TOTAL		1,900,000	2,050,000	2,200,000	2,150,000	2,450,000	2,550,000	13,300,000
WATER AUTHORITY - 2011-2016								
TOTAL	d	5,330,000	6,215,500	5,815,500	5,965,500	5,315,500	0	28,642,000
c = county funds		13,972,000	16,409,000	19,557,000	19,006,000	17,668,000	23,645,000	110,257,000
f = federal funds		21,171,000	17,864,500	15,873,000	16,243,000	16,740,000	16,625,000	104,516,500
s = state funds		1,798,000	2,066,250	1,518,000	939,000	1,608,000	1,050,500	8,979,750
d=district		14,830,000	18,715,500	11,315,500	10,465,500	7,815,500	5,500,000	68,642,000
		555,000	2,001,250	1,320,000	1,245,000	3,250,000	337,500	8,708,750
e = enterprise fund		,						
e = enterprise fund TOTAL PHYSICAL SERVICES SECTOR PUBLIC SAFETY SECTOR		52,326,000	57,056,500	49,583,500	47,898,500	47,081,500	47,158,000	301,104,000
TOTAL PHYSICAL SERVICES SECTOR PUBLIC SAFETY SECTOR OFFICE OF THE SHERIFF - 2011-20	16	52,326,000	57,056,500	49,583,500			47,158,000	<u> </u>
TOTAL PHYSICAL SERVICES SECTOR PUBLIC SAFETY SECTOR OFFICE OF THE SHERIFF - 2011-20 c = county funds	16	52,326,000	57,056,500 2,900,000	49,583,500	1,000,000	950,000	47,158,000 1,300,000	8,500,000
TOTAL PHYSICAL SERVICES SECTOR PUBLIC SAFETY SECTOR OFFICE OF THE SHERIFF - 2011-20	16	52,326,000	57,056,500	49,583,500	1,000,000 255,000		47,158,000	8,500,000 545,000
TOTAL PHYSICAL SERVICES SECTOR PUBLIC SAFETY SECTOR OFFICE OF THE SHERIFF - 2011-20 c = county funds s = state funds		52,326,000 1,250,000 0	57,056,500 2,900,000 250,000	49,583,500 1,100,000 0	1,000,000 255,000	950,000 0	47,158,000 1,300,000 40,000	<u> </u>
TOTAL PHYSICAL SERVICES SECTOR PUBLIC SAFETY SECTOR OFFICE OF THE SHERIFF - 2011-20 c = county funds s = state funds TOTAL		52,326,000 1,250,000 0	57,056,500 2,900,000 250,000	49,583,500 1,100,000 0	1,000,000 255,000 1,255,000	950,000 0	47,158,000 1,300,000 40,000	8,500,000 545,000
TOTAL PHYSICAL SERVICES SECTOR PUBLIC SAFETY SECTOR OFFICE OF THE SHERIFF - 2011-20 c = county funds s = state funds TOTAL PUBLIC SAFETY SERVICES - 2011- TOTAL	2016	52,326,000 1,250,000 0 1,250,000 500,000	57,056,500 2,900,000 250,000 3,150,000 150,000	49,583,500 1,100,000 0 1,100,000 200,000	1,000,000 255,000 1,255,000 400,000	950,000 0 950,000 200,000	47,158,000 1,300,000 40,000 1,340,000 200,000	8,500,000 545,000 9,045,000 1,650,000
TOTAL PHYSICAL SERVICES SECTOR PUBLIC SAFETY SECTOR OFFICE OF THE SHERIFF - 2011-20 c = county funds s = state funds TOTAL PUBLIC SAFETY SERVICES - 2011- TOTAL c = county funds	2016	52,326,000 1,250,000 1,250,000 500,000 1,750,000	57,056,500 2,900,000 250,000 3,150,000 150,000 3,050,000	49,583,500 1,100,000 0 1,100,000 200,000 1,300,000	1,000,000 255,000 1,255,000 400,000 1,400,000	950,000 0 950,000 200,000 1,150,000	47,158,000 1,300,000 40,000 1,340,000 200,000 1,500,000	8,500,000 545,000 9,045,000 1,650,000 10,150,000
TOTAL PHYSICAL SERVICES SECTOR PUBLIC SAFETY SECTOR OFFICE OF THE SHERIFF - 2011-20 c = county funds s = state funds TOTAL PUBLIC SAFETY SERVICES - 2011- TOTAL	2016	52,326,000 1,250,000 0 1,250,000 500,000	57,056,500 2,900,000 250,000 3,150,000 150,000	49,583,500 1,100,000 0 1,100,000 200,000	1,000,000 255,000 1,255,000 400,000	950,000 0 950,000 200,000	47,158,000 1,300,000 40,000 1,340,000 200,000	8,500,000 545,000 9,045,000 1,650,000
TOTAL PHYSICAL SERVICES SECTOR PUBLIC SAFETY SECTOR OFFICE OF THE SHERIFF - 2011-20 c = county funds s = state funds TOTAL PUBLIC SAFETY SERVICES - 2011- TOTAL c = county funds s = state funds	2016	52,326,000 1,250,000 1,250,000 500,000 1,750,000 0	57,056,500 2,900,000 250,000 3,150,000 150,000 3,050,000 250,000	49,583,500 1,100,000 0 1,100,000 200,000 1,300,000 0	1,000,000 255,000 1,255,000 400,000 1,400,000 255,000	950,000 0 950,000 200,000 1,150,000 0	47,158,000 1,300,000 40,000 1,340,000 200,000 1,500,000 40,000	8,500,000 545,000 9,045,000 1,650,000 10,150,000 545,000
TOTAL PHYSICAL SERVICES SECTOR PUBLIC SAFETY SECTOR OFFICE OF THE SHERIFF - 2011-20 c = county funds s = state funds TOTAL PUBLIC SAFETY SERVICES - 2011- TOTAL c = county funds s = state funds TOTAL PUBLIC SAFETY SECTOR	2016	52,326,000 1,250,000 1,250,000 500,000 1,750,000 0	57,056,500 2,900,000 250,000 3,150,000 150,000 3,050,000 250,000	49,583,500 1,100,000 0 1,100,000 200,000 1,300,000 0	1,000,000 255,000 1,255,000 400,000 1,400,000 255,000	950,000 0 950,000 200,000 1,150,000 0	47,158,000 1,300,000 40,000 1,340,000 200,000 1,500,000 40,000	8,500,000 545,000 9,045,000 1,650,000 10,150,000 545,000 10,695,000
TOTAL PHYSICAL SERVICES SECTOR PUBLIC SAFETY SECTOR OFFICE OF THE SHERIFF - 2011-20 c = county funds s = state funds TOTAL PUBLIC SAFETY SERVICES - 2011- TOTAL c = county funds s = state funds TOTAL PUBLIC SAFETY SECTOR ALL SECTORS	2016	52,326,000 1,250,000 1,250,000 500,000 1,750,000 0 1,750,000	57,056,500 2,900,000 250,000 3,150,000 150,000 3,050,000 250,000 3,300,000 23,806,000 17,864,500	49,583,500 1,100,000 0 1,100,000 200,000 1,300,000 0 1,300,000	1,000,000 255,000 1,255,000 400,000 255,000 1,655,000 1,655,000 16,243,000	950,000 0 950,000 200,000 1,150,000 0 1,150,000	47,158,000 1,300,000 40,000 1,340,000 200,000 1,500,000 40,000 1,540,000 1,540,000 16,625,000	8,500,000 545,000 9,045,000 1,650,000 10,150,000 545,000 10,695,000
TOTAL PHYSICAL SERVICES SECTOR PUBLIC SAFETY SECTOR OFFICE OF THE SHERIFF - 2011-20 c = county funds s = state funds TOTAL PUBLIC SAFETY SERVICES - 2011- TOTAL c = county funds s = state funds TOTAL PUBLIC SAFETY SECTOR ALL SECTORS c = county funds	2016	52,326,000 1,250,000 1,250,000 500,000 1,750,000 0 1,750,000 18,316,000	57,056,500 2,900,000 250,000 3,150,000 150,000 3,050,000 250,000 3,300,000 23,806,000	49,583,500 1,100,000 0 1,100,000 1,300,000 0 1,300,000 26,394,000	1,000,000 255,000 1,255,000 400,000 1,400,000 255,000 1,655,000 16,543,000 4,904,000	950,000 0 950,000 200,000 1,150,000 0 1,150,000 27,196,000	47,158,000 1,300,000 40,000 1,340,000 200,000 1,500,000 1,540,000 1,540,000 16,625,000 5,590,500	8,500,000 545,000 9,045,000 1,650,000 10,150,000 545,000 10,695,000 104,516,500
TOTAL PHYSICAL SERVICES SECTOR PUBLIC SAFETY SECTOR OFFICE OF THE SHERIFF - 2011-20 c = county funds s = state funds TOTAL PUBLIC SAFETY SERVICES - 2011- TOTAL c = county funds s = state funds TOTAL PUBLIC SAFETY SECTOR ALL SECTORS c = county funds f = federal funds s = state funds s = state funds s = state funds s = state funds	2016	52,326,000 1,250,000 1,250,000 500,000 1,750,000 0 1,750,000	57,056,500 2,900,000 250,000 3,150,000 150,000 3,050,000 250,000 3,300,000 23,806,000 17,864,500 4,811,250 18,715,500	49,583,500 1,100,000 1,100,000 200,000 1,300,000 0 1,300,000 26,394,000 15,873,000 6,288,000 11,315,500	1,000,000 255,000 1,255,000 400,000 1,400,000 255,000 1,655,000 16,243,000 4,904,000 10,465,500	950,000 0 950,000 200,000 1,150,000 0 1,150,000 16,740,000 8,988,000 7,815,500	47,158,000 1,300,000 40,000 1,340,000 200,000 1,500,000 1,540,000 16,625,000 5,550,500 5,500,000	8,500,000 545,000 9,045,000 1,650,000 10,150,000 10,695,000 104,516,500 34,079,750 68,642,000
TOTAL PHYSICAL SERVICES SECTOR PUBLIC SAFETY SECTOR OFFICE OF THE SHERIFF - 2011-20 c = county funds s = state funds TOTAL PUBLIC SAFETY SERVICES - 2011- TOTAL c = county funds s = state funds TOTAL PUBLIC SAFETY SECTOR ALL SECTORS c = county funds f = federal funds s = state funds s = state funds	2016	52,326,000 1,250,000 1,250,000 500,000 1,750,000 1,750,000 18,316,000 21,171,000 3,498,000	57,056,500 2,900,000 250,000 3,150,000 150,000 250,000 3,300,000 23,806,000 17,864,500 4,811,250	49,583,500 1,100,000 0 1,100,000 1,300,000 0 1,300,000 26,394,000 15,873,000 6,288,000	1,000,000 255,000 1,255,000 400,000 1,400,000 255,000 1,655,000 16,543,000 4,904,000	950,000 0 950,000 200,000 1,150,000 1,150,000 16,740,000 8,988,000	47,158,000 1,300,000 40,000 1,340,000 200,000 1,500,000 1,540,000 1,540,000 16,625,000 5,590,500	8,500,000 545,000 9,045,000 1,650,000 10,150,000 545,000

TABLE 2 - 2011 CAPITAL BUDGET PRIORITIES

		FOTIMATED	AID	FOTMATED	00057.05	EST. COUNTY
		ESTIMATED	(Fed, State,	ESTIMATED	COST OF	COST LESS
CAPITAL PROJECTS		2011 PROJECT	District,	COUNTY		
Taxiway E and Taxiway D Intersection		BUDGET 4.800.000	Private) 4.680.000	COST 120.000	FUND PROJ. 120.000	FUND PROJ.
County-wide Communications Infrastructure	e c	394,000	4,000,000	394,000	120,000	394,000
Replace EMAS System	e	2,000,000	1,950,000	50,000	50,000	394,000
North Ramp Improvements	e	2,000,000	1,950,000	50,000	50,000	0
Refurbish of Passenger Loading Bridges	e	1,000,000	975,000	25.000	25,000	0
VanLare Electrical System Improvements	d	1,000,000	1,000,000	23,000	23,000	0
VanLare Aeration System Improvements	d d	4,000,000	4,000,000	0	0	0
Taxiway "L" Improvements	e	4,000,000	4,000,000	12,500	12,500	0
General Aviation Apron Rehabilitation	e	200,000	195,000	5,000	5,000	0
VanLare Primary Tank Improvements	d	2,000,000	2,000,000	3,000	0	0
Milling/Resurfacing/Recycling	u c	2,200,000	2,000,000	2,200,000	0	2,200,000
Infrastructure Improvements	e	500,000	0	500,000	500,000	2,200,000
Interior Improvements	e	250,000	0	250.000	250,000	0
IBSC Pump Station & Interceptor Imprvmts	d	500,000	500,000	230,000	230,000	0
Pinnacle Road Pump Station Impromis	d	1,000,000	1,000,000	0	0	0
NWQ Pump Station & Interceptor Imprvmts	d	500,000	500,000	0	0	0
GCO Collection System Improvements	d	500,000	500,000	0	0	0
ADA Aid to Disabled Improvements	u C	75,000	500,000	75.000	0	75,000
Equipment/Furnishings/Resident Care	e	350,000	0	350,000	350,000	73,000
Hydrant Replacement Program	d	570,000	570,000	0	330,000	0
Terminal Improvements	u e	1,250,000	1,218,750	31,250	31,250	0
Aviation Support Center	e	1,000,000	975,000	25,000	25,000	0
Valve Replacement Program	d	310,000	310,000	25,000	25,000	0
Cement Lining Water Main Rehabilitation	d	1,500,000	1,500,000	0	0	0
Culvert Replacement Program	-	900,000	1,500,000	900,000	0	900,000
Union Street Bridge over Black Creek	c c	1,785,000	1,696,000	89,000	0	89,000
Burnt Mill Rd. Bridge over Black Creek	c	130,000	123,000	7,000	0	7,000
Edgewood Ave. Bridge over Allen Creek	c	250,000	238,000	12,000	0	12,000
Long Pond Rd. Bridge/Round Pond Creek	c	135,000	128,000	7,000	0	7,000
Planning and Design Projects	e	250,000	243,750	6,250	6,250	7,000
RRF/RC Complex Improvements	e	210,000	243,730	210,000	210,000	0
Lawrence Rd. Bridge over Brockport Creek	c	750,000	713,000	37,000	210,000	37,000
Edgemere Dr. Bridge Over Round Pond	c	1,083,000	1,028,000	55,000	0	55,000
Kirk Road Bridge Over Round Pond Creek	c	974,000	925,000	49,000	0	49,000
Traffic Sign Retroreflectivity Upgrade	c	400,000	020,000	400,000	0	400,000
Storage Facilities Rehabilitation	d	1,750,000	1,750,000	400,000	0	400,000
Monroe Co. Jail/Correctional Facility Imp.	c	750,000	1,730,000	750,000	0	750,000
Sheriff's Range Facility	c	400,000	0	400,000	0	400,000
Property Preservation Projects Phase I	c	3,400,000	1,700,000	1,700,000	0	1,700,000
Heavy Equipment	e	600,000	585,000	15,000	15,000	1,700,000
Asbestos Abatement	c	75,000	000,000	75,000	0	75,000
Utilities, Access and Site Improvements	c	600,000	0	600,000	0	600,000
Peck Rd. Bridge over Salmon Creek	c	1,048,000	995,000	53,000	0	53,000
Residential Meter Replacement & Upgrade	d	1,200,000	1,200,000	03,000	0	00,000
Exterior, Site and Utility Improvements	e	300,000	1,200,000	300,000	300,000	0
Voluntary Airport Low Emissions Program	e	210,000	205,000	5,000	5,000	0
Erie Station Rd W. Henrietta/Middle Rd.	f	300,000	300,000	3,000	0,000	0
Public Safety Training Center Improvements	c	500,000	300,000	500,000	0	500,000
Italics Denotes a New Project	0	000,000	0	000,000	0	000,000

		ESTIMATED	AID (Fed, State,	ESTIMATED	COST OF	EST. COUNTY COST LESS
CAPITAL PROJECTS		2011 PROJECT	District,	COUNTY	ENTERPRISE	ENTERPRISE
		BUDGET	Private)	COST	FUND PROJ.	FUND PROJ.
Equipment/Vehicles Parks	С	121,000	0	121,000	0	121,000
Equipment/Vehicles Highways and Bridges	С	185,000	0	185,000	0	185,000
Public Safety Building Reconstruction	С	2,600,000	0	2,600,000	0	2,600,000
Seneca Park Zoo - Elephant Exhibit	С	900,000		900,000	0	900,000
Buildings and Structures	С	600,000		600,000	0	600,000
Culver Road (City)	С	848,000	0	848,000	0	848,000
Spot Improvement Projects	С	500,000		500,000	0	500,000
County Office Building Reconstruction	С	500,000	0	500,000	0	500,000
Traffic Engineering	С	600,000	0	600,000	0	600,000
Passenger Bus Replacement	С	100,000		100,000	0	100,000
Civic Center Complex Reconstruction	С	500,000	0	500,000	0	500,000
Energy Conservation & Management Systems	С	100,000	0	100,000	0	100,000
Dorsey Rd Mt. Read Blvd. to Dewey Ave.	С	3,535,000	3,358,000	177,000	0	177,000
South Avenue (City)	С	132,000	0	132,000	0	132,000
Planning and Feasibility	С	250,000	0	250,000	0	250,000
City of Rochester Traffic Features	С	400,000	0	400,000	0	400,000
Oatka Creek Park Master Plan & Improvements	С	100,000		100,000	0	100,000
Library System Automation	С	250,000	0	250,000	0	250,000
Hall of Justice Court Requested Improvements	С	300,000	0	300,000	0	300,000
Hall of Justice Reconstruction	С	450,000	0	450,000	0	450,000
Tennis Court Rehabilitation	С	300,000		300,000	0	300,000
General Improvements	С	100,000	0	100,000	0	100,000
GRAND TOTALS		59,770,000	39,499,000	20,271,000	1,955,000	18,316,000

TABLE 2 - 2011 CAPITAL BUDGET PRIORITIES

Italics Denotes a New Project

User Charges

Projects, activities and services for which the specific users or beneficiaries can be identified and to whom the benefits of the service can be confined, lend themselves to charging user fees. The products and services of the private sector of our economy are of this nature and are called 'private goods'. To the extent that a capital project has the characteristics of private goods, it becomes feasible to support an appropriate portion of its debt service and operating costs through direct charges to those who benefit from it. This permits the County to offer this service with little or no dependence on general tax support for the project. allowing more public monies to be allocated to other public services. Projects for the following are financed primarily by user charges without taxpayer support in Monroe County: the Airport; Water Authority; Monroe Community Hospital; the Pure Waters Division of the Department of Environmental Services; and the Solid Waste Division of the Department of Environmental Services.


Special Assessments

Projects, which benefit a specific group of properties, can have the financing and construction of these public improvements financed through special assessment arrangements. The costs of extending a water or sewer line can be assessed against the abutting properties rather than charged against the taxpayers as a whole. Special assessments are often paid in installments over a number of years and cover both the expenditure and the financing. This form of financing is rarely used in Monroe County.

Sources of Funding

The various sources of funding for the Capital Improvement Program can be found indicated in the Table 1 - Summary Table and in the graphic below titled 2011-2016 CIP Source of Funds.

2011-2016 CIP Source of Funds



Fiscal Policy and Debt Service

In preparing Monroe County's 2011-2016 Capital Improvement Program, great care was taken to insure sound fiscal management. This is necessary to assure:

- That the County is able to finance approved projects as they reach implementation stage;
- 2) That the State constitutional debt limit is not exceeded;
- That the County maintains a good credit rating so as to minimize the cost of borrowing funds for capital projects;

4) That the annual repayment cost for the debt incurred is kept within reasonable limits so as not to overburden County taxpayers.

Monroe County uses only a small portion of its State constitutional debt limit. The objective is to maintain an annual debt service burden that is clearly within the County's ability to pay.

In evaluating projects for inclusion in the CIP, two sets of criteria are used:

- For projects to be funded by State, Federal and other aid or whose local costs will be financed by user charges, the criteria are:
 - the project is needed and appropriate; and
 - it can be financed with the aid or user charges and thus not entail a general County budget charge.
 - For projects to be funded in whole or in part with general County budget funds, the criteria are:
 - out of all the projects that need to be done, this project's priority is high enough to be funded; and
 - the aggregate annual cost of all approved projects should be kept within reasonable limits so as not to overburden County taxpayers.

All debt obligations shall be issued with extreme care including debt of those enterprises for which the County is contingently liable.

The County Administration's debt policy provides that debt shall be issued to finance capital projects where other funding sources are not immediately available. The debt will be structured in the most efficient manner to limit the impact on the taxpayer and will take payment of existing debt obligations into consideration as well as the total projected operating cost of the County. The use of cash capital through the operating budget will be utilized to finance projects with a short useful life or with costs estimated at \$100,000 or less or for portions of the cost of other projects as financial and budgetary conditions permit without placing undue pressures on the taxpayers. The Monroe County Legislature included \$100,000 in the General Fund and \$2,300,000 in the Rochester Pure Waters District Fund in the 2010 County Budget for cash capital purposes. Debt may be issued for cash flow purposes if needed. Any and all debt issues will be structured and sold in accordance with sound debt management practices.

2)

Capital Project Operating Cost Impacts

Article VII, Section A7-1, of the Monroe County Code requires that the Capital Improvement Program include "... the estimated effect of each capital project in the first two (2) years of the program upon operating costs of the county within each of the three (3) fiscal years following completion of the project." The Operating Budget impact (including debt service) is detailed under the heading "Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget" included in the descriptions for each project.

Net County Debt Service Projections

The overall debt service impacts of the projects to be funded in whole or in part with general County budget funds is displayed in Table 3 - Net Debt Service Projection. Typically, these projects are related to County operations contained within the General, Road, Library, and Internal Service Funds.

Table 3 - NET DEBT SERVICE PROJECTION (000'S)

DEBT SERVICE PROJECTION	2011 ESTIMATE	2012 ESTIMATE	2013 ESTIMATE	2014 ESTIMATE	2015 ESTIMATE	2016 ESTIMATE
2011-2016 CIP DEBT:	\$ 0	\$ 2,500	\$ 5,500	\$ 8,400	\$11,100	\$14,100
AUTHORIZED BUT UNISSUED DEBT:	\$ 5,500	\$ 7,200	\$ 7,400	\$ 7,600	\$ 7,600	\$ 7,600
EXISTING DEBT:	\$32,400	\$30,400	\$28,900	\$26,700	\$21,100	\$19,600

TOTAL DEBT SERVICEPROJECTION:\$37,900\$40,100	\$41,800	\$42,700	\$39,800	\$41,300
---	----------	----------	----------	----------

Notes: 1) Debt that is offset by revenues from self-supporting areas such as the Airport, Pure Waters, Solid Waste (other than the Resource Recovery Facility), and Community Hospital is not included.

- (2) The above projections are based on numerous assumptions including future interest rates and actual timing of construction of projects. Deviations in any of the actual data from the assumptions used may materially alter actual results. Thus, actual results will vary over time from these projections. The principal assumptions are:
 - Bonds will bear 6% interest.
 - Projected expenditures may not occur in the year programmed in the Capital Improvement Program.

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

ADMINISTRATIVE SECTOR

Strategic Framework

Vision

Monroe County is a safe and healthy community, which respects the diversity and dignity of its citizens. All have access to a variety of cultural, educational and recreational activities and the opportunity to achieve their full potential to be productive and self-reliant. Monroe County is a community dedicated to excellence.

To create this environment, Monroe County Government ensures County resources are effectively utilized by working in partnership with community, education, business and other government bodies to provide easy access to programs and services. We are a responsive, action oriented service provider whose employees are prepared to meet customer needs.

Mission

Monroe County Government, through the dedicated and productive efforts of employees, elected officials and citizens provides:

- Public services that contribute to the quality of life and provide a safe, healthy, prosperous and stimulating environment for the community
- Leadership that sets the community agenda and aligns resources
- Practices that add highest value to everything we do

This will be achieved by creating an environment of continuous learning and improvement.

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

BOARD OF ELECTIONS

HIGHLIGHTS OF CAPITAL PROGRAM

• Development and installation of an Election Management System

The Monroe County Board of Elections conducts all national, state, county, city and town elections in Monroe County. It currently maintains official election records on 442,000 voters and directs all aspects of voter registration, redistricting, and administration of elections. Election expenses, including capital expenditures, are reimbursed through the Local Government Services charge in the annual operating budget, which is one component of the county property tax bill to residents.



Project Description: This project will replace the current election management system, which includes modules for voter registration, election night reporting, poll workers, absentee voting, equipment tracking, imaging of documents, and the interface with the New York State Board of Elections Database.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life:	5 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$237,400
Annual Operating Costs:	\$ 0
Attributable Revenues:	<u>\$0</u>
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$237,400

BOARD OF ELECTIONS - 2011-2016

		Budget		ANNUAL	PROJECT	COST		Total Cost
PROJECT NAME		2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	6 Years
Election Management System	с	0	1,000,000	0	0	0	0	1,000,000
TOTAL	С	0	1,000,000	0	0	0	0	1,000,000



DEPARTMENT OF INFORMATION SERVICES

HIGHLIGHTS OF CAPITAL PROGRAM

Implementation of an enterprise-wide infrastructure for voice, data storage and video communications.

Monroe County's Information Services Department provides solutions to meet the County's requirements for information for both internal departments and external customers such as towns. villages, the City and citizens. The Department coordinates the installation, operation and maintenance of County computers: mainframe, mid-range and microcomputers. It is also responsible for monitoring and evaluating technological developments and formulating standards and guidelines for computing within the Monroe County government.

Status of Previously Programmed Projects

County-wide Communications Infrastructure:

In 2009, the migration of the applications from the old consolidated iSeries server and the original enterprise Storage Area Network (SAN) to new platforms was completed. The Voter Registration system was moved to a new iSeries box and the Probation application was moved to the Clerks old iSeries server while their new application on their new hardware is being implemented. The old consolidated iSeries server and the IBM Mainframe have been shutdown. Disk storage was added to the new SAN to accommodate the data being moved off of the old SAN and the old SAN disk storage unit was shutdown. New storage and new Blade Center infrastructure has been installed to accommodate the County's migration to a Microsoft networking infrastructure.

The County's secure e-mail system, that allows the encryption of sensitive data, was upgraded. New faster tape drives were purchased to accommodate faster backups of the county's growing DATA storage.

Some of the County's network cabling was replaced to maintain network quality and preparations are being made to update the wiring in most of the County's data closets during the hardware refresh in 2010.

DEPARTMENT OF INFORMATION SERVICES - 2011-2016





County-wide Communications Infrastructure

Project Description: This project provides for an enterprise-wide infrastructure for data storage and communications. This network will eventually encompass all Monroe County Offices at all County business locations. The network will provide connectivity to the State of New York, the City of Rochester, County Towns and Villages, Monroe Community College, Monroe County Library, and access to the Internet. The strategy will be flexible enough to accommodate multiple network topologies and multiple hardware configurations. It will incorporate existing structures whenever possible, and allow for future growth and expansion.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

5 years
\$403,000
\$ 0
<u>\$0</u>
\$403,000

PLANNING AND FEASIBILITY STUDIES

HIGHLIGHTS OF CAPITAL PROGRAM

• Provide adequate funding for needed capital project evaluation.

Planning and Feasibility Studies is presented under the Administrative Sector because this important program is administered primarily through the joint efforts of the County Executive's Office and Department of Environmental Services, Division of Engineering and is used to support the entire range of County departments and agencies in their capital program evaluation needs.

Planning and Feasibility

Project Description: This program provides funds for preengineering work, detailed project plans, special data needs such as aerial photography or site specific analysis and public participation efforts necessary for programs and individual capital projects. These funds will enable better evaluation of alternatives and preparation of detailed project designs and should result in better cost estimates. Funding of this program has been ongoing.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget: Bond Life: 5 years \$356,100

Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$356,	100
Annual Operating Costs:	\$	0
Attributable Revenues:	\$	0
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$356,	100

PLANNING AND FEASIBILITY - 2011-2016

		Budget		ANNUAL	PROJECT	COST		Total Cost
PROJECT NAME		2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	6 Years
Planning and Feasibility	с	250,000	250,000	250,000	250,000	250,000	250,000	1,500,000
TOTAL	С	250,000	250,000	250,000	250,000	250,000	250,000	1,500,000

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

HEALTH & HUMAN SERVICES SECTOR

Strategic Framework

Vision

Monroe County is a safe and healthy community which respects the diversity and dignity of its citizens. All have access to a variety of Health and Human Services and the opportunity to achieve their full potential to be productive and self-reliant. Monroe County is a community dedicated to excellence.

The Health and Human Services sector will provide, either directly or through cooperative partnerships, innovative and efficient services that meet the health, economic and social needs of the community.

Mission

Health and Human Services is a sector of county government that provides health related, economic and social programs for the citizens of the community to ensure an opportunity for a healthier and more self-reliant life style.

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

MONROE COMMUNITY COLLEGE

HIGHLIGHTS OF CAPITAL PROGRAM

- Construction of the second phase of renovation of the Gleason Hall of Science, Technology and Health (Building 9) to update it to current technology and improve its space-use efficiency.
- The beginning of many significant efforts to reduce deferred maintenance and preserve the campus assets.



Monroe Community College is one of 30 State University of New York (SUNY) community colleges sponsored by local jurisdictions and operated under the aegis of the University. Among local colleges, MCC leads the way in providing access to higher education. It primarily serves residents of Monroe County, but also enrolls students whose home areas do not sponsor a community college or who wish to pursue a specialized program of study not available locally. By offering a wide spectrum of disciplines that lead to two-year associate degrees, shorter-term certificates, and work-related competencies, Monroe Community College prepares students for transfer to senior colleges or trains them for a variety of technical or paraprofessional occupations.

The state, students and local sponsors (Monroe County) share operating costs at the community college. State aid is determined both by statute and by regulations of the State University Trustees. As provided by statute, the actual aid formula is set forth in regulations adopted by the University Trustees and approved by the Director of the Budget. Since 1977-78, all 30 SUNY community colleges have adopted Full Opportunity Plans (FOP), which require them to accept all high school graduates in the sponsorship area in exchange for enhanced state funding.

In January 1991, the college established the Damon City Campus in downtown Rochester to provide a convenient educational alternative for those who live and work in the city. Programmatic offerings and physical facilities have expanded since its inception to meet the needs and interests of students.

During academic year 2008-2009, MCC enrolled 35,623 credit and non-credit students, making it the third largest community college in the SUNY system More than 80 SUNY approved programs/curriculums are offered as contrasted to thirty-five in 1983, indicating responsiveness to both student's vocational interests and the expressed work force needs of local business, industry and organizations.

New York State shares community college capital project costs equally with Monroe County. The State's share may be financed from regular state appropriations or through issuance of bonds by the Dormitory Authority.

Status of Previously Programmed Projects:

Projects implemented in 2008 include:

- The design of roadway renovations to improve safety and traffic flow on the west side of campus.
- Additional critical brick and concrete repairs have been completed.
- Construction of the Wolk Excellence in Nursing building has been completed.
- Construction of the PAC Field House has been completed.
- The first phase of the renovation of Building 9, including major mechanical system replacements and construction of several labs.

Projects planned for 2009 include:

- Continue to design the Damon City Campus.
- Begin the design work for the next phase of the rehabilitation of Building 9.
- Continuation of brick and concrete repair at Brighton Campus.
- Continuation of the window replacements.
- Construction of the entrance roadway improvements.
- Design for the first Phase of Property preservation projects.

MONROE COMMUNITY COLLEGE - 2011-2016

		Budget ANNUAL P		PROJECT COST			Total Cost	
PROJECT NAME		2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	6 Years
Description Description Designed a Discond		1 700 000						4 700 000
Property Preservation Projects Phase 1	C S	1,700,000 1,700,000						1,700,000 1,700,000
	total	3,400,000		0	0	0	0	3,400,000
Public Safety Building/Building 21	с		1,895,000	3,070,000				4,965,000
	S		1,895,000	3,070,000				4,965,000
	total	0	3,790,000	6,140,000	0	0	0	9,930,000
Parking & Loop Road	с		600,000	1,200,000				1,800,000
	S		600,000	1,200,000				1,800,000
	total	0	1,200,000	2,400,000	0	0	0	3,600,000
Property Preservation & Technology Projects	с			500,000	3,710,000	7,380,000		11,590,000
Phase 2	S			500,000	3,710,000	7,380,000		11,590,000
	total	0	0	1,000,000	7,420,000	14,760,000	0	23,180,000
North Academic Building/Building 6 Renovation	с						4,500,000	4,500,000
	S						4,500,000	4,500,000
	total	0	0	0	0	0	9,000,000	9,000,000
c = county funds s = state SUNY		1,700,000 1,700,000	, ,			, ,	4,500,000 4,500,000	24,555,000 24,555,000
TOTAL		3,400,000	, ,			, ,	9,000,000	49,110,000

Property Preservation Projects Phase 1

Project Description: This project includes items identified as being priority life safety, mechanical and architectural deficiencies in the 2008-2013 Campus Master Plan. A comprehensive phased approach for renovation of buildings is seen as a high-priority critical need because of longstanding deferred maintenance issues, building systems deficiencies, and code compliance issues. The projects to be undertaken will address the problems caused by deficient air-conditioning, the original electrical system sized for the needs of 1968, and critical roof issues that are contributing to the unnecessary deterioration of the structures and equipment and routine damage to offices, classrooms, and general purpose space.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life:	20 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$148,200
Annual Operating Costs:	\$0
Attributable Revenues:	<u>\$0</u>
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$148,200

Public Safety Building/Building 21

Project Description: This project will renovate and add approximately 16,000 square feet to the existing Building 21Campus Services Complex, improving operations for the purchasing and receiving department and providing essential centralized office space for the Security and Safety department. Additional space will also be constructed for the maintenance department for storage and repair of equipment required to maintain the campus. Additionally, parking for security vehicles will be relocated from the very congested front loop area.

Environmental Review: This project is an Unlisted Action and will require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life:	20 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$432,900
Annual Operating Costs:	\$ 70,143
Attributable Revenues:	<u>\$0</u>
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$503,043

Parking & Loop Road Improvements

Project Description: This project will continue the construction of improvements to reduce traffic congestion and the vehicle accident rate on campus. As the campus continues to grow, additional parking and traffic circulation improvements are needed to maintain a safe campus. Additional improvements are anticipated at the front entrance of the campus to improve safety and aesthetics. Additional parking is needed to support both existing and new facilities. This project will also address deferred maintenance issues concurrently with the planned improvements.

Environmental Review: This project is an Unlisted Action and will require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life:	15 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$185,300
Annual Operating Costs:	\$0
Attributable Revenues:	<u>\$0</u>
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$185,300

Property Preservation & Technology Projects Phase 2

Project Description: The second phase of the Property Preservation and Technology Project will focus on improvements that directly impact the quality and delivery of instruction and management of the college operations. This includes the upgrade and modernization of the technology infrastructure at the college's campus locations, such as wiring and optic pathways, cabling, network electronics, as well as increased network capacity, connectivity, and updated security systems. It will include mission critical items such as technology infrastructure, as well as safety and code compliance improvements.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Bond Life:	20 yea	ars
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$1,010,500	
Annual Operating Costs:	\$	0
Attributable Revenues:	\$	0
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$1,01	0,500

North Academic Building/Building 6 Renovation

Project Description: This project involves the renovation of building 6 and the construction of a 130,000 square foot academic building eliminating the need for the "temporary" classrooms adjacent to building 9. Included will be the renovation of instructional and support spaces in Building 6 to address fire code issues and the cooling plant required for the Computer Center. The North Building will also serve as a gateway to connect the academic campus to the growing on-campus residential village, and anchor a corridor for future development.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type I Action and will require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budge					
Bond Life:	20 yea	rs			
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$392,3	00			
Annual Operating Costs:	\$	0			

Annual Operating Costs:	\$	0
Attributable Revenues:	\$	0
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$392,	300

MONROE COMMUNITY HOSPITAL

HIGHLIGHTS OF CAPITAL PROGRAM

 Provides a balanced series of projects that will maintain the facility's infrastructure, modernize patient care delivery system and ensure the safety and comfort of our residents.

Monroe Community Hospital (MCH) is a 566 bed chronic care Residential Health Care Facility (RHCF), owned and operated by the County of Monroe. The hospital is nationally recognized for its research in geriatrics and chronic diseases. It is a unique health care institution within the metropolitan area, serving residents from one month of age to over 100 years. MCH is unique in its services provided to the younger populations of the area. It provides many specialty units focused on care of disabilities, which can not be cared for in other facilities in the region.

In addition, the facility provides residents with special ambulatory consultative services, as well as many subspecialties to serve their complex medical needs. Monroe Community Hospital's medical staffs, who are faculty members of the University of Rochester School of Medicine and Dentistry, are nationally recognized leaders in researching the areas of gerontology and the causes of chronic illness.

Status of Previously Programmed Projects

A dynamic health care facility must continue to keep the physical plant, medical service and patient furnishings functional. The capital program has addressed these issues through a phased program that addressed the upgrading of many of the major physical systems of the Hospital. Between 1995 and 2009, a major construction effort was undertaken in the form of the Master Facilities Plan renovations. The project provided a modern resident living facility through total renovation of the Hope and Friendship Buildings. Work continues in the Faith Building and on facility-wide mechanical and structural systems.

Renovations to the first floor at MCH were begun in 2001 and were completed in 2008. These renovations included the interior renovation of the Hope and Garden Lobby as well as construction of a Gift Shop for residents, families, staff and the public. Conversion of existing space to create additional private rooms to serve residents with complex infections and those requiring short term rehabilitation began in 2009. This work, along with modernization of the rehabilitation therapy "gym" area will be completed in 2010.

In 2009, the facility completed an energy conservation and major utility systems upgrade involving the Faith Building. Additionally, significant work towards providing full fire



protection sprinklers throughout the facility was initiated. All work will be completed in 2010, ahead of the Federal 2013 deadline. Exterior masonry repairs to the facade were begun in 2007 will continue into 2010.

Work continues on a complete clinical and financial software systems upgrade. The placement of computer based "care tracking" kiosks in nursing unit corridors started in 2009. Such kiosks will improve quality of care and reimbursement potential by more closely monitoring services delivered to MCH residents.

Each year, the facility prioritizes the replacement of routine equipment and furnishings such as beds, fixtures, kitchen and meal delivery equipment, laundry and grounds equipment, etc. Critical patient care equipment is replaced on a planned schedule based on Medicare's "useful life" equipment schedules.

MONROE COMMUNITY HOSPITAL - 2011-2016

		Budget		ANNUAL	PROJECT	COST		Total Cost
PROJECT NAME		2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	6 Years
Exterior, Site and Utility Improvements	е	300,000	200,000	200,000	200,000	250,000	250,000	1,400,000
Infrastructure Improvements	е	500,000	550,000	350,000	345,000	350,000	400,000	2,495,000
Interior Improvements	е	250,000	350,000	350,000	350,000	250,000	250,000	1,800,000
Equipment/Furnishings/Resident Care	е	350,000	,	400,000	375,000	375,000	,	, ,
TOTAL	е	1,400,000	1,475,000	1,300,000	1,270,000	1,225,000	1,250,000	7,920,000

Exterior, Site and Utility Improvements

Project Description: This project will fund exterior general improvements throughout/around the Hospital complex. Examples to include structural support for the tunnel system to the Power Plant, replacement/recommissioning of mechanical and electrical systems, parking lots and roadways, as well as the energy management system and masonry repairs and replacement.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Op	erating Budget:
Bond Life:	10 years
Annual Debt Service Pavment:	\$190.200

Annual Debl Service Fayment.	φ190,	200
Annual Operating Costs:	\$	0
Attributable Revenues:	<u>\$190,</u>	200
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$	0

Infrastructure Improvements

Project Description: This project will fund general infrastructure improvements throughout and around the Hospital complex. Examples are water, electric, elevator, waste water and heating, ventilation and air conditioning system replacements, as well as installation and upgrades to fire protection systems.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life:	10 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$339,000
Annual Operating Costs:	\$0
Attributable Revenues:	<u>\$339,000</u>
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$ 0

Interior Improvements

Project Description: This project will fund interior general improvements throughout/around the Hospital complex. Examples are: flooring replacement, patient room redecoration, office renovations, and funds to remove asbestos associated with upgrading projects in the Hospital.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life:	10 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$244,600
Annual Operating Costs:	\$ 0
Attributable Revenues:	<u>\$244,600</u>
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$ 0

Equipment/Furnishings/Resident Care

Project Description: This project provides for replacement of beds, lamps, night stands, chairs, drapes, and tables in patient rooms, dining areas and lounges. It will provide for purchase of special direct care equipment such as wheelchairs (both manual and electric), stretchers, suction machines, etc. for patients. Also included will be continued replacement of support equipment for residents of the hospital to include pharmacy carts, medical equipment, refrigerators, lawn mowers, ice machines, dishwashers, computer software and hardware and the like.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Bond Life:	5 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$528,200
Annual Operating Costs:	\$ 0
Attributable Revenues:	<u>\$528,200</u>
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$0

MONROE COUNTY LIBRARY SYSTEM

HIGHLIGHTS OF CAPITAL PROGRAM

• Provide for the upgrade of the library computer system.

The **Monroe County Library System (MCLS)** is an association of autonomous local public libraries working together to improve library services for residents of Monroe County. Established in 1952 by the Monroe County Board of Supervisors, MCLS is chartered by the New York State Board of Regents and directed by an eleven-member board of trustees appointed by the County Legislature. Its members include the libraries of sixteen towns, two villages, a school district and the City of Rochester. The Rundel Memorial Building and the Bausch and Lomb Public Library Building serve as the Central Library for the system. Each library retains its own autonomy under the governance of its own board of trustees. The System improves library services by increasing access to information, by reducing the cost of repetitive, labor-intensive library operations and by providing for sharing of resources.

The Monroe County Library System and the Central Library of Rochester and Monroe County provide core services to the public libraries and their customers/patrons across Monroe County. This is accomplished by leveraging information technology and web based resources, ensuring resource sharing and the delivery of materials, providing research expertise and collection support, maximizing purchasing power and spearheading research and development for collaborative initiatives.

Because the service philosophy of MCLS focuses on services to its members which can achieve economies of scale, requests for capital projects will be also limited to those services, which are system wide, serving all the residents of Monroe County. Automated library services are appropriate to this operating philosophy due to the ability of automation to handle large amounts of information that can be shared among libraries.

Status of Previously Programmed Projects

Library Automation: The Library Automation System was installed in 1993 and connects all the public library personal computers in Monroe County to a centralized circulation, catalog, database and the Internet. Over the past several years the system has been expanded to accommodate over 1,300 personal computers and the telecommunications system has been reconfigured to accommodate LAN/WAN connectivity throughout the Monroe County Library System. Access to the catalogs of all public libraries in Monroe County and a number



of full text databases and digitized local historical documents are now provided to the residents of Monroe County over the Internet. Monroe County residents can place holds, renew library materials, and conduct research from their homes or offices.

The Monroe County Library System completed a major upgrade to the integrated library system in 2006. This has continued the program of providing cost effective, state of the art automation service to all the public libraries and library patrons in Monroe County.

Library System Automation

Project Description: The MCLS Automation system provides on-line catalog, circulation, acquisitions, databases, internet access, and other services to all thirty-three public libraries in Monroe County. The Automation Project will provide updated hardware, software, and telecommunications equipment on a yearly basis with a major upgrade of the System planned for 2011 and 2012. Computer replacements are scheduled for 2013.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life:	5 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$237,400
Annual Operating Costs:	\$ 0
Attributable Revenues:	<u>\$0</u>
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$237,400

MONROE COUNTY LIBRARY SYSTEM - 2011-2016

		Budget		ANNUAL	PROJECT	COST		Total Cost
PROJECT NAME		2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	6 Years
Library System Automation	С	250,000	250,000	200,000	100,000	100,000	100,000	1,000,000
c=county funds		250,000	250,000	200,000	100,000	100,000	100,000	1,000,000
TOTAL		250,000	250,000	200,000	100,000	100,000	100,000	1,000,000

PHYSICAL SERVICES SECTOR

Strategic Framework

Vision

The Physical Services departments are a cohesive team of proud and empowered employees working collectively to continuously improve the quality of public services

Mission

The Physical Services sector is a grouping of interrelated departments providing responsive services to plan, build, operate and maintain a safe and efficient infrastructure and a health environment. These services enhance the economic well being and quality of life in the community.

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

DEPARTMENT OF AVIATION

HIGHLIGHTS OF CAPITAL PROGRAM

- Taxiway E and Taxiway D Intersection
- Replace EMAS System
- North Ramp Improvements
- Terminal Improvements
- Aviation Support Center
- Refurbish of Passenger Loading Bridges

The Department of Aviation administers the Greater Rochester International Airport, a County-operated international airport, one of the busiest commercial air facilities in New York State. The airport was leased to the Monroe County Airport Authority in 1989. The lease provided that all revenue generated by various operations at the airport would remain with the Airport Authority. The Authority contracted with the County to perform the functions previously handled by the County and the County Legislature continued its role in the awarding of contracts related to activities at the field. As agent for the Authority, County personnel continue to perform direct services such as building and field maintenance, security and emergency response. These services by the County include the leasing of land and terminal space to the airlines, concessionaires and other parties.

Status of Previously Programmed Projects

Projects completed in 2009:

- Construction of the expansion to the parking garage was completed. This project built an expansion on the west side of the existing parking facility.
- Construction of terminal improvements in Concourse A was completed and improvements in Concourse B are in progress and are scheduled for completion in the first quarter of 2010.
- Phase 1 of construction of the new baggage screening system was completed and established new Airline Baggage makeup areas. Phase 2 is underway with the first inline screening equipment in place. The project is scheduled for completion in late 2010.
- Contract 1 for Taxiway H was constructed. This new segment of taxiway between Taxiway A and Taxiway D provides a new circulation option on the south side of Runway 10-28.
- Contract 1 for Taxiway N was constructed. This reconstructed Taxiway N from Taxiway A to Taxiway D.
- Contract 2 of the Runway 10-28 project was constructed. This reconstructed 1,000 feet of the runway from Runway 4-22 easterly to Taxiway D.
- Design of Taxiway A from the Runway 4 threshold to Taxiway A-1 was progressed for future construction.



- Compressed Natural Gas vehicles were placed in service in 2009 as part of the Voluntary Airport Low Emission (VALE) program.
- Design of future phases of the Runway 10-28 rehabilitation, including the intersection with Runway 4-22 was progressed for future construction.
- Environmental Compliance program has been initiated and utilizes consultant services to comply with current regulations.

All projects were funded with Federal Aviation Administration Grants (95% of eligible Costs) and New York State Department of Transportation Grants (2.5% of eligible costs), Passenger Facility Charges (PFC's) or Special Revenue (100% of eligible costs).

DEPARTMENT OF AVIATION - 2011-2016

PROJECT NAME		Budget 2011	2012	ANNUAL 2013	PROJECT 2014	2015	2016	Total Cost 6 Years
		2011	2012	2010	2014	2010	2010	e roure
Taxiway E and Taxiway D Intersection	е	120,000						120,00
	s f	120,000 4,560,000						120,000 4,560,000
	total	4,800,000	0	0	0	0	0	4,800,000
		50.000		50.000				100.00
Replace EMAS System	e s	50,000 50,000		50,000 50,000				100,00 100,00
	f	1,900,000		1,900,000				3,800,00
	total	2,000,000	0	2,000,000	0	0	0	4,000,00
North Ramp Improvements	е	50,000		50,000			75,000	175,00
	s	50,000		50,000			75,000	175,00
	f	1,900,000		1,900,000			2,850,000	6,650,00
	total	2,000,000	0	2,000,000	0	0	3,000,000	7,000,00
Terminal Improvements	е	31,250		37,500			37,500	125,00
	S f	31,250 1,187,500		37,500 1,425,000	18,750 712,500		37,500 1,425,000	125,00 4,750,00
	total	1,250,000	0	1,500,000	712,500	0	1,425,000	5,000,00
	lotai	1,200,000	Ũ	.,000,000			1,000,000	0,000,00
Aviation Support Center	e	25,000	0	25,000	0	0	0	50,000
	s f	25,000 950,000	0 0	25,000 950,000	0 0	0 0	0 0	50,00 1,900,00
	total	1,000,000	0	1,000,000	0	0	0	2,000,00
Voluntary Airport Low Emissions (VALE) Prog	iram e s	5,000 5,000		5,000 5,000				10,00 10,00
	f	200,000		200,000				400,00
	total	210,000	0	210,000	0	0	0	420,00
Planning and Design Projects	0	6,250		6,250		6,250		18,75
Fianning and Design Frojects	e s	6,250		6,250		6,250		18,75
	f	237,500		237,500		237,500		712,50
	total	250,000	0	250,000	0	250,000	0	750,00
Taxiway "L" Improvements	е	12,500						12,50
· ····································	S	12,500						12,50
	f	475,000						475,00
	total	500,000	0	0	0	0	0	500,000
Refurbish of Passenger Loading Bridges	е	25,000		18,750		18,750		62,500
	S f	25,000		18,750		18,750		62,500
	total	950,000 1,000,000	0	712,500 750,000	0	712,500 750,000	0	2,375,000
	totai	1,000,000	Ū	700,000	Ŭ	700,000	Ű	2,000,000
Heavy Equipment	е	15,000			25,000		25,000	65,000
	s f	15,000 570,000			25,000 950,000		25,000 950,000	65,000 2,470,000
	total	600,000	0	0		0	1,000,000	2,600,000
			50.000					55.00
General Aviation Apron Rehabilitation	e s	5,000 5,000	50,000 50,000					55,00 55,00
	f	190,000	1,900,000					2,090,000
	total	200,000	2,000,000	0	0	0	0	2,200,000
Rehabilitate Taxiway "H"	е		37,500					37,50
	s		37,500					37,50
	f		1,425,000			-	-	1,425,000
	total	0	1,500,000	0	0	0	0	1,500,000
Parking Facility Upgrades	е		1,000,000			1,000,000		2,000,000
Master Plan Update	e s		16,250 16,250					16,250 16,250
	f		617,500					617,50
	total	0	650,000	0	0	0	0	650,000
Runway 10/28 and Taxiway B Extension	~		75,000					75,00
Nunway 10/20 and Taxiway B Extension	e s		75,000 75,000					75,00 75,00
	f		2,850,000					2,850,00
	total	0	3,000,000	0	0	0	0	3,000,00
Runway 4/22 and Taxiway Improvements	е		12,500			100,000	75,000	187,50
	s		12,500			100,000	75,000	187,50
	f		475,000			3,800,000	2,850,000	7,125,00
	total	0	500,000	0	0	4,000,000	3,000,000	7,500,00

Federal funds share includes both Airport Improvement Program and Passenger Facility Charge funds. Italics denotes a new project

DEPARTMENT OF AVIATION - 2011-2016

PROJECT NAME	F	Budget 2011	2012	NNUAL 1 2013	PROJECT C 2014	2015	2016	Total Cost 6 Years
							2010	
South Taxiway - Runway 10/28	e s		100,000 100,000					100,00 100,00
	f		3,800,000					3,800,00
	total	0	4,000,000	0	0	0	0	4,000,00
Alternative Energy	е		500,000	500,000				1,000,00
internative Energy	s		500,000	500,000				1,000,00
	total	0	1,000,000	1,000,000	0	0	0	2,000,000
Rehabilitate Taxiways A1, A3, and N	е			25,000				25,00
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	S			25,000				25,00
	f total	0	0	1,000,000 1,050,000	0	0	0	1,000,00
	lotai	0	0	1,050,000	0	0	0	1,050,00
Perimeter Service Road	е			15,000				15,00
	s f			15,000 500,000				15,00 500,00
	total	0	0	530,000	0	0	0	530,00
				05 000				05.00
Airfield Lighting Upgrade	e s			25,000 25,000				25,00 25,00
	f			950,000				950,00
	total	0	0	1,000,000	0	0	0	1,000,00
Airfield Drainage Improvements	е			37,500				37,50
	S			37,500				37,50
	f	0	0	1,425,000	0	0	0	1,425,00
	total	0	0	1,500,000	0	0	0	1,500,00
Property Acquisition	е			12,500				12,50
	S f			12,500 475,000				12,50 475,00
	total	0	0	500,000	0	0	0	500,00
Environmental Compliance Projects	e s			12,500 12,500				12,50 12,50
	f			475,000				475,00
	total	0	0	500,000	0	0	0	500,00
West Taxiway - Runway 4/22	е				132,500		75,000	207,50
	s				132,500		75,000	207,50
	f total	0	0	0	5,000,000 5,265,000	0	2,850,000 3,000,000	7,850,00
	total	0	0	0	3,203,000	0	3,000,000	0,200,00
Black Creek Culvert Extension	е				31,250	75,000		106,25
	s f				31,250 1,187,500	75,000 2,850,000		106,25 4,037,50
	total	0	0	0	1,250,000	3,000,000	0	4,250,00
Taxiway "A" Improvements	e s				75,000 75,000			75,00 75,00
	f				2,850,000			2,850,00
	total	0	0	0	3,000,000	0	0	3,000,00
Taxiway "D" Extension	е				62,500			62,50
	S				62,500			62,50
	f total	0	0	0	2,375,000 2,500,000	0	0	2,375,00
	lotai	0	0	0	2,500,000	0	0	2,500,00
Viaduct Rehabilitation	е					50,000		50,00
	S f					50,000 1,900,000		50,00 1,900,00
	total	0	0	0	0	2,000,000	0	2,000,00
						0.000.000		0 000 00
Access/Circulation Roadway	e					2,000,000		2,000,00
Terminal South Apron, "C" Expansion	e						50,000	50,00
	s f						50,000 1,900,000	50,00 1,900,00
	total	0	0	0	0	0	2,000,000	2,000,00
a – ontorprise fund		245 000	1 704 050	000 000	245 000	2 250 000	227 500	6 000 75
e = enterprise fund s = state funds	e s	345,000 345,000	1,791,250 791,250	820,000 820,000	345,000 345,000	3,250,000 250,000	337,500 337,500	6,888,75 2,888,75
f = federal funds	-	13,120,000	11,067,500	12,150,000	13,075,000	9,500,000	12,825,000	71,737,50

Federal funds share includes both Airport Improvement Program and Passenger Facility Charge funds. Italics denotes a new project

Taxiway E and Taxiway D Intersection

Project Description: This project will improve the Line of Sight between Runway 4-22 and Runway 10-28 by reconstructing the existing taxiways at a lower elevation. This improvement is required to bring the airport into conformance with existing FAA criteria for safety for pilots and airport vehicles operating on the air carrier runways. The work includes new asphalt pavement, drainage systems, lighting, pavement markings and related work to recreate the existing taxiway geometry at the adjusted elevations.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life:	10 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$16,300
Annual Operating Costs:	\$0
Attributable Revenues:	<u>\$16,300</u>
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$ O

Replace EMAS System

Project Description: This project replaces the Engineered Material Arresting System (EMAS) located in the Runway 28 Overrun at the west end of runway 10-28. This product provides for the deceleration of aircraft that were unable to stop on the runway during their operations. This system was installed in 2001.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life:	10 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$13,600
Annual Operating Costs:	\$0
Attributable Revenues:	<u>\$13,600</u>
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$0

North Ramp Improvements

Project Description: This project will rehabilitate existing concrete and asphalt aircraft parking aprons at the terminal. The project will extend the useful life of the existing pavement by replacing existing concrete slabs that have or are failing; and, rehabilitate concrete slabs with localized damage areas. This will minimize the disruption to the airline operations at the terminal that would result if areas of the pavement were allowed to fail and total reconstruction was required. This work is identified in the pavement management study for the airport.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life:	10 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$23,800
Annual Operating Costs:	\$0
Attributable Revenues:	<u>\$23,800</u>
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$0

Terminal Improvements

Project Description: This project involves the construction of new walls, corridors, vertical circulation for pedestrians and building renovations to support both improved circulation and provide additional leased space for airline usage. The Airport has identified the need to alter the existing pedestrian circulation patterns to better address customer needs for services within the constraints of airport security requirements. It is also anticipated that the expansion of services with low fare and regional carriers will require the renovation of the concourse function to provide the required leased areas.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:				
Bond Life:	10 y	ears		
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$17,	000		
Annual Operating Costs:	\$	0		
Attributable Revenues:	<u>\$17,</u>	000		
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$	0		

Aviation Support Complex

Project Description: This project will provide vehicles and equipment that are used to provide aircraft support services a facility for parking and protection from weather. The spaces that had provided protection for this equipment have been reconfigured for baggage screening and no longer have available space for support equipment. The project will include demolishing of existing buildings; construction of new buildings, rehabilitates and reconstructs interior spaces as needed within existing structures on the east terminal apron area. This project will provide weather protection for Airline ground support equipment and make available alternative space to protect the equipment from freezing temperatures.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life:	5 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$11,900
Annual Operating Costs:	\$0
Attributable Revenues:	<u>\$11,900</u>
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$ O

Voluntary Airport Low Emissions (VALE)

Project Description: This project will provide vehicles that are powered by Compressed Natural Gas (CNG) under the parameters defined in the Airport Improvement Program (AIP). The project will also expand the existing Compressed Natural Gas facility by providing a second dispensing station.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life:	5 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$2,400
Annual Operating Costs:	\$ O
Attributable Revenues:	<u>\$2,400</u>
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$ O

Planning and Design Projects

Project Description: Planning and design activities related to future aviation projects which are eligible for Federal AIP funding. The current FAA procedure places more emphases on the Airport being able to progress an aided project. Projects which are designed and ready to construct are the best demonstration that the project is ready to progress.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual	Impact on	the Operating	Budget:
------------------	-----------	---------------	---------

Bond Life:	5 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$4,500
Annual Operating Costs:	\$0
Attributable Revenues:	\$4,500
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$ O

Taxiway "L" Improvements

Project Description: This project rehabilitates Taxiway "L" from Taxiway "H" to Taxiway "E", a distance of 1,600 feet. This asphalt taxiway, constructed in 1957, is near the end of its useful life as identified in the Pavement Management Study of 2008. The project will include rehabilitation of the existing pavement section and necessary drainage, lighting, signage and marking work.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life:	10 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$1,700
Annual Operating Costs:	\$0
Attributable Revenues:	<u>\$1,700</u>
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$ 0

Refurbish of Passenger Loading Bridges

Project Description: This project will provide for the Refurbishment of existing jet way loading bridges. This scheduled and planned refurbishment program will continue the useful life of this important equipment. Existing loading bridges are the original equipment installed when the airport was constructed in its current configuration. Annual maintenance on this equipment is exceeding the ability to be supported by the operating budget as the equipment continues to age and require more significant maintenance and component replacement.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life:	10 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$8,500
Annual Operating Costs:	\$ O
Attributable Revenues:	<u>\$8,500</u>
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$ O

Heavy Equipment

Project Description: This project will provide for the purchase of replacement equipment to be used for airport field maintenance and safety operations such as runway maintenance, snow removal, ice control and fire fighting and rescue. This scheduled and planned heavy equipment replacement program allows the Airport's airfield to remain operational and functional year round in all types of weather and conditions.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Bond Life:	10 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$8,800
Annual Operating Costs:	\$ 0
Attributable Revenues:	<u>\$8,800</u>
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$ 0

General Aviation Apron Rehabilitation

Project Description: This project rehabilitates and reconstructs the existing aircraft aprons on the south side of the airfield and will include pavement, drainage improvements, electrical improvements and pavement markings. The configuration of pavement in this area of the airport has evolved by combining former runways, taxiways, aircraft parking and vehicle parking lots. These paved areas need to be reconfigured to improve safety for both aircraft and vehicle movements.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life:	10 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$7,500
Annual Operating Costs:	\$0
Attributable Revenues:	<u>\$7,500</u>
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$ O

Rehabilitate Taxiway 'H'

Project Description: This project will rehabilitate and reconstruct portions of Taxiway "H" from Taxiway "A" north to the north ramp to include new rigid and flexible pavement, miscellaneous drainage and electrical improvements and pavement markings. This will improve one of the older taxiways on the airport, thus avoiding possible pavement failure and resulting delays in service.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

10 years
\$5,100
\$ O
<u>\$5,100</u>
\$ O

Parking Facility Upgrades

Project Description: This project will result in the upgrading of existing surface areas and related support facilities as well as required structural upgrades to existing airport parking facilities. The parking garage and related facilities construction (for the terminal) began in 1989. Parking garages require periodic major maintenance projects to maintain the integrity of the structure and extend the useful life at a minimal cost. Surface parking lots need periodic maintenance to maintain their condition.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life:	10 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$271,700
Annual Operating Costs:	\$ 0
Attributable Revenues:	<u>\$271,700</u>
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$0

Master Plan Update

Project Description: This project will update the current Airport Master Plan to reflect needed improvements in the next 20 years. The FAA requires updated long range planning for airport facilities to remain eligible for federal funding for airport improvements. The plan will include analysis of runway and taxiway configurations for future activity at the airport, projecting capital improvement requirements over a 20 year period, and assessing the need for expansion of airport property for both safety and aviation needs.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life:	10	years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$2,	200
Annual Operating Costs:	\$	0
Attributable Revenues:	<u>\$2,</u>	200
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$	0

Runway 10/28 and Taxiway B Extension

Project Description: This project includes improvement of Runway 10/28, with a displaced threshold, and a blast pad. Taxiway "B" will be extended to the new runway end.

Environmental Review: This project is an Unlisted Action and will require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget: Bond Life: 10 years

Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$10,200
Annual Operating Costs:	\$ 3,000
Attributable Revenues:	<u>\$13,200</u>
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$ 0

Runway 4/22 and Taxiway Improvements

Project Description: This project improves the south end of Runway 4 and will include an extension of parallel Taxiway "A". The relocation of several existing navigation aids and lighting systems will be required and the project will include new concrete pavement, drainage systems, lighting, marking and related work to construct the runway improvements. The resulting improvements will provide safer aircraft landing and take off as well as facilitate the utilization of a more diverse aircraft type at GRIA.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type I Action and will require further environmental review.

0

\$

Estimated Annual Impact on the Oper	rating Budget:
Bond Life:	10 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$25,500
Annual Operating Costs:	\$ 5,000
Attributable Revenues:	\$30,500

Net Operating Budget Impact:

South Taxiway - Runway 10/28

Project Description: This project will create new segments of east/west taxiway for Runway 10/28, south of the runway, including upgrading of the intersected pavements at Taxiway H and Taxiway G, and connection to the runway threshold east of Taxiway G.

Environmental Review: This project is an Unlisted Action and will require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life:	10 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$13,600
Annual Operating Costs:	\$ 0
Attributable Revenues:	<u>\$13,600</u>
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$ 0

Alternative Energy

Project Description: This project will provide further implementation of energy conservation and generation measures at the Airport complex. The sequence of this project following the current energy projects will provide a period to evaluate the performance of current green energy initiatives that have been undertaken and make performance based decisions on how to best continue reducing the Airport's energy consumption. This project includes the design and construction of renewal energy improvements to supply power for building consumption. Solar panels (photo voltaic) as well as wind turbine power generation will be utilized to offset power purchase from the utility company.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life:	10 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$135,900
Annual Operating Costs:	\$0
Attributable Revenues:	<u>\$135,900</u>
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$0

Rehabilitate Taxiways A1, A3, and N

Project Description: This project rehabilitates three of the existing exit taxiways from Runway 4-22, the primary Airport runway. Taxiways A-3 and A-4 were constructed in the 1960's and require major structural rehabilitation to the existing asphalt pavement. Taxiway A-1 will be reviewed for conformance to current design standards for pavement width and it is proposed that the concrete pavement be rehabilitated, and widened should this be required to meet standards. Work on both Taxiways will include drainage, lighting, signage, and markings.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life:	10	years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$3,	400
Annual Operating Costs:	\$	0
Attributable Revenues:	\$3,	400
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$	0

Perimeter Service Road

Project Description: This project will upgrade existing gravel roadways within the Airport security fence to allow vehicles to move more efficiently around the airfield independent of aircraft operations. Construction of asphalt surfaces on the service roadways in the southern portion of the Airport will allow improved operations by the Airport.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

10 year	s
\$2,000	
\$1,200	
\$3,200	
\$0	
	10 year \$2,000 \$1,200 <u>\$3,200</u> \$0

Airfield Lighting Upgrade

Project Description: This project provides funding for upgrading critical components of the airfield lighting system. While some components of the system have been updated when airfield improvements have been implemented, a complete overall system update is required. Lights, wiring, switches and other component parts may need replacement or reconditioning. The work will also include replacement of the back up generator that powers the lighting system during electric grid failures.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Bond Life:	10 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$3,400
Annual Operating Costs:	\$ O
Attributable Revenues:	<u>\$3,400</u>
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$ O

Airfield Drainage Improvements

Project Description: This project provides funding to upgrade and expand the existing storm drainage system for the airport. The program will include replacement of portions of existing systems and supplemental outfall piping to provide the required system capacity.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type I Action and will require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life:	10 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$5,100
Annual Operating Costs:	\$ O
Attributable Revenues:	<u>\$5,100</u>
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$ O

Property Acquisition

Project Description: This project will provide funds to acquire property around the Airport for safety, compatibility and control of future development at the airport. Identification of these properties is included in the Airport Master Plan Update.

Environmental Review: This project is an Unlisted Action and will require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life:	10 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$1,700
Annual Operating Costs:	\$ O
Attributable Revenues:	<u>\$1,700</u>
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$ O

Environmental Compliance Projects

Project Description: This project will include various environmental improvements at the airport including improvements to existing storm water quality discharges, upgrade of existing chemical storage areas, automation of deicing fluid collection systems for remote operations, contaminated site investigation and remediation, and wetland mitigation. This project will enable the airport to comply with federal and state environmental regulations.

Environmental Review: This project is an Unlisted Action and will require further environmental review.

Estimated	Annual	Impact	on the	Operating	Budget:

Bond Life:	10 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$1,700
Annual Operating Costs:	\$ O
Attributable Revenues:	<u>\$1,700</u>
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$ O

West Taxiway - Runway 4/22

Project Description: This project will create a new north/south taxiway for Runway 4/22, west of the runway including upgrades of the intersecting pavement on Taxiway "C". The Airport Master Plan Update identifies the need to improve the operational characteristics of the North/South runway to improve aircraft circulation west of the runway.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type I Action and will require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life:	10	years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$2	8,200
Annual Operating Costs:	\$	8,000
Attributable Revenues:	<u>\$3</u>	<u>6,200</u>
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$	0

Black Creek Culvert Extension

Project Description: This project will extend the culvert carrying Little Black Creek on the west side of Runway 4-22. This project will include drainage systems and related work to construct the culverts. This project will improve the water quality of Little Black Creek and allow for the future construction of the planned parallel taxiway west of Runway 4-22.

Environmental Review: This project is an Unlisted Action and will require further environmental review.

Bond Life:	10 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$14,400
Annual Operating Costs:	\$ 0
Attributable Revenues:	<u>\$14,400</u>
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$ 0

Taxiway 'A' Improvements

Project Description: The project provides for the reconstruction of Taxiway A from the Runway 4 threshold to Taxiway A-3. The pavement geometry at the bend in the taxiway will be improved to provide the proper pavement width for Group IV aircraft that use Taxiway A. The project will include necessary improvements at the intersecting taxiways and the Taxiway A hold apron. The work will include new rigid pavement, miscellaneous drainage improvements, electrical lighting circuit and runway guard light improvements and installation of appropriate pavement markings for the taxiway configuration. This project also provides for localized concrete pavement rehabilitation to extend the useful life of the pavement in areas not programmed for reconstruction, as recommended in the Pavement Management Study.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life:	10 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$10,200
Annual Operating Costs:	\$0
Attributable Revenues:	<u>\$10,200</u>
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$0

Taxiway "D" Extension

Project Description: This project extends Taxiway D from Taxiway F south to the development parcel located east of the existing Air Traffic Control Tower. The project will include asphalt pavement, drainage improvements, electrical system upgrades and new pavement markings. Modifications to the existing airport roadway and utility grid in the vicinity of Hangar #2 will be required.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type I Action and will require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life:	10 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$ 8,500
Annual Operating Costs:	\$ 5,000
Attributable Revenues:	<u>\$13,500</u>
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$ O

Viaduct Rehabilitation

Project Description: This project provides funds for the rehabilitation of the Viaduct, the elevated roadway that traverses the front of the Passenger Terminal. The work will include replacement of the concrete deck that is supported by a pre-cast plank system, remedial work as required on existing piers and columns and related work necessary to maintain the structural integrity of the Viaduct.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

10 years
\$6,800
\$ O
<u>\$6,800</u>
\$ O

Access/Circulation Roadway

Project Description: This project will upgrade the airport circulation roadway network that was constructed in the 1980's to support terminal improvements. The project includes surface rehabilitation, drainage system improvements, curbing and pavement marking for landside roadways that connect the terminal to Brooks Avenue and Scottsville Road.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

0 years
o youro
271,700
5 0
271,700
5 0

Terminal South Apron, "C" Expansion

Project Description: This project involves expansion of the terminal apron and relocation of taxiway "C." The apron expansion would provide approximately eight acres of new pavement. The existing apron grading enables "at gate" aircraft deicing and deicing fluid collection; therefore, the expansion would also necessitate re-grading/reconstruction of the existing apron to fully maintain this capability. The work will include new concrete pavement, drainage systems, lighting, marking and related work to complete this expansion. Terminal apron expansion will allow for future terminal concourse expansion and provide needed additional aircraft parking. Relocation of Taxiway "C" will be required by the expansion for safe and more efficient aircraft movement.

Environmental Review: This project is an Unlisted Action and will require further environmental review.

10 years
\$6,800
\$ 0
\$6,800
<u>\$</u> 0

DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES DIVISION OF PURE WATERS

HIGHLIGHTS OF CAPITAL PROGRAM

- Continuation of upgrades and replacement of major process equipment at the Frank E. Van Lare Wastewater Treatment Plant (FEV WWTP) consisting of improvements to the overall electrical system, aeration basins, and primary tanks in combination with collection system improvement initiatives for pump stations and sewers including CSOAP infrastructure in the Rochester Pure Waters District (RPWD).
- Continuation of comprehensive improvements to address hydraulic capacities and extend the useful life of the interceptor system and upgrades to pump stations in the Irondequoit Bay South Central Pure Waters District (IBSCPWD).
- Continuation of comprehensive improvements to address hydraulic capacities and extend the useful life of the interceptor system and upgrades to pump stations in the Northwest Quadrant Pure Waters District (NWQPWD).
- Continuation of collection system improvement initiatives for pump stations and sewers in the Gates-Chili-Ogden Sewer District (GCOSD).

The Division of Pure Waters was established by the County Legislature to implement the Pure Waters Master Plan to reduce the levels of pollution of Irondequoit Bay, the Genesee River, areas of Lake Ontario, and other waters of Monroe County to safe, healthy levels. It operates and maintains four geographic districts containing two wastewater treatment facilities, 55 pump stations, 1000 miles of collection system sewers, 115 miles of interceptor / trunk sewers and 38 miles of conveyance / storage deep tunnels.

The various staff of the four Pure Waters Districts work cooperatively together to maximize operational effectiveness and enhance cost efficiencies. The County's two wastewater treatment plants treat an average of 130 million gallons of water per day through physical, biological, and chemical processes. The Field Operations Section is responsible for the operation and maintenance of 700 miles of sewers in the City and 300 miles of sanitary sewers in the Gates-Chili-Ogden District. This section is also responsible for the operation of 55 pump stations and the Combined Sewer Overflow Abatement Program (CSOAP) Tunnel System, which dramatically reduces storm generated overflows to the river, bay and lake through improved overflow capture and treatment rates. The Industrial Waste Pretreatment Section administers a federally approved pretreatment program, the sampling and analysis of discharges from 150 industrial facilities and enforcement of the Monroe County Sewer Use Law.

The County Legislature, which serves as the Pure Waters



Administrative Board, oversees program management, approves contracts, holds public hearings, and establishes the annual rates and operating budgets for the four districts.

Engineering services, including project design and construction administration, are generally provided by the Monroe County Division of Engineering and Facilities Management, either directly, or through contractual services with consultants and other outside vendors.

Status of Previous Programmed Projects

In the **Rochester Pure Waters District** evaluation and design of alternatives to replace and upgrade the FEV WWTP aeration system continued in 2009. Construction of Phase I is scheduled to begin in 2010, with additional phases continuing through 2012. Construction of upgrades to the Clinton Keeler Pump Station began in 2009, with completion scheduled for 2010. Construction of major improvements to the FEV WWTP Bar Rakers was completed in 2009. Construction of Phase I of the FEV WWTP Thickener Tank Improvements will be completed in 2010. Various rehabilitation and replacement projects in the collection system were completed in 2009 and others will continue annually.

In the **Irondequoit Bay South Central Pure Waters District** upgrades to the Pattonwood Pump Station were completed in 2009. Construction of improvements to the McEwen Pump Station will be completed in 2010. The Irondequoit Bay Pump Station force mains are being evaluated for rehabilitation, with construction scheduled to begin in 2010.

In the **Northwest Quadrant Pure Waters District**, design of a third, secondary clarifier to increase hydraulic capacities of the NWQ WWTP began in 2009, with construction scheduled to begin in early 2011. General improvements to the pump stations and interceptor system will continue in 2010 and beyond.

In the **Gates Chili Ogden Sewer District** design of upgrades to the Trolley Pump Station were completed in 2009 with construction scheduled to begin in 2010. Design of upgrades for the Central Gates, Southwest and Scottsville Road Pump Stations continued in 2009 with construction scheduled to begin in 2012 and beyond.

PURE WATERS - 2011-2016

	Budget	A	NNUAL	PROJECT (COST		Total Cost
PROJECT NAME	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	6 Years
Rochester Pure Waters District							
Frank E. VanLare Electrical System Improvements d	1,000,000						1,000,000
Frank E. VanLare Aeration System Improvements d	4.000.000	4.000.000					8.000.000
Trank E. Vallare Actation bystem improvements d	4,000,000	4,000,000					0,000,000
Frank E. VanLare Primary Tank Improvements d	2,000,000	2,500,000					4,500,000
Combined System Tunnel System Improvements d		1,000,000	3,000,000				4,000,000
Combined System Pedestrian Bridge Improvements d			1,000,000				1,000,000
Lake & Merrill Pump Station Improvements d				1,000,000			1,000,000
Frank E. VanLare General Improvements d					500,000	500,000	1,000,000
General Collection System Improvements d					500,000	500,000	1,000,000
Frank E. VanLare Thickener Improvements Phase II d						1 500 000	1 500 000
Frank E. VanLare Thickener Improvements Phase II d District Subtotal d	7,000,000	7,500,000	4,000,000	1,000,000	1,000,000	1,500,000 2,500,000	<i>1,500,000</i> 23,000,000
	.,,	.,,	.,,	.,,	.,,	_,,	
Irondequoit Bay S. Central Pure Waters District							
General Pump Station & Interceptor Improvements d	500,000	500,000	500,000	500,000	500,000	500,000	3,000,000
Pinnacle Road Pump Station Improvements d	1,000,000						1,000,000
South Central Trunk Sewer Improvements d		1,000,000					1,000,000
District Subtotal d	1,500,000	1,500,000	500,000	500,000	500,000	500,000	5,000,000
North West Quadrant Pure Waters District							
General Pump Station & Interceptor Improvements d	500,000	500,000	500,000	500.000	500,000	500,000	3,000,000
District Subtotal d	500,000	500,000	500,000	,	500,000	500,000	3,000,000
Gates Chili Ogden Pure Waters District							
General Collection System Improvements d	500,000	500,000	500,000	500,000	500,000	500,000	3,000,000
Central Gates Pump Station Improvements d		2,500,000					2,500,000
Southwest Pump Station Improvements d		_,,		2,000,000			2,000,000
	<u>├</u>			2,000,000			2,000,000
Scottsville Road Pump Station Improvements d						1,500,000	1,500,000
District Subtotal d	500,000	3,000,000	500,000	2,500,000	500,000	2,000,000	9,000,000
TOTAL d	9,500,000	12,500,000	5,500,000	4,500,000	2,500,000	5,500,000	40,000,000

Italics denotes a new project

RPWD - FEV WWTP Electrical System Improvements

Project Description: This project includes upgrades and improvements to the overall electrical system, including transformers, manual and auto transfer switchgear, cable fault detection systems, and components integral to the simultaneous upgrade of the aeration system.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life:	20 y	ears
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$ 87	,200
Annual Operating Costs:	\$-20	,000,
Attributable Revenues:	<u>\$ 67</u>	,200
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$	0

RPWD - FEV WWTP Aeration System Improvements

Project Description: This project includes multi-year, phased replacement of the aeration system, a critical component of the overall treatment process and the largest energy consuming system at the plant.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life:	20 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$ 697,500
Annual Operating Costs:	\$-225,000
Attributable Revenues:	<u>\$ 472,500</u>
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$ 0

RPWD - FEV WWTP Primary Tank Improvements

Project Description: This project includes structural improvements to the primary tanks in combination with mechanical and electrical upgrades necessary to support the critical process of primary treatment.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life:	20 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$392,300
Annual Operating Costs:	\$ -50,000
Attributable Revenues:	<u>\$342,300</u>
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$ 0

RPWD - CSOAP Tunnel System Improvements

Project Description: This project includes removal of significant amounts of grit and deposition from the Tiger-Carlisle / Dewey-Eastman Tunnel System that has accumulated throughout the first 20 years of tunnel operation and debris. In additional to improving the hydraulic capacity of the tunnel system, an extensive inspection and any necessary rehabilitation of concrete and other structural elements will be performed. The project will also include debris removal and structural rehabilitation work in the Lexington Avenue Tunnel.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

\$333,700

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:			
Bond Life:	20 years		
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$348,700		
Annual Operating Costs:	\$ -15,000		

RPWD - CSOAP Pedestrian Bridge Improvements

Attributable Revenues:

Net Operating Budget Impact:

Project Description: This project consists of a comprehensive structural rehabilitation of the Maplewood Pedestrian Bridge access pathways.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life:	20 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$ 87,200
Annual Operating Costs:	\$-10,000
Attributable Revenues:	<u>\$ 77,200</u>
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$0

RPWD - Lake & Merrill Pump Station Improvements

Project Description: This project includes major mechanical, electrical and structural improvements to the District's Lake and Merrill Pump Station.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Opera	ting Budget:
Bond Life:	20 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$ 87,200
Annual Operating Costs:	\$-15,000
Attributable Revenues:	<u>\$ 72,200</u>
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$ O

RPWD - FEV WWTP General Improvements

Project Description: This project generally includes various smaller scale improvements at the FEV WWTP, which are internally managed and integral to the Department's "Five Year Plan" operation and maintenance program which generates repair and replacement priorities that may not be part of larger and long term planned initiatives.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated	Annual	Impact (on the	Operating	Budget:
Lotimated	Annual	mpaor		operating	Buuget.

Bond Life:	20 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$ 87,200
Annual Operating Costs:	\$-10,000
Attributable Revenues:	<u>\$ 77,200</u>
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$ 0

RPWD - General Collection System Improvements

Project Description: This project generally includes various smaller scale collection system improvements, which are internally managed and consistent with the Department's "Five Year Plan" operation and maintenance program which generates repair and replacement priorities that may not be part of larger and long term planned initiatives.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life:	20 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$ 87,200
Annual Operating Costs:	\$-25,000
Attributable Revenues:	<u>\$ 62,200</u>
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$ 0

RPWD - FEV WWTP Thickener Improvements - Phase II

Project Description: This project includes mechanical improvements to the thickener tanks in combination with structural and electrical upgrades necessary to support the critical process of solids handling. The RPWD recently completed Phase I of the thickener improvements. Phase II is necessary to complete the entire complex.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Ar	nual Impact	on the	Operating	Budget:
--------------	-------------	--------	-----------	---------

Bond Life:	20 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$130,800
Annual Operating Costs:	\$0
Attributable Revenues:	<u>\$130,800</u>
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$ 0

IBSCPWD - General Pump Station & Interceptor Improvements

Project Description: This project includes general improvements to various pump stations and interceptors in the District. Improvements include a phased rehabilitation of the Irondequoit Bay Pump Station's two major force mains that convey District flows to the FEV WWTP; trunk sewer improvements necessary to increase conveyance capacities in the southwest quadrant of the District, and District-wide enhancements to the appurtenant communication and data transmission systems. A major component for all facility improvements is an emphasis on energy efficiencies and cost savings that will provide a partial offset to debt service incurred.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life:	20 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$261,600
Annual Operating Costs:	\$ -25,000
Attributable Revenues:	<u>\$236,600</u>
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$ 0

IBSCPWD - Pinnacle Road Pump Station Improvements

Project Description: This project includes major mechanical, electrical and structural improvements to the District's Pinnacle Road Pump Station.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life:	20 y	ears
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$ 87	,200
Annual Operating Costs:	\$-15	,000,
Attributable Revenues:	<u>\$ 72</u>	,200
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$	0

IBSCPWD - South Central Trunk Sewer Improvements

Project Description: This project includes repair, replacement and rehabilitation measures necessary to increase conveyance capacities and maintain structural integrity of the District's South Central Trunk Sewer.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Opera	ting Budget:
Bond Life:	20 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$ 87,200
Annual Operating Costs:	\$-25,000

Annual Operating Costs:	\$-25	,000
Attributable Revenues:	<u>\$ 62</u>	,200
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$	0

NWQPWD - General Pump Station & Interceptor Improvements

Project Description: This project includes general improvements to various pump stations and interceptors in the District. Improvements include increases in conveyance capacity of localized sections of the District's interceptors; facility upgrades necessary to more effectively maximize flow distribution and capacities between the District and the Gates-Chili-Ogden Sewer District; and District-wide enhancements to the appurtenant communication and data transmission systems. A major component for all facility improvements is an emphasis on energy efficiencies and cost savings that will provide a partial offset to debt service incurred.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life:	20 ye	ears
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$261	,600
Annual Operating Costs:	\$ -25	5,000
Attributable Revenues:	nues: <u>\$236,60</u>	
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$	0

GCOSD - General Collection System Improvements

Project Description: This project includes general improvements to various pump stations and collection system infrastructure in the District. Improvements will serve to extend the useful life of various facilities, while also providing increased conveyance capacity and enhanced operational flexibility and District-wide enhancements to the appurtenant communication and data transmission systems. A major component for all facility improvements is an emphasis on energy efficiencies and cost savings that will provide a partial offset to debt service incurred.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life:	20 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$261,600
Annual Operating Costs:	\$ -20,000
Attributable Revenues:	<u>\$241,600</u>
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$ 0

GCOSD - Central Gates Pump Station Improvements

Project Description: This project includes major mechanical, electrical and structural improvements to the District's Central Gates Pump Station.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life:	•	20 ye	ars
Annual Debt Service Payment:		\$218,	000
Annual Operating Costs:		\$ -15,	000
Attributable Revenues:		<u>\$203,</u>	000
Net Operating Budget Impact:		\$	0

GCOSD - Southwest Pump Station Improvements

Project Description: This project includes major mechanical, electrical and structural improvements to the District's Southwest Pump Station.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life:	20 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$174,400
Annual Operating Costs:	\$ -15,000
Attributable Revenues:	<u>\$159,400</u>
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$ 0

GCOSD - Scottsville Road Pump Station Improvements

Project Description: This project includes major mechanical, electrical and structural improvements.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Bond Life:	20 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$130,800
Annual Operating Costs:	\$0
Attributable Revenues:	<u>\$130,800</u>
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$0

DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES FLEET

HIGHLIGHTS OF CAPITAL PROGRAM

 Purchase of necessary equipment for various department operations

The Division of Fleet was created in the Environmental Services Department in 2003. The purpose of the division is to coordinate the purchase of specialized equipment for a variety of county department operations. Equipment for Parks, Highways and Traffic and Traffic Engineering are included in this program.

ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES FLEET - 2011-2016



		Budget		ANNUAL	PROJECT	COST	Total Cost	
PROJECT NAME		2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	6 Years
Equipment/Vehicles Parks	с	121,000	250,000	145,000	145,000	155,000	155,000	971,000
Equipment/Vehicles Traffic Engineering	с		120,000	120,000	255,000	545,000		1,040,000
Equipment/Vehicles Highways and Bridges	с	185,000	140,000	104,000	190,000	125,000		744,000
TOTAL	С	306,000	510,000	369,000	590,000	825,000	155,000	2,755,000

Equipment/Vehicles Parks

Project Description: This project involves the purchase of heavy equipment for county parkland maintenance activities. Items to be purchased in each year of the capital program are intended to supplement existing equipment or replace worn and out dated equipment.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life:	6 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$197,500
Annual Operating Costs:	\$0
Attributable Revenues:	<u>\$0</u>
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$197,500

Equipment/Vehicles Traffic Engineering

Project Description: This project provides for the purchase of heavy equipment used for the county's traffic engineering maintenance activities. Items to be purchased in each year of the capital program are to supplement existing equipment or replace worn and outdated equipment.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life:	10 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$114,700
Annual Operating Costs:	\$0
Attributable Revenues:	<u>\$0</u>
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$114,700

Equipment/Vehicles Highways and Bridges

Project Description: This project provides funds for the purchase of heavy equipment used for the maintenance of county highways and bridges. Items to be purchased in each year of the capital program are intended to supplement existing equipment or replace worn and outdated equipment.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Bond Life:	10	years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$73	3,500
Annual Operating Costs:	\$	0
Attributable Revenues:	\$	0
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$73	3,500

DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES GEOGRAPHIC INFORMATION SERVICES DIVISION

HIGHLIGHTS OF CAPITAL PROGRAM

• Continuation of the implementation of the County's Geographic Information System.

The Division of Geographic Information Services was created in the Environmental Services Department in 2000. The purpose of the division is to continue the development of the County's Geographic Information System by improving computer hardware and software and providing technical services to improve computerized mapping capabilities in County Departments. The program initially involved creating a digital base map using the County's real property tax maps. Building upon this base, additional computerized map layers will be established for County departments wishing to display and analyze their facility information in a geographic manner. Working with other departments, local governments, and state and federal agencies, the Geographic Information Services Division will continue to expand and improve the computerized mapping capabilities in Monroe County.



GIS (Geographic Information System) Enterprise Development

Project Description: This project is a continuation of the County's GIS (Geographic Information System) development. The project revolves around three initiatives: data conversion for the cadastral base map, network infrastructure development, and application development. The cadastral layer will serve as a base map for city and county departments, local towns and villages, private agencies and the public. Network infrastructure development concentrates on the hardware and software improvements necessary to bring GIS technology to a broad audience. Specific improvements are underway that will facilitate the internet, intranet, and extranet community. Application development applies GIS technologies to tasks, projects, or programs in order to perform that task, project, or program more efficiently.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life:	-	5 yea	ars
Annual Debt Service Payment:		\$114	,000
Annual Operating Costs:		\$	0
Attributable Revenues:		<u>\$114</u>	,000
Net Operating Budget Impact:		\$	0

GEOGRAPHIC INFORMATION SERVICES - 2011-2016

		Budget		ANNUAL	PROJECT	COST		Total Cost
PROJECT NAME		2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	6 Years
Geographic Information System Enterprise								
Development	С					240,000	240,000	480,000
TOTAL	С	0	0	0	0	240,000	240,000	480,000

DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES SOLID WASTE

HIGHLIGHTS OF CAPITAL PROGRAM

- Composting and recycling initiatives that leverage federal and state grants.
- Improvements to Resource Recovery Facility and Recycling Center

Monroe County's Solid Waste Management integrated program is built upon recycling, volume reduction and lastly land burial. Some materials can be recycled, some composted and others must be landfilled. The County's program responds to this diversity of management by redirecting materials from disposal for their reuse and reduction to extend the life of landfills.



SOLID WASTE - 2011-2016

		Budget ANNUAL PROJECT COST						Total Cost
PROJECT NAME		2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	6 Years
Resource Recovery Facility/ Recycling Center								
Complex Improvements	е	210,000	210,000	500,000	900,000			1,820,000
TOTAL	е	210,000	210,000	500,000	900,000	0	0	1,820,000

Resource Recovery Facility/Recycling Center Complex Improvements

Project Description: This project includes security, tipping and storage floor replacement and parking/staging and street milling/paving and drainage and utility upgrades at the Monroe County Resource Recovery Facility (RRF) and Recycling Center. The RRF is 30 years old and is used as a transfer station for City solid waste being hauled to the Mill Seat Landfill. The Recycling Center is nearly 20 years old and receives and processes recyclables to reduce land filled waste from throughout the County. Wear and tear on the infrastructure and the buildings is attributed to the 500 collection vehicles and tractor/trailers within the complex daily and major improvements are now required.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:					
Bond Life:	10 ye	ears			
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$247	,300			
Annual Operating Costs:	\$	0			
Attributable Revenues:	\$247	,300			
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$	0			

DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES FACILITIES MANAGEMENT

HIGHLIGHTS OF CAPITAL PROGRAM

- Installation of energy management systems throughout County-owned buildings for operational savings.
- Upgrade County facilities for structural improvements, electrical improvements, fire protection, roof repairs and HVAC.
- Renovate Monroe County Public Safety Building.
- Upgrade security systems to control unauthorized building access.
- Undertake implementation of corrective measures for ADA compliance.



The Division of Engineering and Facilities Management continues to maintain County facilities. The Division continues to make

improvements to roofs, updates to elevators, accommodations to

improve handicapped accessibility, and general improvements to

Status of Previously Programmed Projects

County Buildings.

Renovate Hall of Justice.

The Division of Engineering and Facilities Management is responsible for the operation and maintenance of County-owned facilities. This includes setting and meeting security and safety objectives for all County buildings and its personnel; developing and administering housekeeping programs that will use worker time more efficiently, achieve savings, and provide building cleaning; and managing energy consumption in County buildings. The four major facilities are the Hall of Justice, the County Office Building, the Health and Human Services Building, and the Civic Center Complex.

ENGINEERING AND FACILITIES MANAGEMENT - 2011-2016

Budget ANNUAL PROJECT COST Total Cost PROJECT NAME 2011 2012 2013 2016 2014 2015 6 Years 3,100,000 General Improvements с 100,000 600,000 600,000 600,000 600,000 600,000 300,000 1,800,000 Hall of Justice Court Requested Improvements 300,000 300,000 300,000 300,000 300,000 с Hall of Justice Reconstruction 450,000 250,000 2,250,000 250,000 250,000 250,000 3,700,000 с 75,000 75,000 450,000 Asbestos Abatement с 75,000 75,000 75,000 75,000 500,000 500,000 500,000 500,000 500,000 500,000 3,000,000 Civic Center Complex Reconstruction с 75,000 75,000 75,000 225,000 ADA Aid to Disabled Improvements с Public Safety Building Reconstruction с 2,600,000 2,000,000 1,000,000 5,600,000 500,000 600,000 600,000 600,000 600,000 600,000 3,500,000 County Office Building Reconstruction с 100,000 100,000 100,000 Energy Conservation and Management Systems 100,000 100,000 100.000 600.000 С Roof Improvements 450.000 450.000 450,000 1,350,000 4,700,000 5 500 000 2 500 000 TOTAL 4.875.000 2.875.000 2 875 000 23 325 000 С

General Improvements

Project Description: This project funds improvements to County Buildings. These changes are designed to improve office productivity, and to make improvements which address health, safety, and code concerns. Included are funds for design and construction of building system upgrades such as plumbing, door and window replacement, HVAC, lighting, masonry and structural improvements.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

10 years
\$294,800
\$ 0
<u>\$181,200</u>
\$113,600

Hall of Justice Court Requested Improvements

Project Description: This project will fund New York Unified Courts System (Courts) requested building modifications at the Hall of Justice. The County would act as the project manager/contractor for these modifications, and would pay for them from this capital fund. The Courts would reimburse the County for the expenses incurred while making the modifications, resulting in no additional expense to the County.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life:	- 10 ye	ears
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$244	,600
Annual Operating Costs:	\$	0
Attributable Revenues:	\$244	,600
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$	0

Hall of Justice Reconstruction

Project Description: The Hall of Justice is over 40 years old and much of the infrastructure is in need of reconstruction. This project will fund various upgrades, such as improvements to building electrical, plumbing, steam, chilled water, HVAC, elevator, and security systems. Projects will also include interior renovations and reconfigurations to meet the functional needs of the building occupants.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Im	pact on the	Operating	Budget:
---------------------	-------------	-----------	---------

Bond Life:	20 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$225,800
Annual Operating Costs:	\$0
Attributable Revenues:	<u>\$126,400</u>
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$ 99,400

Asbestos Abatement

Project Description: This project will provide funds for identification, labeling, and abatement of asbestos materials in County facilities. Asbestos is a hazardous material when it is friable and airborne. In the past it was commonly used in building materials and is frequently encountered during building renovations, thus requiring abatement.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life:	10 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$42,800
Annual Operating Costs:	\$0
Attributable Revenues:	<u>\$24,900</u>
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$17,900

Civic Center Complex Reconstruction

Project Description: This project will involve various improvements to the buildings at the Civic Center complex, which is approximately 40 years old. Improvements will include changes to benefit building operations, as well as upgrades to utilities, life safety and security systems. Also included is a substantial repair project which will remove/replace damaged concrete, repair any damaged structural steel, and replace failed expansion joints.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life:	20 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$183,100
Annual Operating Costs:	\$0
Attributable Revenues:	<u>\$116,200</u>
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$ 66,900

ADA Aid to Disabled Improvements

Project Description: This project will implement the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) improvements to County buildings. Improvements include development of accessible parking spots, restroom accessibility, fire alarm system upgrades, entrance ramp construction, communications improvements, sign improvements, and replacement of doors and hardware to improve building accessibility.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Bond Life:	10 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$21,400
Annual Operating Costs:	\$0
Attributable Revenues:	<u>\$14,700</u>
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$ 6,700

Public Safety Building Reconstruction

Project Description: Many of the systems in the over 40 year old building are reaching the end of their useful life and need replacement. This project will fund reconstruction of various areas of the Public Safety Building and improvements to electrical, plumbing, steam, chilled water and security systems. Also included are changes to the building to make it suitable for the needs of the Sheriff's Department.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life:	20 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$341,800
Annual Operating Costs:	\$ 0
Attributable Revenues:	<u>\$162,700</u>
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$179,100

County Office Building Reconstruction

Project Description: The County Office Building is over 100 years old and much of the infrastructure is in need of reconstruction. This project will fund improvements to electrical, plumbing, HVAC and security systems. Also included is remodeling and changes to the building structure and spaces.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life:	20 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$213,600
Annual Operating Costs:	\$ 0
Attributable Revenues:	<u>\$ 14,500</u>
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$199,100

Energy Conservation and Management Systems

Project Description: This project will provide funds for upgrades and expansion of the energy management systems that control the heating, air-conditioning, and lighting equipment in County buildings. Project elements include upgrading to electronic controllers, replacement of building electric motors, installing energy efficient doors and windows, and upgrading of lighting systems.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life:	10 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$57,100
Annual Operating Costs:	\$0
Attributable Revenues:	<u>\$15,900</u>
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$41,200

Roof Improvements

Project Description: This project provides funds for the replacement of roofs, skylights, roof drains, gutters, roofing masonry structure and decking reconstruction.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Bond Life:	10 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$128,400
Annual Operating Costs:	\$0
Attributable Revenues:	<u>\$ 88,300</u>
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$ 40,100

DEPARTMENT OF PARKS

HIGHLIGHTS OF CAPITAL PROGRAM

- Improvement of recreational facilities throughout the park system.
- Construction of master plan improvements at existing parks stressing necessary health/safety improvements.
- Rehabilitation of substandard restroom facilities and construction of new restrooms.
- Handicapped access improvements.
- Improvement of park facilities, which increase operating efficiency and reduce maintenance costs.

The Monroe County Department of Parks is responsible for the operation and maintenance of approximately 11,600 acres of parkland throughout the County. The Department also maintains park buildings and recreational facilities, including picnic areas, trails, shelters and lodges, beach area, boat launches, a sensory memorial garden, arboretums, wetlands, sledding hills, ice skating rinks, a community center, ski slopes and play areas. It houses a zoological collection of over 400 animals. It maintains an extensive horticultural collection and arboretum. The Department cosponsors, with community organizations, a number of special attractions, such as the Highland Park Bowl, Ontario Performance Pavilion and programs at Springdale Farm, Seneca Park Zoo, Lamberton Conservatory, The Rochester Civic Garden Center, Landmark Society Olmsted Task Force, City of Rochester, Jack Tindale Inc., and the Ontario Beach Park Program Committee.



Status of Previously Programmed Projects

Projects Completed in 2009:

- Pavilion Lodge renovation
- Ellison Park Area Master Plan
- Playground at Durand-Eastman Park
- Restoration of the Lamberton Conservatory
- Warner Castle Improvements
- Greece Canal Park Off Leash Dog Park

Projects Nearing Completion:

- Black Creek Park Wetlands
- Rocky Coasts Exhibit Life Support Improvements
- Main Zoo Building Evaluation
- Design of Ellison Area Parks Master Plan Improvements

Other Projects Underway or Beginning:

- System-wide ADA Improvements
- Ellison Park Off Leash Dog Park
- Greece Canal Park Master Plan Improvements
- Powder Mills Park Master Plan
- Africa Phase III
- Elephant Exhibit Design

PARKS - 2011-2016

		Budget		ANNUAL	PROJECT	COST		Total Cost
PROJECT NAME		2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	6 Years
Seneca Park Zoo - Elephant Exhibit	с	900,000						900,000
Buildings and Structures	с	600,000	600,000	650,000	650,000	650,000	650,000	3,800,000
Utilities, Access and Site Improvements	с	600,000	600,000	650,000	650,000	650,000	650,000	3,800,000
Tennis Court Rehabilitation	с	300,000						300,000
Oatka Creek Park - Master Plan and Improvements	с	100,000	100,000					200,000
Powder Mills Park - Master Plan Improvements	с		150,000	1,000,000				1,150,000
Webster Park - Master Plan Improvements	с		1,000,000					1,000,000
Mendon Ponds Park - Master Plan Improvements	с				1,000,000			1,000,000
Churchville Park - Master Plan Improvements	с				150,000	1,000,000		1,150,000
Seneca Park - Master Plan Improvements	с					180,000	1,100,000	1,280,000
Highland Park Master Plan Improvements	с						200,000	200,000
TOTAL	С	2,500,000	2,450,000	2,300,000	2,450,000	2,480,000	2,600,000	14,780,000

Italics denotes a new project

Seneca Park Zoo - Elephant Exhibit

Project Description: This project involves improvements to the Elephant Exhibit at the Seneca Park Zoo. Improvements will include the construction of an additional off-exhibit holding area. Area for this holding area was built into the original elephant holding building. This holding area is required to bring in an additional elephant to the Zoo, joining the two resident African elephants. This is a requirement of the American Association of Zoos and Aquariums, which is the organization that provides accreditation to the Seneca Park Zoo.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life:	15	5 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$	92,700
Annual Operating Costs:	\$	10,000
Attributable Revenues:	\$	0
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$1	02,700

Buildings and Structures

Project Description: This continuing project provides funds for: upgrading buildings and structures to provide handicapped access and use; meeting building and fire codes and other standards; major structural repairs and improvements to buildings such as new roofs, energy conservation improvements, heating and ventilation systems; construction of new support facilities such as storage barns, picnic facilities, playgrounds, restrooms, and shelters; and bridges and other structure construction and rehabilitation. Emphasis is on making improvements in the most heavily used parks and for projects involving public health and safety concerns, particularly restrooms and meeting ADA requirements.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Opera	ting Budget:
Bond Life:	15 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$391,300
Annual Operating Costs:	\$ -37,000
Attributable Revenues:	<u>\$0</u>
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$354,300

Utilities, Access and Site Improvements

Project Description: This project provides for reconstruction and improvements to roads, parking areas, walkways, bridges, patios, stairs and associated improvements such as lighting, grading and landscaping throughout the entire parks system. Improvements included in this program have been identified in various park master plans. Also provided for are: new/replacement energy efficient security lighting for roads, parking lots, related facilities and selected walkways; erosion protection measures for steep slopes/stream banks; drainage improvements to increase usability of recreation facilities; repair/replacement of main sewer, water, electric, gas, phone lines, septic systems, pump stations and pumps, and meters; new utility service lines on a site specific, as needed basis; and handicapped accessibility. The emphasis is to fund heavily used parks and for public health and safety concerns.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life:	15 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$391,300
Annual Operating Costs:	\$ -35,000
Attributable Revenues:	<u>\$0</u>
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$356,300

Tennis Court Rehabilitation

Project Description: This project involves the reconstruction of 15 tennis courts, located at Churchville, Greece Canal, Ellison and Webster Parks. The existing tennis courts are in a deteriorated condition, and require base stabilization, new surfacing, painting, striping, and new equipment.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life:	10 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$40,800
Annual Operating Costs:	\$ O
Attributable Revenues:	<u>\$0</u>
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$40,800

Oatka Creek Park - Master Plan and Improvements

Project Description: This project involves the development of a park master plan, and the design and construction of Master Plan improvements at Oatka Creek Park. The master plan for the park will be completed before the first project is constructed. Projects will include: recreational facility improvements; building construction and rehabilitation; handicapped accessibility improvements; and utility system upgrades. Oatka Creek park comprises 461 acres, most of which was acquired over 25 years ago. Very few improvements have been undertaken since its acquisition, and there is a need to improve public access to the recreational opportunities in this park, due to increased use levels and the unique resources of the park.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type I Action and will require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget: Bond Life: 15 years

Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$20,600
Annual Operating Costs:	\$20,400
Attributable Revenues:	<u>\$0</u>
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$41,000

Powder Mills Park Master Plan Improvements

Project Description: This project involves the design and construction of Master Plan improvements at Powder Mills Park. Projects will include: recreational facility improvements; building construction and rehabilitation; handicapped accessibility improvements; road, parking and trail improvements; and utility system upgrades. Powder Mills Park was initially developed in the 1930's and the park facilities and infrastructure are in need of upgrading to protect the County investment in this popular park. The Master Plan will identify and set priorities for project implementation.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type I Action and will require further environmental review.

Bond Life:	15 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$118,400
Annual Operating Costs:	\$ 0
Attributable Revenues:	<u>\$0</u>
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$118,400

Webster Park - Master Plan Improvements

Project Description: This program provides for design and construction of several recommendations of the Park's master plan. These involve: waterfront improvements; campground improvements; security lighting; general building and recreation facility improvements; improve trails and handicapped accessibility throughout the park and its facilities; improve road and parking areas; forest management plan; landscaping and grading; and a sign system. These projects bring the park up to current standards, improve health and safety of park users and reduce operations and maintenance costs.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type I Action and will require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life:	15 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$103,000
Annual Operating Costs:	\$ -10,000
Attributable Revenues:	<u>\$0</u>
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$ 93,000

Mendon Ponds Park - Master Plan Improvements

Project Description: This project implements Park Master Plan recommendations. These are: (1) improving handicapped accessibility; (2) developing a sign system; (3) road, parking and trail improvements; (4) building construction and rehabilitation; (5) recreational facility improvements; and (6) utility system upgrades. Improvements will address health and safety problems, make the park more accessible to the handicapped, protect significant natural features, and reduce operations and maintenance costs.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type I Action and will require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life:	15 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$103,000
Annual Operating Costs:	\$ -10,000
Attributable Revenues:	<u>\$0</u>
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$ 93,000

Churchville Park - Master Plan Improvements

Project Description: This project involves the design and construction of Master Plan improvements at Churchville Park. Projects include road and utility construction, new buildings and rehabilitation, recreation facility improvements, trail construction, and landscaping. Churchville Park was initially developed in the 1930's and the park facilities and infrastructure need upgrading to protect the County investment in this important park.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type I Action and will require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operatin	gЕ	Sudget:
Bond Life:		years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$1	18,400
Annual Operating Costs:	\$	-8,000
Attributable Revenues:	\$	0
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$1	10,400

Seneca Park - Master Plan Improvements

Project Description: These funds begin to implement recommendations in the park master plan. Projects include: improve handicapped accessibility; rehabilitate trails and other facilities; provide signs and install security lighting; reconstruct roads and parking lots; construct new buildings and rehabilitate existing structures; and restore historic landscape design throughout the park.

Environmental Review: Type I Action is checked; Type II Action is checked;

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life:	15 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$131,800
Annual Operating Costs:	\$ 10,000
Attributable Revenues:	<u>\$0</u>
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$141,800

Highland Park Master Plan Improvements

Project Description: This project involves the design and construction of Master Plan Improvements at Highland Park. Improvements include new and rehabilitated pathways, tree and shrub collection improvements, road and parking construction, building construction and rehabilitation, handicapped access, and infrastructure upgrades.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type I Action and will require further environmental review.

Estimated	Annual	Impact o	n the C	Operating	Budget:
=othinatoa	/	inipact o		porating	Duagou

Bond Life:	15 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$20,600
Annual Operating Costs:	\$ 0
Attributable Revenues:	<u>\$0</u>
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$20,600

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION HIGHWAYS AND BRIDGES

HIGHLIGHTS OF CAPITAL PROGRAM

- Resurface approximately 200 lane miles of County highways.
- Reconstruct/rehabilitate approximately 26 lane miles of County highways.
- Reconstruct/rehabilitate approximately 7 lane miles of City highways.
- Rehabilitate and reconstruct 16 County Bridges.
- Rehabilitate and reconstruct approximately 22 County culverts.

The Highway Engineering and Operations Division is responsible for engineering, construction and operations of approximately 662 centerline miles (1,493 lane miles) of highways. These highways range from four and five lane urban arterials to two lane rural roads. When major highway improvements are undertaken, the County performs the planning function, design, construction supervision and project management through the use of the Department of Transportation staff and consultant engineering services. All major construction projects are contracted to private construction firms through the public bidding process.

In accordance with section 131-k of the State Highway Law, the County has undertaken a program to fund reconstruction of certain City streets that function as arterials. In projects of this type, County funds may be used only for engineering design, construction inspection, and for actual costs of construction of highway elements. Utility displacement, sidewalks and right-ofway costs must be borne by the City.

Status of Previously Programmed Highway Projects:

Projects that will be completed or under construction in 2010 include: Mill Road II, Jefferson Avenue, Paul Road/Fisher Road Corridor, Highway Preventative Maintenance Project and 23 sections of roads equaling approximately 129 lane miles that were rehabilitated in 2009/10 as part of Stimulus funding.

Projects that are currently in planning or design and will begin construction in 2011, or later, include:, East Ridge Road, John Street Ext., Lyell Avenue, , Long Pond Road V, Westfall Road III, , Dorsey Road, Lincoln Road, Culver Road, Portland Avenue, and Erie Station Road.



The Bridge Engineering and Operations Division is responsible for engineering, inspection, operation, and maintenance of 176 bridges and 276 major culverts on the County's highway system. It is also responsible, via an agreement with the NYSDOT, for the operation and maintenance of the Colonel Patrick H. O'Rorke Memorial Bridge. Specific bridges have been chosen for the capital program through an inventory of all county bridges compiled from detailed biennial inspection reports prepared by both the County and State engineers. All bridges are condition rated on a Statewide and Federal rating system. These ratings are the key elements used in prioritizing and programming bridges for capital improvements.

Typically these structures have existed well beyond their projected useful lives and, if not maintained, rehabilitated or reconstructed, may receive some restrictions. Structural restrictions are caused by deterioration, outdated design capacity, narrow pavement width, hydraulic inadequacies, obsolete and unsafe railing system and parapets.

Specific culverts have been chosen for capital improvements solely through inventory and inspection efforts of County staff. A condition rating system similar to that used for bridges is used to prioritize and program culverts for capital improvements.

Status of Previously Programmed Bridge Projects:

Bridge projects completed or under construction in 2010 include: bridges on: North Greece Road and Honeoye Falls No. 6 Road, culverts on Pinnacle Road (2), Honeoye Falls No. 6 Road, Salt Road and six bridges that underwent preventative maintenance in 2009/10 as part of Stimulus funding.

Projects that are currently in planning or design and will begin construction in 2010 or later include: , Union Street Bridge over Oatka Creek Rehabilitation, Lawrence Road Bridge, Peck Road Bridge, , Edgemere Drive Bridge, Kirk Road Bridge, Union Street Bridge over Black Creek, Klem Road Bridge, Penfield Road Bridge, Twin Bridge Road Bridge and Stottle Road culvert.

HIGHWAYS AND BRIDGES - 2011-2016

	Budget		NNUAL		COST		Total Cost
PROJECT NAME	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	6 Years
Milling/Resurfacing/Recycling c	2,200,000	2,400,000	2,600,000	2,800,000	2,900,000	3,000,000	15,900,000
Culvert Replacement Program c	900,000	1,000,000	1,100,000	1,200,000	1,400,000	1,600,000	7,200,000
Dorsey Rd Mt. Read Blvd. To Dewey Ave. c	177,000						177,000
S	530,000						530,000
f	2,828,000						2,828,000
total	3,535,000	0	0	0	0	0	3,535,000
Peck Rd. Bridge over Salmon Creek 3317820 c	53,000						53,000
S	157,000						157,000
f	838,000						838,000
total	1,048,000	0	0	0	0	0	1,048,000
Lawrence Rd. Bridge over Brockport Cr. 3317000 c	37,000						37,000
	113,000						113,000
f	600,000						600,000
total	750,000	0	0	0	0	0	750,000
Edgemere Dr. Bridge Over c	55,000						55,000
Round Pond Outlet 3211130 s	162,000						162,000
f	866,000						866,000
total	1,083,000	0	0	0	0	0	1,083,000
Union Street Bridge over Black Creek 3317430 c	89.000						89,000
S	268,000						268,000
f	1,428,000						1,428,000
total	1,785,000	0	0	0	0	0	1,785,000
Kirk Road Bridge Over c	49,000						49,000
Round Pond Creek 3317540 s	146,000						146,000
f	779,000						779,000
total	974,000	0	0	0	0	0	974,000
Culver Road - Atlantic/Garson Laurelton/Clifford (City) c	848,000						848,000
Carver read - relation Carver Control (City) -	010,000						010,000
Burnt Mill Rd. Bridge over Black Creek (PM) (3317300 c	7,000	16,000					23,000
S f	19,000 104,000	50,000 266,000					69,000
total	130,000	332,000	0	0	0	0	370,000 462,000
	100,000	332,000	0	Ŭ	Ũ	Ű	402,000
Edgewood Ave. Bridge over Allen Creek 3317400 c	12,000		48,000				60,000
s f	38,000		144,000				182,000
total	200,000 250,000	0	766,000 958,000	0	0	0	966,000 1,208,000
	200,000	Ŭ	000,000	Ŭ	ů	Ű	1,200,000
Long Pond Rd. Bridge Over c	7,000		27,000				34,000
Round Pond Creek 3369710 s	20,000 108,000		82,000 437,000				102,000
total	135,000	0	437,000 546,000	0	0	0	545,000 681,000
	100,000	Ŭ	010,000	Ŭ	ů	Ű	001,000
Erie Station Rd-W Henrietta Rd. to Middle Road c			5,000	165,000			170,000
S ¢	200,000		15,000	495,000 2,640,000			510,000
total	300,000 300,000	0	80,000 100,000	3,300,000	0	0	3,020,000
	000,000	Ŭ	100,000	0,000,000	ů	Ű	0,700,000
South Avenue - Elmwood Ave/Bellvue Dr & Elmwood							
Ave.: Mt. Hope/South Ave (City) c	132,000	1,000,000	1,000,000	1,100,000	1,200,000	68,000	4,500,000
Portand Avenue - Titus Avenue to City Line c		1,626,000					1,626,000
s		378,000					378,000
f		2,016,000					2,016,000
total	0	4,020,000	0	0	0	0	4,020,000
Highway Rehabilitation Program c		200,000	2,800,000	2,800,000	2,800,000	2,900,000	11,500,000
rightay tendolination riografii t		200,000	2,000,000	2,000,000	2,000,000	2,500,000	11,300,000
Lincoln Road - Commercial St./Route 31F c		185,000					185,000
S		555,000					555,000
total	0	2,960,000 3,700,000	0	0	0	0	2,960,000 3,700,000
iotal	0	3,700,000	0	0	0	0	3,700,000
Klem Rd Bridge over Mill Creek Tributary 3368070 c		51,000					51,000
s		153,000					153,000
f		816,000					816,000
total	0	1,020,000	0	0	0	0	1,020,000
Penfield Rd Bridge Rehab. Over Irondequoit Creek c		46,000					46,000
1048530 s		139,000					139,000
f		739,000					739,000
total	0	924,000	0	0	0	0	924,000

Italics denotes a new project

HIGHWAYS AND BRIDGES - 2011-2016

	Budget		ANNUAL	PROJECT	COST		Total Cost
PROJECT NAME	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	6 Years
Twin Bridge Road Bridge over Oatka Creek c			78,000				78,000
5 S			,				,
3317380 s			232,000 1,240,000				232,000 1,240,000
total	0	0	1,550,000	0	0	0	1,240,000
		-	.,,	-		-	.,,
Phillips Road - Schlegel Road to Lake Road c			1,025,000			4,000,000	5,025,000
Bowerman Road Bridge over Oatka Creek 3359090 c			400,000	2,212,000			2,612,000
Wheatland Center Rd Br. Over Oatka Cr. 3317920 c			30,000	475,000			505,000
Stone Road - Mt. Read Blvd. To Lynette Drive c			25,000	10,000	215,000		250,000
S			75,000	30,000	645,000		750,000
f			400,000	160,000	3,440,000		4,000,000
total	0	0	500,000	200,000	4,300,000	0	5,000,000
Whitney Road - Turk Hill Road to Howell Road c			50,000	23,000	237,000	237,000	547,000
s			150,000			713,000	1,645,000
5 f			800,000	368,000	3,800,000	3,800,000	8,768,000
total	0	0	1,000,000	460,000	4,750,000	4,750,000	10,960,000
Sibley Rd Br Rehab over Honeoye Creek 3317750 c				156,000		1,143,000	1,299,000
Park Rd. Bridge over Irondequoit Creek 3317860 c					145,000		145,000
Moscow Rd Bridge over Yanty Creek 3317110 c					216,000		216,000
• •					, i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i		,
Clarkson Parma T.L. Rd. Bridge Rehab. Over Otis Creek (3317780) c					30,000	220,000	250,000
					30,000	220,000	230,000
North Hamlin Road Bridge Rehab. Over Sandy Creek							
(3317640) c					30,000		30,000
Goodman Street - Bay Street to Clifford (City) c						1,132,000	1,132,000
Coldwater Road over Little Black Creek (1043310) c						155,000	155,000
Bridge Preventive Maintenance c						285,000	285,000
Rustic Railing Replacement Project c						485,000	485,000
c = county funds	4,566,000	6,524,000	9,188,000	10,941,000	9,173,000	15,225,000	55,617,000
s = state funds	1,453,000	1,275,000	698,000			713,000	6,091,000
f = federal funds	8,051,000	6,797,000	3,723,000	,		3,800,000	32,779,000
TOTAL	14,070,000	14,596,000	13,609,000			19,738,000	94,487,000

Italics denotes a new project

Milling/Resurfacing/Recycling

Project Description: This is an annual program to improve various county highways. Projects in this program are designed to restore deteriorating highways by improving the drainage, edge treatments, shoulders and roadway condition. This program will improve arterial and collector highways in the county and extend the useful life of the roads before major reconstruction is needed. The projects will improve traffic safety and operating conditions on these highways.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life:	15 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$1,637,100
Annual Operating Costs:	\$- 435,000
Attributable Revenues:	<u>\$0</u>
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$1,202,100

Culvert Replacement Program

Project Description: This program is designed to replace deteriorated and inadequate culverts on the county highway system. An inventory is updated each year and the highest priority culverts are scheduled in the program. Replacement of these culverts will improve traffic safety by eliminating the structural, as well as geometric and functional hazards such as headwalls located at the edge of the pavement and, the possibility of upstream and downstream flooding.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life:	15 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$ 741,300
Annual Operating Costs:	\$-100,000
Attributable Revenues:	<u>\$0</u>
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$ 641,300

Dorsey Rd. - Mt. Read Blvd. to Dewey Ave.

Project Description: This project involves the reconstruction of Dorsey Road to include improved drainage, catch basins, replacement of the base and riding surface, gutters/curbs and paved shoulders. Adequate bicycle space will be designed into the planned improvements. This project will improve the structural integrity and efficiency of the highway and preserve the investment in the highway system. The 2008 Pavement Quality Index (scale 0-100; worst to best) for this road is 33.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life:	15 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$ 18,200
Annual Operating Costs:	\$-19,500
Attributable Revenues:	<u>\$0</u>
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$- 1,300

Peck Road Bridge over Salmon Creek (3317820)

Project Description: This project will provide funds for replacement of Peck Road Bridge over Salmon Creek, in the town of Parma (BIN 3317820). Originally built in 1959, this 50 year old structure is approaching the end of useful life. The 2008 NYSDOT inspection gives this structure a condition rating of 4.167, with a Federal Sufficiency Rating of 69.3, indicating deterioration. Since the CIP bridge replacement program is intended to replace or rehabilitate deteriorated structures on the County Highway System, this bridge was selected for inclusion in this program.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

20 years
\$ 4,600
\$-39,160
<u>\$0</u>
\$-34,560

Lawrence Road Bridge over Brockport Creek (3317000)

Project Description: This project will provide funds for replacement of Lawrence Road Bridge over Brockport Creek, in the town of Clarkson (BIN 3317000).Originally built in 1930, this 79 year old structure is approaching the end of useful life. The 2008 NYSDOT inspection gives this structure a condition rating of 4.488, with a Federal Sufficiency Rating of 65.9, indicating deterioration. Since the CIP bridge replacement program is intended to replace or rehabilitate deteriorated structures on the County Highway System, this bridge was selected for inclusion in this program.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Bond Life:	20 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$ 4,200
Annual Operating Costs:	\$-36,600
Attributable Revenues:	\$ 0
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$-32,400

Edgemere Dr. Bridge over Round Pond Outlet (3211130)

Project Description: This project will provide funds for replacement of Edgemere Drive Bridge over Round Pond Outlet, in the town of Greece (Bin 3211130). Originally built in 1973, this 36 year old structure is approaching the end of useful life. The 2008 NYSDOT inspection gives this structure a condition rating of 4.109, with a Federal Sufficiency Rating of 61.1, indicating deterioration. Since the CIP bridge replacement program is intended to replace or rehabilitate deteriorated structures on the County Highway System, this bridge was selected for inclusion in this program.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual	Impact on th	e Operating	Budget:
------------------	--------------	-------------	---------

Bond Life:	20 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$ 4,800
Annual Operating Costs:	\$-41,040
Attributable Revenues:	<u>\$0</u>
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$-36,240

Union Street Bridge Over Black Creek (3317430)

Project Description: This project will provide funds for replacement of Union Street Bridge Over Black Creek, in the town of Chili (Bin 3317430). Originally built in 1960, this 49 year old structure is approaching the end of useful life. The 2008 NYSDOT inspection gives this structure a condition rating of 4.319, with a Federal Sufficiency Rating of 51.1, indicating deterioration. Since the CIP bridge replacement program is intended to replace or rehabilitate deteriorated structures on the County Highway System, this bridge was selected for inclusion in this program.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life:	20 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$ 8,200
Annual Operating Costs:	\$-72,360
Attributable Revenues:	<u>\$0</u>
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$-64,160

Kirk Road Bridge over Round Pond Creek (3317540)

Project Description: This project will provide funds for replacement of one bridge on Kirk Road and total removal of a second, in the town of Greece. The North bridge (BIN 3317540) over Round Pond Creek, was originally built in 1937. The 2008 NYSDOT inspection gives this structure a condition rating of 4.850, with a Federal Sufficiency Rating of 61.0. The south bridge (BIN 3317550), over Round Pond Creek tributary was originally built in 1937. The 2008 NYSDOT inspection gives this structure a condition rating of 5.133, with a Federal Sufficiency Rating of 65.3. Because of the close proximity of the two bridges, a thorough hydraulic analysis will be performed during design, to determine whether the south bridge can be completely removed or possibly replaced with a much smaller pipe structure. Furthermore, utilizing the same hydraulic analysis will determine the appropriate size of the north bridge replacement, to accommodate the flows for both structures.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life:	20 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$ 4,300
Annual Operating Costs:	\$-36,920
Attributable Revenues:	<u>\$0</u>
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$-32,620

Culver Road - Atlantic/Garson & Laurelton/Clifford (City)

Project Description: This project involves the reconstruction of the roadway; installation of curbs, sidewalks, landscaping and street lighting; and drainage and water improvements. This road is an arterial highway in the City of Rochester and is eligible for county funding under section 131-k of the Highway Law. Adequate bicycle space will be designed into the planned improvements.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Bond Life:	15 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$87,300
Annual Operating Costs:	\$0
Attributable Revenues:	<u>\$0</u>
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$87,300

Burnt Mill Road Bridge over Black Creek (PM) (3317300)

Project Description: Projects in this program are designed to restore deteriorating bridges by addressing critical elements on the structures which have deteriorated to a condition where they should be addressed. This program will extend the useful life of these structures before major rehabilitation is needed. This project will provide funds for Preventive Maintenance of the Burnt Mill Road Bridge (3317300) Over Black Creek, in the town of Riga. Originally built in 1932, this 77 year old structure's life expectancy can be extended by this project. The 2008 NYSDOT inspection gives this structure a condition rating of 4.857, with a Federal Sufficiency Rating of 42.1, indicating deterioration. Since the CIP program is intended to replace or rehabilitate deteriorated structures on the County Highway System, this bridge was selected for inclusion in this program.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life:	20 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$ 2,000
Annual Operating Costs:	\$-18,200
Attributable Revenues:	<u>\$0</u>
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$-16,200

Edgewood Ave. Bridge over Allen Creek (3317400)

Project Description: This project will provide funds for replacement of Edgewood Avenue Bridge over Allen Creek, in the town of Brighton (BIN 3317400). Originally built in 1953, this 56 year old structure is approaching the end of useful life. The 2008 NYSDOT inspection gives this structure a condition rating of 4.690, with a Federal Sufficiency Rating of 51.1, indicating deterioration. Since the CIP bridge replacement program is intended to replace or rehabilitate deteriorated structures on the County Highway System, this bridge was selected for inclusion in this program.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life:	20 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$ 5,200
Annual Operating Costs:	\$-42,840
Attributable Revenues:	<u>\$0</u>
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$-37,640

Long Pond Road Bridge over Round Pond Creek (3369710)

Project Description: This project will provide funds for rehabilitation of Long Pond Road Bridge over Round Pond Creek, in the town of Greece (BIN 3369710). Originally built in 1965, this 44 year old structure is approaching the end of useful life. The 2008 NYSDOT inspection gives this structure a condition rating of 5.091, with a Federal Sufficiency Rating of 40.7, indicating deterioration. Since the CIP bridge replacement program is intended to replace or rehabilitate deteriorated structures on the County Highway System, this bridge was selected for inclusion in this program.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life:	20 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$ 3,000
Annual Operating Costs:	\$-24,400
Attributable Revenues:	<u>\$0</u>
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$-21,400

Erie Station Rd. - W. Henrietta Rd. to Middle Road

Project Description: This project involves the reconstruction of Erie Station Road to include improved drainage, catch basins, replacement of the base and riding surface, gutters and paved shoulders. Adequate bicycle space will be designed into the planned improvements. This project will improve the structural integrity and efficiency of the highway and preserve the investment in the highway system. The 2008 Pavement Quality Index (scale 0-100; worst to best) for this road is 49.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

15 years
\$ 17,000
\$-22,500
<u>\$0</u>
\$ -5,500

South Avenue: Elmwood/Bellvue & Elmwood Ave: Mt. Hope/South (City)

Project Description: This project involves the reconstruction of the roadway; installation of curbs, sidewalks, landscaping and street lighting; and drainage and water improvements. This road is an arterial highway in the City of Rochester and is eligible for county funding under section 131-k of the Highway Law. Adequate bicycle space will be designed into the planned improvements.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life:	15 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$463,300
Annual Operating Costs:	\$ 0
Attributable Revenues:	<u>\$0</u>
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$463,300

Portland Avenue - Titus Avenue to City Line

Project Description: This project involves the reconstruction of Portland Avenue to include improved drainage, catch basins, rehabilitation and/or replacement of the riding surface and curbs. Adequate bicycle space will be designed into the planned improvements. This project will improve the structural integrity and efficiency of the highway and preserve the investment in the highway system. The 2008 Pavement Quality Index (scale 0-100; worst to best) for this road is 32.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life:	15 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$167,400
Annual Operating Costs:	\$ -60,000
Attributable Revenues:	<u>\$0</u>
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$107,400

Highway Rehabilitation Program

Project Description: This project is designed to restore deteriorating highways by improving the drainage, edge treatments, shoulders and roadway condition. This program will improve arterial and collector highways in the county and extend the useful life of the roads before major reconstruction is needed. The projects will improve traffic safety and operating conditions on these highways.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life:	20 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$1,002,600
Annual Operating Costs:	\$ -75,000
Attributable Revenues:	<u>\$0</u>
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$ 927,600

Lincoln Road - Commercial St./Route 31F

Project Description: This project involves the reconstruction of Lincoln Road to include improved drainage, catch basins, replacement of the base and riding surface, gutters/curbs and paved shoulders. Adequate bicycle space will be designed into the planned improvements. This project will improve the structural integrity and efficiency of the highway and preserve the investment in the highway system. The 2008 Pavement Quality Index (scale 0-100; worst to best) for this road is 36.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operati	ng B	udget:
Bond Life:	15	years
Annual Debt Service Payment:		9,000
Annual Operating Costs:	\$-1	9,500
Attributable Revenues:	\$	0
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$	-500

Klem Road Bridge over Mill Creek Tributary (3368070)

Project Description: This project will provide funds for replacement of Klem Road Bridge over Mill Creek Tributary, in the town of Webster (3368070). Originally built in 1971, this 38 year old structure is approaching the end of useful life. The 2008 NYSDOT inspection gives this structure a condition rating of 3.571, with a Federal Sufficiency Rating of 15.7, indicating deterioration. Since the CIP bridge replacement program is intended to replace deteriorated structures on the County Highway System, the bridge was selected for inclusion in this program.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

•	<u> </u>	
Bond Life:	20	years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$	4,400
Annual Operating Costs:	\$-4	7,000
Attributable Revenues:	\$	0
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$-4	2,600

Penfield Road Bridge Rehab. over Irondequoit Creek (1048530)

Project Description: This project will provide funds for rehabilitation of Penfield Road Bridge over Irondequoit Creek, in the town of Penfield (BIN 1048530). Originally built in 1928, this 81 year old structure will need major rehabilitation to extend its useful life. The 2008 NYSDOT inspection gives this structure a condition rating of 4.188, with a Federal Sufficiency Rating of 62.3, indicating deterioration. Since the CIP bridge program is intended to rehabilitate or replace deteriorated structures, on the County Highway System, this bridge was selected for inclusion into this program.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life:	20 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$ 4,000
Annual Operating Costs:	\$-41,840
Attributable Revenues:	<u>\$0</u>
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$-37,840

Twin Bridge Road Bridge over Oatka Creek (3317380)

Project Description: This project will provide funds for replacement of Twin Bridge Road bridges over Oatka Creek, in the town of Wheatland. The North bridge (BIN 3317380), originally built in 1929, this 80 year old structure is approaching the end of useful life. The 2008 NYSDOT inspection gives this structure a condition rating of 3.653, with a Federal Sufficiency Rating of 21.3, indicating deterioration. The South bridge (BIN 3317370), rehabilitated in 1973, this 36 year improvement has served its purpose. The 2008 NYSDOT inspection gives this structure a condition rating of 4.250, with a Federal Sufficiency Rating of 64.6, indicating deterioration. Because of the close proximity of the two bridges, a thorough hydraulic analysis will be performed during design, to determine whether the south bridge can be completely removed or possibly replaced with a much smaller pipe structure. Furthermore, utilizing the same hydraulic analysis will determine the appropriate size of the north bridge replacement, to accommodate the flows for both structures. A thorough planning study will determine first, if both bridges can be completely removed without replacement. Since the CIP bridge replacement program is intended to replace deteriorated structures on the County Highway System, the bridge was selected for inclusion in this program.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Esti	mated	Annual	Impact	on the	Operating	Budget:
-					-	-

Bond Life:	20 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$ 6,800
Annual Operating Costs:	\$-69,440
Attributable Revenues:	<u>\$0</u>
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$-62,640

Phillips Road - Schlegel Road to Lake Road

Project Description: This project involves the reconstruction of Phillips Road to include improved drainage, catch basins, replacement of the base and riding surface, gutters/curbs and paved shoulders. Adequate bicycle space will be designed into the planned improvements. This project will improve the structural integrity and efficiency of the highway and preserve the investment in the highway system. The 2008 Pavement Quality Index (scale 0-100; worst to best) for this road is 66.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operation	ating Budget:
Bond Life:	15 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$517,400
Annual Operating Costs:	\$ -52,500
Attributable Revenues:	\$ 0

Net Operating Budget Impact:

Bowerman Road Bridge over Oatka Creek (3359090)

\$464.900

Project Description: This project will provide funds for replacement of Bowerman Road Bridge over Oatka Creek, in the town of Wheatland (BIN 3359090). Originally built in 1948, this 61 year old structure is approaching the end of useful life. The 2008 NYSDOT inspection report gives this structure a condition rating of 4.681, with a Federal Sufficiency Rating of 42.8, indicating deterioration. Since the CIP bridge program is intended to replace or rehabilitate deteriorated structures on the County Highway System, this bridge was selected for inclusion into this program.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Bond Life:	20 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$ 227,700
Annual Operating Costs:	\$-112,000
Attributable Revenues:	\$0
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$ 115,700

Wheatland Center Road Bridge over Oatka Creek (PM) (3317920)

Project Description: This project will provide funds for Preventive Maintenance of Wheatland Center Road Bridge over Oatka Creek, in the town of Wheatland (BIN 3317920). Originally built in 1965, this 44 year old structure will need maintenance work to extend its useful life. The 2008 NYSDOT inspection gives this structure a condition rating of 4.736, with a Federal Sufficiency Rating of 71.8, indicating deterioration. Since the CIP bridge program is intended to rehabilitate or replace deteriorated structures, on the County Highway System, this bridge was selected for inclusion into this program.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated	Annual Impact	on the	Operating	Budget:
			-	-

Bond Life:	20 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$ 44,000
Annual Operating Costs:	\$-54,520
Attributable Revenues:	<u>\$0</u>
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$-10,520

Stone Road - Mt Read Blvd. to Lynette Drive

Project Description: This project involves the reconstruction of Stone Road to include improved drainage, catch basins, replacement of the base and riding surface, gutters/curbs and paved shoulders. Adequate bicycle space will be designed into the planned improvements. This project will improve the structural integrity and efficiency of the highway and preserve the investment in the highway system. The 2008 Pavement Quality Index (scale 0-100; worst to best) for this road is 47.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Bond Life:	15 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$ 25,700
Annual Operating Costs:	\$-24,000
Attributable Revenues:	<u>\$0</u>
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$ 1,700

Whitney Road - Turk Hill Road to Howell Road

Project Description: This project involves the reconstruction of Whitney Road to include improved drainage, catch basins, replacement of the base and riding surface, gutters/curbs and paved shoulders. Adequate bicycle space will be designed into the planned improvements. This project will improve the structural integrity and efficiency of the highway and preserve the investment in the highway system. The 2008 Pavement Quality Index (scale 0-100; worst to best) for this road is 42.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:		
Bond Life:	15 years	
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$ 56,300	
Annual Operating Costs:	\$-60,000	
Attributable Revenues:	<u>\$0</u>	
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$ -3,700	

Sibley Road Bridge over Honeoye Creek (3317750)

Project Description: This project will provide funds for rehabilitation of Sibley Road Bridge over Honeoye Creek, in the town of Mendon (BIN 3317750). Originally built in 1962, this 47 year old structure will need a major rehabilitation to extend its useful life. The 2008 NYSDOT inspection gives this structure a condition rating of 4.767, with a Federal Sufficiency Rating of 64.1, indicating deterioration. Since the CIP bridge program is intended to rehabilitate or replace deteriorated structures, on the County Highway System, this bridge was selected for inclusion into this program.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Bond Life:	20 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$113,300
Annual Operating Costs:	\$ -45,200
Attributable Revenues:	<u>\$0</u>
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$ 68,100

Park Rd. Bridge over Irondequoit Creek (3317860)

Project Description: This project will provide funds for replacement of Park Road Bridge over Irondequoit Creek, in the town of Perinton (Bin 3317860). Originally built in 1957, this 52 year old structure is approaching the end of useful life. The 2008 NYSDOT inspection gives this structure a condition rating of 4.800, with a Federal Sufficiency Rating of 73.2, indicating deterioration. Since the CIP bridge replacement program is intended to replace or rehabilitate deteriorated structures on the County Highway System, this bridge was selected for inclusion in this program.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact	on the Operating	Budget:
--------------------------------	------------------	---------

Bond Life:	20 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$ 12,600
Annual Operating Costs:	\$-42,440
Attributable Revenues:	<u>\$0</u>
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$-29,840

Moscow Road Bridge over Yanty Creek (3317110)

Project Description: This project will provide funds for replacement of Moscow Road Bridge over Yanty Creek, in the town of Hamlin (3317110). Originally built in 1932, this 77 year old structure is approaching the end of useful life. The 2008 NYSDOT inspection gives this structure a condition rating of 4.347, with a Federal Sufficiency Rating of 73.1, indicating deterioration. Since the CIP bridge program is intended to replace or rehabilitate deteriorated structures on the County Highway System, this bridge was selected for inclusion into this program.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life:	20 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$ 18,800
Annual Operating Costs:	\$-62,640
Attributable Revenues:	\$ 0
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$-43,840

Clarkson Parma T.L. Road Bridge Over Otis Creek (PM) (3317780)

Project Description: This project will provide funds for Preventive Maintenance of Clarkson Parma Town Line Road Bridge over Otis Creek, in the town of Parma (BIN 3317780). Originally built in 1952, this 57 year old structure will need maintenance work to extend its useful life. The 2008 NYSDOT inspection gives this structure a condition rating of 4.862, with a Federal Sufficiency Rating of 72.9, indicating deterioration. Since the CIP bridge program is intended to rehabilitate or replace deteriorated structures, on the County Highway System, this bridge was selected for inclusion into this program.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life:	20 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$21,800
Annual Operating Costs:	\$ -7,760
Attributable Revenues:	<u>\$0</u>
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$14,040

North Hamlin Road Bridge Over Sandy Creek (PM) (3317640)

Project Description: This project will provide funds for Preventive Maintenance of North Hamlin Road Bridge over Sandy Creek, in the town of Hamlin (BIN 3317640). Originally built in 1948, this 61 year old structure will need maintenance work to extend its useful life. The 2008 NYSDOT inspection gives this structure a condition rating of 4.889, with a Federal Sufficiency Rating of 71.1, indicating deterioration. Since the CIP bridge program is intended to rehabilitate or replace deteriorated structures, on the County Highway System, this bridge was selected for inclusion into this program.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Bond Life:	20 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$ 2,600
Annual Operating Costs:	\$-5,200
Attributable Revenues:	<u>\$0</u>
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$-2,600

Goodman Street - Bay Street to Clifford (City)

Project Description: This project involves the reconstruction of the roadway; installation of curbs, sidewalks, landscaping and street lighting; and drainage and water improvements. This road is an arterial highway in the City of Rochester and is eligible for county funding under section 131-k of the Highway Law. Adequate bicycle space will be designed into the planned improvements.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life:	15 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$116,600
Annual Operating Costs:	\$0
Attributable Revenues:	<u>\$0</u>
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$116,600

Coldwater Road over Little Black Creek (1043310)

Project Description: This project will provide funds for replacement of the Coldwater Road Bridge over Little Black Creek, in the town of Chili (1043310). Originally built in 1920, this 89 year old single span concrete drop beam - through girder structure has outlasted its design useful life. The 2008 NYSDOT inspection gives this structure a condition rating of 4.756, with a Federal Sufficiency Rating of 31.3, indicating significant deterioration. Since the CIP bridge program is intended to replace or rehabilitate deteriorated structures on Monroe County System, this bridge was selected for inclusion into this program.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life:	20 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$13,500
Annual Operating Costs:	\$0
Attributable Revenues:	<u>\$0</u>
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$13,500

Bridge Preventive Maintenance

Project Description: Projects in this program are designed to maintain, and prevent from deterioration, various bridges in Monroe County by addressing deck elements. Bridge decks will gradually lose their protective sealer and allow penetration of weathering elements, such as salt-water, exposing the reinforcement to premature rusting and the concrete to cyclic to freeze-thaw damage. This program will provide for cleaning and re-sealing the decks on all newly constructed bridges in Monroe County in order to extend their service life and prevent future major rehabilitation. Deck sealers installed on all of Monroe County bridge structures have a useful life expectancy of 6-7 years, beyond which the surfaces should be cleaned and resealed to insure weathering elements do not penetrate into the concrete deck surface. Breakdown of the sealer will result in reinforcement corrosion and concrete damage due to freezethaw action causing potholes to develop. This program is intended to keep up with the cyclic preventive maintenance sealing of the newly constructed County Bridges, protecting our investment from premature deterioration.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life:	20 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$24,800
Annual Operating Costs:	\$0
Attributable Revenues:	<u>\$0</u>
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$24,800

Rustic Railing Replacement Project

Project Description: There are rustic railings installed at over a dozen locations throughout the County, for various design and aesthetic reasons. These systems range from 4 to 28 years in age and were installed at locations where the public demand required a more aesthetically blending system with their surroundings. These railings, although aesthetically appealing to some neighborhoods, have been deemed prone to premature deterioration and breakdown of the protective coating, in moist environments (such as road sides where they are now installed). The material tends to deteriorate from inside out, making it difficult to visually inspect, while compromising the system's integrity and safety from within. In 2007, NYSDOT issued an engineering bulletin requiring all rustic railings, within the State, to be replaced with conventional galvanized railing, no later than ten years from the issuance of the mandate. We are required to meet this requirement by 2017.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual	Impact on the O	perating Budget:

Bond Life:	15 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$49,900
Annual Operating Costs:	\$0
Attributable Revenues:	<u>\$0</u>
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$49,900

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION --TRAFFIC ENGINEERING

HIGHLIGHTS OF CAPITAL PROGRAM

- Provides for the upgrading and replacement of existing traffic signals and expressway lighting, installation of new traffic signals, expansion of the intelligent transportation system, and expansion of the computerized signal system for the City, towns and villages of Monroe County;
- Undertake spot improvements at accident, traffic congestion and problem locations in Monroe County;
- Replace and upgrade traffic signs to bring them up to today's standards and improve safety for motorists;
- Rehabilitate and improve the Regional Traffic Operations Center to maintain operations at this critical facility.

Various Department of Transportation Divisions are responsible for all the County's traffic engineering needs such as: traffic analysis and design; the computerized traffic control system; signal maintenance; highway lighting; highway spot safety improvement program; and City traffic features needs. These Divisions identify prospects for spot improvements and traffic engineering improvements.

Status of Previously Programmed Projects

Projects that will be completed, or under construction, in 2010 include: Traffic Engineering – (upgrading the County's signal system and expressway lighting infrastructure, expanding the computerized signal system and Intelligent Transportation Systems); City Traffic Features; Spot Improvements to shoulders on County highways, and approximately 4000 traffic signs replaced as part of Stimulus funding.

Projects that are currently in planning or design phase and should be under construction in 2011 or later include: Spot improvements: shoulder and vertical curve improvements to County roads; City Traffic Features; Traffic Engineering: continue to upgrade the County's signal system and expressway lighting infrastructure, and Intelligent Transportation Systems (computerized signal system expansion using fiber optic cable).



TRAFFIC ENGINEERING - 2011-2016

	Budget		ANNUAL	PROJECT	COST		Total Cost
PROJECT NAME	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	6 Years
Traffic Engineering c	600,000	750,000	900,000	850,000	850,000	850,000	4,800,000
Spot Improvement Projects c	500,000	500,000	500,000	500,000	500,000	600,000	3,100,000
City of Rochester Traffic Features c	400,000	400,000	400,000	400,000	400,000	400,000	2,400,000
Traffic Sign Retroreflectivity Upgrade c	400,000	400,000	400,000	400,000	400,000	400,000	2,400,000
Regional Traffic Operations Center Rehabilitation c					300,000	300,000	600,000
TOTAL c	1,900,000	2,050,000	2,200,000	2,150,000	2,450,000	2,550,000	13,300,000

Traffic Engineering

Project Description: This is a multi-year program designed to provide for the upgrading, expansion and replacement of existing traffic signal and roadway lighting facilities. Tasks include the replacement/upgrades of existing equipment (such as traffic signal and lighting systems controls), installation of new signals and flashers where they are justified, and the expansion of the County's computerized traffic control systems (for improved highway signal system performance).

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life:	15 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$494,200
Annual Operating Costs:	\$- 20,000
Attributable Revenues:	<u>\$0</u>
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$474,200

Spot Improvement Projects

Project Description: This is a multi-year program designed to address the improvement of identified safety and traffic congestion problems on county highways and at county intersections. These locations have been identified as areas where the accident rate is higher than the County average or where traffic congestion exists due to continued growth. Improvements may include but are not limited to the cutting and filling of hills and the installation of turn lanes, shoulders and traffic control devices.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the	Operating Budget:
Pond Life:	15 vooro

Bond Life:	15 years	
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$319,200	
Annual Operating Costs:	\$0	
Attributable Revenues:	<u>\$0</u>	
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$319,200	

City of Rochester Traffic Features

Project Description: This project provides funding to reimburse the City for traffic engineering costs associated with those Cityinitiated highway projects exclusive of 131-k projects. The County of Monroe is responsible for the signal system, pavement markings, and traffic signs on highways in the City of Rochester. There is an obligation to provide traffic-engineering services to the City and this project will provide a mechanism for identifying traffic-engineering costs for specific City projects. This program funds the replacement and upgrading of problem signalized intersections and installation of signs and pavement markings for traffic control for projects undertaken by the City of Rochester through their capital improvement program.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Bond Life:	15 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$247,100
Annual Operating Costs:	\$0
Attributable Revenues:	<u>\$0</u>
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$247,100

Traffic Sign Retroreflectivity Upgrade

Project Description: This project will replace and upgrade traffic signs on County/City roads that do not meet the minimum retroreflectivity standards as set forth by the Federal Highway Administration's (FHWA) Manual of Uniform Traffic Control Devices (MUTCD). By replacing signs that are identified not to meet the minimum standards with signs that do, the County's and City's road system will become safer by reducing the collisions that are associated with poor sign visibility and in turn, incur less liability. Due to the recent adoption of newer standards by the Federal Highway Administration's (FHWA) Manual of Uniform Traffic Control Devices (MUTCD), we are mandated to provide brighter signs for nighttime viewing that will benefit all drivers and in particular seniors, which are a growing segment of our population. This project will comply with that mandate.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life:	15 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$247,100
Annual Operating Costs:	\$0
Attributable Revenues:	<u>\$0</u>
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$247,100

RTOC Rehabilitation

Project Description: This project provides funds for rehabilitation and improvements to the Regional Traffic Operations Center (RTOC) to maintain the operations of the computerized signal system, the County's and NYSDOT's signal operations and the New York State Police. Improvements include roof replacement, HVAC upgrades, parking lot repaving/resurfacing, sidewalks, plumbing upgrades and other building/facility upgrades as required.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annua	I Impact on	the Operating	Budget:
------------------------	-------------	---------------	---------

Bond Life:	15 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$61,800
Annual Operating Costs:	\$ 0
Attributable Revenues:	<u>\$0</u>
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$61,800

MONROE COUNTY WATER AUTHORITY


HIGHLIGHTS OF CAPITAL PROGRAM

Continue with prudent infrastructure renewals and replacements in order to economically achieve a safe, secure and reliable water supply system for the community.

The Monroe County Water Authority is the largest water supplier in the region, furnishing water to over 650,000 people in the region through 170,000 services on a retail or direct basis and 20,000 services on a wholesale basis. The Water Authority serves Monroe County and portions of each of the surrounding counties. The City of Rochester and the Village of Webster still operate their own water production facilities; however, the City and the Water Authority have an exchange agreement whereby each obtains substantial quantities of water from the other and the Water Authority also serves a portion of the Village of Webster.

Due to the size of the Water Authority's operation, substantial economies of scale are being realized in meeting the Region's increasing public water supply requirements. This scale allows for increased effectiveness in the production, transmission and storage of our water resources. The objective of using Lake Ontario as the principal source of supply allows the Authority to enjoy a relatively unlimited supply of high quality raw water, thereby, avoiding the water quality problems that have been experienced by many smaller public suppliers in the region.

The Water Authority's asset management planning focuses on timely renewals and replacements of the water supply infrastructure such that the economic life of these assets in maximized and the high degree of reliability demanded by the residential, commercial and industrial customers is achieved.



Status of Previously Programmed Projects

The following projects were completed or underway during the passed year:

- Hydrant, valve and meter replacement candidates have been prioritized for replacement work.
- Engineering of the storage Tank Rehabilitation is underway.
- Planning, permitting and design development work for Cement Lining and Water Main Rehabilitation was completed and contracts were bid for spring/summer construction.

WATER AUTHORITY - 2011-2016

	Budget		ANNUAL	PROJECT	COST		Total Cost
PROJECT NAME	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	6 Years
Hydrant Replacement Program d	570,000	570,000	570,000	570,000	570,000		2,850,000
Valve Replacement Program d	310,000	310,000	310,000	310,000	310,000		1,550,000
Residential Meter Replacement & Upgrade Program d	1,200,000	1,400,000	1,400,000	1,500,000	1,500,000		7,000,000
Storage Facilities Rehabilitation d	1,750,000	2,100,000	1,700,000	1,750,000	1,100,000		8,400,000
Cement Lining Water Main Rehabilitation d	1,500,000	1,500,000	1,500,000	1,500,000	1,500,000		7,500,000
Large Meter Replacement and Upgrade Program d		335,500	335,500	335,500	335,500		1,342,000
TOTAL d	5,330,000	6,215,500	5,815,500	5,965,500	5,315,500	0	28,642,000

Italics denotes a new project

Hydrant Replacement Program

Project Description: The hydrant replacement program is for the systematic, system wide replacement of older, leaking, or damaged hydrants. Hydrants are replaced based upon maintenance inspections, leak detection testing, and damage as a result of vehicular accidents. In order to keep the required fire protection throughout the water system, older, outdated and damaged hydrants have to be replaced on a regular schedule.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life:	20 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$248,500
Annual Operating Costs:	\$0
Attributable Revenues:	<u>\$248,500</u>
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$ 0

Valve Replacement Program

Project Description: Based on on-going inspection and problems discovered in operating the system, valves are selected for replacement or additional valves are installed. Properly functioning valves are essential for the daily operation and maintenance of the water system, and for rapid usage during emergency situations.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life:	10 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$210,600
Annual Operating Costs:	\$ 0
Attributable Revenues:	<u>\$210,600</u>
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$ 0

Residential Meter Replacement and Upgrade Program

Project Description: The Water Authority policy is to replace residential meters on a 25 year cycle. The meter replacement program ensures optimum revenue through accurate registration of residential water consumption. The new meters installed will be read using radio frequency from the public street outside of the residence, and will report leakage, vacancy, and reverse flow incidents automatically. Access to the residence will not be needed.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

10 yea	115
\$720,7	700
\$	0
<u>\$720,7</u>	700
\$	0
	\$720,7 \$ <u>\$720,7</u>

Storage Facilities Rehabilitation

Project Description: This is a long-term annual program to secure, upgrade, repair, and paint tanks in the Authority's production and distribution systems. There are currently 44 potable water storage tanks in the system, of which 33 are steel and the remainder concrete. The expected life of the paint coating systems ranges from 15-25 years, depending on conditions and existing coating systems.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Bond Life:	•	20 ye	ars
Annual Debt Service Payment:		\$732,	400
Annual Operating Costs:		\$	0
Attributable Revenues:		<u>\$732,</u>	400
Net Operating Budget Impact:		\$	0

Cement Lining Water Main Rehabilitation

Project Description: This is a long-term multi-year program for rehabilitation of old cast iron pipelines in the Authority's system with a target of cleaning and lining approximately 5 miles of water main per year. Cleaning and lining improves chlorine residual retention and reduces dirty water complaints. The lining process also provides increased hydraulic capacity in the vicinity of the lining project, and reduces internal corrosion, which has advantages over direct replacement and additionally is less disruptive to the community.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated	Annual	Impact or	the O	perating	Budget:

Bond Life:	20 y	ears
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$653	3,900
Annual Operating Costs:	\$	0
Attributable Revenues:	<u>\$653</u>	3,900
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$	0

Large Meter Replacement and Upgrade Program

Project Description: The Water Authority has a continuous meter maintenance program for large meters that ensures optimum revenue through accurate registration of water consumption. Large meters installed in industrial and school settings will use radio reading meters to minimize time spent gaining access through security stations to obtain monthly meter readings. The project benefits both the customer and Water Authority by working towards the goal of accurate registration o water consumed by the largest customers and provides for accurate billings and revenue receivables.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Ope	rating Budget:
Bond Life:	20 years

Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$117,000
Annual Operating Costs:	\$ 0
Attributable Revenues:	<u>\$117,000</u>
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$ 0

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

PUBLIC SAFETY SECTOR

Strategic Framework

Vision

Monroe County's Public Safety sector working together with the community, is dedicated to providing all citizens a safer and fear-free community, while maintaining a fair and equitable system of justice for citizen, offender and victim alike.

Mission

The Public Safety sector is a service area of Monroe County government that provides safety, security, legal, prevention and education services to protect its customers in an equitable, fair, just and timely manner in a way that adds highest value to everything we do.

THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

MONROE COUNTY OFFICE OF THE SHERIFF

HIGHLIGHTS OF CAPITAL PROGRAM

- Monroe County Jail and Correctional Facility Improvements
- Driving Simulator System
- Range Facility
- Marine Unit Vessel
- Shotgun and Rifle Replacement
- TEUI/STOP DWI Van and equipment replacement
- HRT Vehicle Replacement
- Court Bureau Base Station and Exterior Camera Replacement
- Marine Unit Office
- Passenger Bus Replacement
- Chemical, Biological, Radioactive, Nuclear and Explosive (CBRNE) Vehicle

The Monroe County Office of the Sheriff is the primary provider of law enforcement services to thirteen towns and five villages in Monroe County. Deputy Sheriffs conduct specialized police activities at the County parks, airport and on navigational waterways. The Police Bureau Administration directs and oversees all operations from the downtown Civic Center Complex Headquarters. Road Patrol deputies operate out of three decentralized zone substations. Last year, Sheriff's deputies answered over 194,000 calls for service. The Road Patrol's uniformed deputies are the most visible segment of the Police Bureau. Deputies investigate crimes and complaints, patrol neighborhoods, enforce traffic laws and conduct community education programs.

The Monroe County Jail Bureau staff provides care and custody of inmates confined by the courts, the New York State Parole Office and the United States Marshal. The Jail Bureau staff is responsible for an inmate population which fluctuates through out the year from a mid 1,300 to a high of 1,500 for a daily population. The jail population consists of: non-sentenced prisoners - awaiting trial or sentencing, and sentenced prisoners - serving a maximum one year sentence, parole violators and federal detainee. The Jail Bureau Administration provides staff and facilities for security, prisoner transport, medical care, inmate rehabilitation and other related support activities.



The Civil Bureau staff is comprised of civilian and sworn employees. Deputies are responsible for the service of all civil process such as summonses, subpoenas and citations. In addition to civil process, the deputies also serve subpoenas issued by the District Attorney and verify voter residency for the Board of Elections. The deputies are court enforcement officers and may collect money by virtue of executions on real and personal property to satisfy money judgments. They are also responsible for enforcement of court orders such as civil arrests, evictions and seizures of property.

The Court Security Unit deputies maintain order and decorum during court functions and provide security in the Hall of Justice. These deputies place persons in custody on the order of a judge, provide security and transportation for sequestered juries and act as an informational source to the public. The Court Security Bureau preserves the integrity of the judicial process by ensuring the orderly transactions of all court procedures.

Status of Previously Programmed Projects

- Renovations and maintenance to the Monroe County Jail and Correctional Facility continue.
- Replacement of the Sheriff's HDS Vehicle is underway.
- Jail Records Management System upgrade underway.
- Jail Radio System Replacement project is complete

		Budget		ANNUAL	PROJECT	COST		Total Cost
PROJECT NAME		2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	6 Years
Monroe County Jail and Correctional Facility Improvements	с	750,000	2,000,000	750,000	1,000,000	750,000	750,000	6,000,00
Sheriff's Range Facility	с	400,000						400,000
Passenger Bus Replacement	с	100,000	100,000					200,000
Sheriff's Driving Simulator System	с		150,000					150,000
Court Bureau Base Station and Exterior Camer Replacement	a c		300,000					300,000
Sheriff's HRT Vehicle Replacement	s		250,000					250,000
Marine Unit Office	с		350,000					350,000
Sheriff's Shotgun and Rifle Replacement	с			350,000				350,000
CBRNE Containment Vessel Replacement	s				255,000			255,000
Sheriff's TEU/STOP DWI Van and Equipment Replacement	с					200,000	200,000	400,000
Marine Unit Vessel	c s						350,000 40,000	350,000 40,000
	Total						390,000	390,000
c = county funds s = state funds		1,250,000 0	2,900,000 250,000	1,100,000 0	1,000,000 255,000	950,000 0	1,300,000 40,000	8,500,000 545,000
TOTAL		1,250,000	3,150,000	1,100,000		950,000	1,340,000	9,045,00

Italics denotes a new project

Monroe County Jail and Correctional Facility Improvements

Project Description: This project will include interior improvements to the Monroe County Jail building to include plumbing, HVAC, electrical and masonry repairs, security system upgrades, window replacement and caulking as well as fire/life protection improvements. This project also involves projects for the Monroe County Correctional Facility and site improvements at Sheriff's Department facilities which will include paving, drainage improvements, fencing, access control and security.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life:	10 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$815,200
Annual Operating Costs:	\$ 0
Attributable Revenues:	<u>\$0</u>
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$815,200

Sheriff's Range Facility

Project Description: This project will involve the construction of a 6 lane firearm range facility located across the plaza from the soon to be Sheriff's Office Headquarters. The Sheriff's Range would allow more flexible scheduling for the 700-800 sworn personnel that need to semi-annually and annually train with the firearm. The facility would also be used for the approximately 100 sworn personnel that are newly hired and need to become firearm qualified each year. The current range is owned by the City of Rochester and the Rochester Police Department dominates the scheduling. The Sheriff's Office often has to schedule range activities for new hires or re-qualifying members at night which can cause some expenditures in overtime and contractual shift differential.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Bond Life:	10 y	ears
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$54,	300
Annual Operating Costs:	\$	0
Attributable Revenues:	\$	0
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$54,	300

Passenger Bus Replacement

Project Description: This project entails the purchase of two passenger buses. The current passenger buses are used for the Inmate Work Program in the Monroe County Parks. The buses have continuous maintenance problems and need to be replaced.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life:	5 years	
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$47,500	
Annual Operating Costs:	\$0	
Attributable Revenues:	<u>\$0</u>	
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$47,500	

Marine Unit Office

Project Description: This project involves the construction of a Sheriff's Marine Unit Office. The current temporary structure is deteriorating and needs to be replaced for the day to day operations of the Sheriff's Marine Unit.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the	he Operating Budget:
Bond Life:	10 vears

TO years
\$47,600
\$0
<u>\$0</u>
\$47,600

Sheriff's Driving Simulator System

Project Description: This project involves the purchase of a Driver Training Simulator which would offer high fidelity, interactive driver training experience in real time for all bureau deputies in the academy and during in-service training. The three channel immersive driver environment combines the look and feel of a real patrol vehicle with the most advanced technology. Different visual environments along with different scenarios such has high-speed chases and extreme weather conditions, special effects, and other areas of concern can be selected for driver training. This project would assist the Sheriff's Office in improving safe driving behavior and reducing motor vehicle accidents, injuries and repairs.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated	Annual Ir	npact or	ו the	Operating	Budget:
D 11.0					

Bond Life:	10 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$20,400
Annual Operating Costs:	\$0
Attributable Revenues:	<u>\$0</u>
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$20,400

Court Bureau Base Station and Exterior Camera Replacement

Project Description: The project involves the upgrade/move of the Court Bureau Base Station in the Hall of Justice and the purchase of security cameras (interior, exterior, and accompanying infrastructure) to improve security around the Hall of Justice and Civic Center Complex.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life:	5 years		
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$71,200		
Annual Operating Costs:	\$0		
Attributable Revenues:	<u>\$0</u>		
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$71,200		

Sheriff's HRT Vehicle Replacement

Project Description: This project entails the purchase of a replacement vehicle and related equipment for the Sheriff's Hostage Recovery Team (HRT).

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life:	0 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$0 [°]
Annual Operating Costs:	\$0
Attributable Revenues:	<u>\$0</u>
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$0

Sheriff's Shotgun and Rifle Replacement

Project Description: The project entails the purchase and replacement of 110 shotguns that will be almost 20 years at the time of replacement. Rifles will also be purchased to implement an Active Shooter Program for the Sheriff's Road Patrol which will equip and train instructors and road patrol deputies with rifles and ammunition to enhance both officer and public safety.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Bond Life:	10 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$47,600
Annual Operating Costs:	\$ O
Attributable Revenues:	<u>\$0</u>
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$47,600

CBRNE Containment Vessel Replacement

Project Description: This project will involve the purchase a Chemical, Biological, Radioactive, Nuclear, Explosive (CBRNE) containment vessel for the Bomb Squad to replace the current vessel. The current vessel was purchased in 1987 and will have been in service over 27 years at the time of replacement. The Bomb Squad's current containment vessel is not CBRNE capable.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life:	10 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$0
Annual Operating Costs:	\$0
Attributable Revenues:	<u>\$0</u>
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$0

Sheriff's TEU/STOP DWI Van and Equipment Replacement

Project Description: This project involves replacing one of the two Sheriff's DWI Processing Vans and associated equipment. At the time of replacement, the van will be over 8 years old.

Environmental Review: This project is an Exempt Action and will not require further environmental review.

Estimated Annual Impact on the Operating Budget:

Bond Life:	5 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$95,000
Annual Operating Costs:	\$ 0
Attributable Revenues:	<u>\$0</u>
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$95,000

Marine Unit Vessel

Project Description: This project entails the purchase of a marine vessel to replace one which was put into service in 1994 and will be over 22 years old at the time of replacement. The hull has had 3 engines replaced. The current vessel is one of the primary rough water search and rescue boats. It is primarily used on Lake Ontario and Genesee River.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Bond Life:	10 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$47,600
Annual Operating Costs:	\$0
Attributable Revenues:	<u>\$0</u>
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$47,600

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY

HIGHLIGHTS OF CAPITAL PROGRAM

The Department of Public Safety is the support mechanism for first line response as the 'glue' that holds the safety of responders and ultimately, the community. Heightened emphasis in homeland security planning and response has increased demands and regulations on public safety personnel. Capital projects comply with Monroe County's Vision "that each investment made in the infrastructure and end-user functionality be coordinated and used as a system multiplier, not just an addon." The strategic vision encourages an open architecture with redundancy, reliability, ease of interconnecting with other systems and upgrading, and intelligent asset management based on enduser need.

Monroe County has begun negotiations and project planning with the selected vendor for the replacement of the Computer Aided Dispatch System at Emergency Communications Department/911 Center. The Public Safety Integrated Management Information System project will allow the consultant to explore options to integrate systems such as the Jail Management System, Records Management Systems, Mobile Data Technology System, etc. The Computer Aided Dispatch System serves as the core of the 9-1-1 structure by taking information from the phone system and moves events and dispatch data between call-takers and dispatchers.

The Monroe County Department of Public Safety provides technical support, staff services, and coordination and liaison services to various direct public safety service agencies. Public Safety services are interwoven among the various divisions, County departments and other governmental agencies.

The Office of Probation supervises persons in compliance with court orders. Public Safety Communications provides technical expertise, maintenance and installation of public safety and other government radios. Through an agreement with the City of Rochester, the County assumed full responsibility for maintenance and replacement of all communications, telephone and information technology and personnel costs for the 911 Emergency Communications Center.

The Public Safety Laboratory and Central Police Services are support agencies for local law enforcement. The lab provides evidence analysis and expert testimony for the courts. Central Police Services oversees the training activities and administrative support through contract with Monroe Community College at the Public Safety Training Facility. Similarly, the Fire Bureau coordinates mutual aid and volunteer training. The Office of Emergency Management is the authorized agent for implementation of Title II SARA regulations pertaining to storage of hazardous materials as it administers the Ginna Nuclear Disaster Plan and various other comprehensive emergency plans.



Status of Previously Programmed Projects

Law Enforcement Communications System – Infrastructure replacement and Installation has been ongoing, with complete system implementation occurring January 2010. This system is used by every police agency in Monroe County. Reengineering, optimization, and final infrastructure installation will provide an FCC narrowband compliant system that will be converted from analog to digital technology. The system goal is the public safety standard of 95% on-street coverage, 95% of the time.

Upgrade Paging - Public Safety Communications implemented a 900 MHz two way digital paging system to enable the user to respond via a button on the device. The 'acknowledge' feature will provide the Chief Officer in charge of the emergency with the number of responders en-route. The completed system will have seventeen transmitter sites throughout Monroe County. Currently, the system supports approximately 4000 digital pagers and that number is expected to increase with the added features of the two-way system. The system's control terminal will be connected to the 911 computer aided dispatch (CAD) systems located at the Monroe County E911 Center. This improved two way paging system will effectively allow communications among fire, EMS, law enforcement, local government, 911 dispatcher, providing interoperability and assist with continuity of operations in a timely manner.

MDT System Replacement - Monroe County operates an 800 MHz, ten channel, nine site mobile data system designed to reduce the amount of voice traffic on the radio channels. Patrol Officers also request vehicle or person information from the state (NYSPIN) and federal (NCIC) databases in their vehicle.

The initial stage of replacement of user devices of the data system has been undertaken to replace the data terminals with laptop computers. Laptops provided additional functionality to access information from various programs such as the Geographic Interface System (GIS) and Pictometry and to interface with the New York State Traffic and Criminal System (TRACS) for data exchange and accuracy of all vehicle information and traffic tickets. Wireless devices provide officer access to additional information wile supplying vehicle location data, critical to officer safety. The core mission critical system integrated with CAD is technologically obsolete. Product option assessment and evaluation will be provided by the Computer Aided Dispatch consultant, resulting in the most viable recommendation for replacement of the proprietary system. **Mobile Communications Unit** - All major events require communications coordination. The Monroe County Mobile Communications Unit is operational and available for service. It is fully deployable to any event, within or outside of Monroe County to assist in mutual aid as it can accommodate interoperability on a regional level, as it is equipped with various technology features.

PUBLIC SAFETY SERVICES - 2011-2016

	Budget		ANNUAL	PROJECT	COST		Total Cost
PROJECT NAME	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	6 Years
Public Safety Training Center Capital Improvements c	500,000	150,000	200,000	400,000	200,000	200,000	1,650,000
TOTAL c	500,000	150,000	200,000	400,000	200,000	200,000	1,650,000

Public Safety Training Center Capital Improvements

Project Description: This project will replace and/or renovate aging facility infrastructure and specialized training props at the Public Safety Training Facility with current technology. The facility is heavily used for training first responders throughout Monroe and surrounding counties, as well as a number of other states and countries.

Environmental Review: This project is a Type II Action and will not require further environmental review.

Bond Life:	10 years
Annual Debt Service Payment:	\$224,200
Annual Operating Costs:	\$0
Attributable Revenues:	<u>\$0</u>
Net Operating Budget Impact:	\$224,200