



Monroe County &



Monroe County Bar Association

Assigned Counsel Program 1998 Annual Report

Monroe County

Jack Doyle, County Executive

Gary Pence, Director of Public Safety

Richard W. Youngman, Esq., ACP Administrator

Monroe County Bar Association

Charles P. Inclima, Esq., President

Hon. John J. Connell, Advisory Committee Chair

March 8, 1999

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION	1
<i>"To Provide Quality Representation"</i>	1
<i>"To Provide A Coordinated And Centralized Assignment System For Conflict Cases"</i>	2
<i>"To Provide A More Equitable Distribution Of Conflict Assignments Among Lawyers"</i>	3
<i>"To Attract More Lawyers Willing To Handle Conflict Assignments"</i>	3
<i>"To Maintain Uniform And Proper Billing Practices And To Ensure Cost Accountability Of Services"</i> 4	
<i>"To Provide Increased Efficiency For The Courts"</i>	4
1999 Goals.....	5
1998 APPROVED PANEL LIST	6
PANEL ATTORNEYS ADDED IN 1998	8
TOTAL CASES REFERRED BY PANEL 1994-98¹	9
1998 ASSIGNMENTS	10
1998 ABC FELONY ASSIGNMENTS	11
1998 DE FELONY ASSIGNMENTS	15
1998 MISDEMEANOR ASSIGNMENTS	19
1998 FAMILY COURT ASSIGNMENTS	27
1998 CASE DISTRIBUTION GRAPHS¹	33
1998 TABLE OF PROGRAM USE BY JUDICIARY	34
GRAPHS OF PROGRAM USE BY JUDICIARY	37
TYPES OF CASES ASSIGNED IN 1998¹	39
1998 CASE COSTS BY PANEL¹	45
1998 COSTS BY CASE DISPOSITION	46

Introduction

1998 was the seventh year the Assigned Counsel Program operated in all courts. The following statistical information shows the Program's relative success in meeting the goals of the Monroe County Bar Association Sponsored Plan for Conflict Assignments as stated below.

"The objectives of this conflict assignments plan are to provide quality representation to eligible indigent defendants or other litigants in those cases where the Public Defender has a conflict of interest; to provide a coordinated and centralized assignment system for conflict cases arising in the courts specified in Article IV herein, to provide a more equitable distribution of conflict assignments among lawyers; to attract more lawyers willing to handle conflict assignments, to maintain uniform and proper billing practices; to ensure cost accountability of services, and to provide increased efficiency for the courts by making qualified attorneys more readily available to handle conflict cases." *Monroe County Bar Association Sponsored Plan for Conflict Assignments*, ARTICLE II. Plan Objectives

"To Provide Quality Representation"

The Program received twenty-one initial complaints involving questionable, unethical or illegal conduct by participating attorneys. This is up from sixteen in 1997. Clients were the source of seventeen complaints. The Monroe County Bar Association referred four complaints filed by clients, one less than in 1997. The Program has jurisdiction over open cases only. After investigation, the Assigned Counsel Program closed all complaints with no action taken against the attorney. Two cases referred by the Bar Association were for closed cases. All other complaints were disposed of either by the attorney and client reaching a mutually acceptable understanding or by the attorney's withdrawal from the case with the permission of the court. The Program removed no attorney from the panel lists due to suspension or disbarment by the Appellate Division.

All complaints required only administrative action. No referral to the Assigned Counsel Program Review Committee was necessary. As in past years, failure of the attorney to maintain contact with incarcerated clients was the most frequent complaint. Failure of the attorney to appear prepared to proceed was again the most frequent complaint from the courts.

The Program's Motion and Brief Bank now contains several hundred documents. The Program started development of a database to classify the issues in the documents, but was delayed by the County's switch to a different database platform, which was finally accomplished in November 1998. Development of the database has begun and on completion the documents will be classified, entered into the database and an index sent to the panel members and posted on the Program's Internet site.

Throughout 1998 the Program sent the panel attorneys various bulletins, broadcast faxes, and newsletters informing the panel members of upcoming seminars and events and encouraging

them to take advantage of the opportunities offered by these programs to increase their knowledge and legal skills.

Over 90% of the participating attorneys complied with the Monroe County Bar Association Continuing Legal Education requirements for Assigned Counsel panel members. The Program and the Criminal Justice Committee of the Monroe County Bar Association co-sponsored three CLE programs during 1998 to facilitate compliance. In addition, the Program co-sponsored a CLE seminar with the New York State Association of Criminal Defense Attorneys.

Following meetings between the Administrator and the Assigned Counsel Advisory Committee, the Committee recommended requirements for the establishment of a separate Homicide panel. The Committee forwarded the proposal to the Monroe County Bar Association Board of Trustees for approval. The approval did not come during 1998 and the requirements will be resubmitted.

The Administrator successfully obtained funds during the 1998 budget process to establish a Trial Institute in cooperation with the Monroe County Bar Association Academy of Law and to establish a WestLaw terminal at the Assigned Counsel Program offices during the 1999 fiscal year.

The Assigned Counsel Program offices moved in August 1998 to new, improved facilities at CityPlace, 33 North Fitzhugh Street in Rochester. These offices place the Program more conveniently to the courts, attorneys and other organizations working with the Program.

A yearlong project to upgrade and improve the Program's database system was completed in November 1998. In addition, the Programs computer hardware and software systems were upgraded to be Year 2000 compliant well ahead of schedule. The Administrator upgraded and improved the Program's Internet site with better graphics and more information. More links to other sites of interest to panel members were added.

"To Provide A Coordinated And Centralized Assignment System For Conflict Cases"

The Assigned Counsel Program is fully computerized. The Program enters all cases reported to it in a centralized database that tracks the representation from assignment through payment of the voucher. This system avoids duplication of representation by showing all open case for a particular client thus insuring that there is a continuity of representation if the client is arrested on new charges. This system also promptly closes any case thus clearing any potential conflict of interest that the Public Defender might have in representing the client in future case.

During 1998, the Program completed a yearlong process replacing and upgrading the database platform to provide more and faster information and to be Year 2000 compliant. Assignments referred by the courts continue to be assigned from a rotating list of available attorneys. The Program, thanks to the dedicated efforts of Toni Armstrong, is very successful in insuring continuity of counsel where a client is re-arrested on new charges even when the arrests span differing jurisdictions. Additionally, the Program continues to track conflict of interest

information so that counsel is not unnecessarily assigned when the Public Defender could ethically continue.

"To Provide A More Equitable Distribution Of Conflict Assignments Among Lawyers"

While not perfect, the Program does achieve a significant improvement over the previous system of assignment of conflict cases. The Program constantly strives for new and better systems to distribute assignments more equitably among the participating attorneys. In criminal cases, the current system is highly effective. While there are still several local criminal courts that do not fully utilize the services of the Program in the assignment of counsel, the Program was able in 1998 to better reduce the Program assignments to attorneys receiving a high number of direct assignments. This led to a more equitable distribution of misdemeanor cases than in prior years. However, a reduction both in the number of attorneys accepting assignments and number of assignments accepted by attorneys who still take assignments, led to a situation where, for the first time, the most felony assignments number rose during 1998. Hopefully, establishment of the Trial Institute and an increase in the hourly rates paid to assigned counsel pursuant to Article 18-B of the County Law will address this problem.

Equitable distribution of cases is less in Family Court cases, but showed improvement in 1998. A mechanism must be found to address the inequities in Family Court assignments. The fact that a very few attorneys receive the bulk of the assignments places an undue burden on those attorneys and can impact directly on the quality of representation. More training through the appropriate committee of the Bar Association is necessary to familiarize the panel attorneys with local Family Court practice. The panel requirements should be reviewed with the Family Court judges to see if they are stringent enough. Lack of confidence in the quality of representation is a possible factor in the Judges' reluctance to use the full services offered by the Assigned Counsel Program. A Family Court component of the Trial Institute might alleviate the concern of the Family Court judges regarding the training of assigned counsel.

"To Attract More Lawyers Willing To Handle Conflict Assignments"

Included in this report is a list of new panel members added during 1998. In 1998, the Administrator spoke to newly admitted attorneys at a seminar sponsored by the Young Lawyers Committee of the Monroe County Bar Association to promote participation in the Assigned Counsel Program.

Although the Program takes every opportunity to remind the current panel members to apply for the more restrictive panels once they achieve the requisite qualifications, in 1998 the Program experienced a drop in the number of qualified felony attorneys. Due to retirement, relocation and an inability to continue taking assigned cases at the current statutory rates, attorneys resigned

from the Assigned Counsel Program and took fewer assignments, especially in serious felony cases. The requirements for inclusion on a felony panel include having conducted a misdemeanor jury trial or participated in jury selection in such a case coupled with conduct of a bench trial. Since so few misdemeanor trials are held each year, it is difficult for an attorney to meet this requirement.

During 1999 the Administrator will research and submit a proposal to the Academy of Law for an intensive trial institute. This institute would substitute for the actual trial experience now required. Also, the Administrator will poll the felony panel attorneys to see if they are willing to act as mentors for misdemeanor panel attorneys. If a sufficient number are willing, the Administrator will explore establishment of a mentor program that would also substitute for actual conduct of a trial. Both of these proposals to attract more attorneys require action by the Board of Trustees of the Monroe County Bar Association to amend the Assigned Counsel Plan.

"To Maintain Uniform And Proper Billing Practices And To Ensure Cost Accountability Of Services"

The Program continues to process a routine voucher and send it to the Judge within 48 hours of receipt. The Administrator reviews each voucher before processing to insure compliance with the voucher regulations and notifies each attorney of any noncompliance to educate the attorney on proper procedures. The review and notification help maintain proper and uniform billing practices among the participating attorneys.

"To Provide Increased Efficiency For The Courts"

Those courts fully utilizing the services of the Assigned Counsel Program report a positive impact on the efficiency in obtaining assigned counsel in conflict cases. They report a significant decrease in the burden on the court staff in finding attorneys willing to accept assignments, a decrease in the number of phone calls necessary to contact an attorney for assignment, a decrease in the voucher processing time since the vouchers are now clearly labeled as to the matter and already reviewed with comments by the Administrator, and a prompt response from the Assigned Counsel Program in obtaining assigned counsel.

In 1992, the County Executives Office began a program of training in Total Quality Management for the employees of Monroe County. That training continues. This training increases the efficiency of the internal operation and makes the Program more responsive to the needs of the Courts and the participating attorneys. The Strategic Framework of the Assigned Counsel Program is complete and development and refinement of the key result measures continues.

1999 Goals

The following are the goals for 1999:

- Implementation of a Homicide panel after adoption of standards by the Monroe County Bar Association.
- In cooperation with the Academy of Law and the judiciary, establish a comprehensive Trial Institute, attendance at which would entitle the participant to move from the felony to the misdemeanor panel.
- Establish the procedures and finally implement use of the WestLaw research terminal in the Program offices. This research capability should increase the efficiency of assigned counsel, improve the quality of representation and reduce costs.
- With the Microsoft Access development package, prepare a database of documents contained in the Motion and Brief Bank for distribution and posting on the Internet site.
- Scanning of selected documents from the Motion and Brief Bank so that panel members can obtain electronic copies of the documents and so they can be posted on the Internet for downloading.
- If sufficient interest exists, to prepare and submit a proposal for a mentoring program as an alternative method of meeting the requirements for inclusion on the felony panels.

1998 Approved Panel List

ABC Felony	DE Felony	Misdemeanor	Family Court
Aramini, Mary E.	Aramini, Mary E.	Adolph, Darylann Condello	Adolph, Darylann Condello
Arnold, Michael H.	Arnold, Michael H.	Affronti, Lorna R.	Album, Betsy L.
Aureli, Daniel L.	Aureli, Daniel L.	Album, Betsy L.	Annechino, John A.
Barr, Culver K.	Barr, Culver K.	Anderson, Christopher	Aquilina, Vivian M.
Bertram, Dudley M.	Bertram, Dudley M.	Annechino, John A.	Aramini, Mary E.
Bitetti, Gary	Bitetti, Gary	Aramini, Mary E.	Arnold, Michael H.
Broder, Howard K.	Broder, Howard K.	Arnold, Michael H.	Aureli, Daniel L.
Brown, J. Raymond	Brown, J. Raymond	Aureli, Daniel L.	Bernacki, Jr., John E.
Brown, James E.	Brown, James E.	Bernacki, Jr., John E.	Bertram, Dudley M.
Burke, Adrian J.	Burke, Adrian J.	Bertram, Dudley M.	Broder, Howard K.
Cocuzzi, Thomas J.	Cianca, Mark F.	Bitetti, Gary	Brown, James E.
Coia, Richard	Cocuzzi, Thomas J.	Broder, Howard K.	Bryant, Kevin C.
Conaty, Jr., George W.	Coia, Richard	Brown, J. Raymond	Buettner, Brian C.
Crimi, Joseph P.	Colombo, Jeanne M.	Brown, James E.	Callanan, Karen Smith
Damelio, Joseph S.	Conaty, Jr., George W.	Bryant, Kevin C.	Campbell, Paula A.
Daniele, Anthony	Crimi, Joseph P.	Buettner, Brian C.	Colombo, Jeanne M.
DeJohn, Timothy W.	Damelio, Joseph S.	Burke, Adrian J.	Cooper, Jennie M.
DiSalvo, Thomas J.	Daniele, Anthony	Campbell, Paula A.	Costello, Paul Keely
Egger, Jan P.	Degnan, Peter J.	Chait, Mitchell A.	Crimi, Joseph P.
Farrell, Barbara E.	DeJohn, Timothy W.	Cianca, Mark F.	D'Arpino, John Joseph
Garretson, Scott A.	DiSalvo, Thomas J.	Cocuzzi, Thomas J.	DeJohn, Timothy W.
Getz, Jon P.	Egger, Jan P.	Coia, Richard	Deyo, Diana M.
Green, Scott M.	Farrell, Barbara E.	Colombo, Jeanne M.	Dimassimo, James D.
Hanlon, Garry Stephen	Flowerday, Michael D.	Cooper, Jennie M.	Dinolfo, Joseph F.
Hinman, James S.	Funk, Mark D.	Crimi, Joseph P.	DiSalvo, Thomas J.
Krane, Joel N.	Garretson, Scott A.	Crimi, Jr., Charles F.	Dunn, Andrew S.
Kristal, Peter L.	Getz, Jon P.	D'Amanda, Richard	Egger, Jan P.
Lappan, James	Green, Scott M.	D'Arpino, John Joseph	Enos, Gregory E.
Leegant, Jo Anne	Hanlon, Garry Stephen	Damelio, Joseph S.	Farr, William H.
Lewis, Herbert J.	Hinman, James S.	Daniele, Anthony	Farrell, Barbara E.
Maggio, Frank G.	Hurwitz, Phillip R.	Dedes, William C.	Fazio, Jennifer L.
Mastrella, Daniel J.	Krane, Joel N.	Degnan, Peter J.	Feldman, Sammy
Morabito, David R.	Kristal, Peter L.	DeJohn, Timothy W.	Flowerday, Michael D.
Muldoon, Gary	Lappan, James	Dick, Andrew J.	Funk, Mark D.
Murante, David A.	Leegant, Jo Anne	Dimassimo, James D.	Gaesser, David A.
Murray, D. Michael	Lester, Frederick	Dinolfo, Joseph F.	Gladstone, Katherine
Napier, Robert A.	Lewis, Herbert J.	DiSalvo, Thomas J.	Glaser, Alan
Perez, Gilbert R.	Maggio, Frank G.	Donaher, Timothy P.	Goel, Vinita
Pilato, Louis P.	Mastrella, Daniel J.	Doyle, Daniel J.	Gravitz, Jennifer L.
Pineau, Maureen A.	Merante, Vincent E.	Egger, Jan P.	Hilderbrandt, Randall D.
Pullano, Peter J.	Meyer, Daniel J.	Epstein, Robert	Hinman, James S.
Regan, Jr., John M.	Morabito, David R.	Farrell, Barbara E.	Holliday, Billie D.
Regan, Michael J.	Morabito, Michael A.	Fletcher, Jere B.	Hurwitz, Phillip R.
Renzi, Alexander R.	Muldoon, Gary	Flowerday, Michael D.	Indivino, Deborah A.
Rizzo, James J.	Murante, David A.	Funk, Mark D.	Ingersoll, Timothy E.
Salamone, Jr., James D.	Murante, Gerald S.	Gaesser, David A.	Jain, Rekha
Schiano, Jr., Charles A.	Murray, D. Michael	Garretson, Scott A.	Kantor, Theodore S.
Schiano, Michael P.	Napier, James A.	Getz, Jon P.	Karatas, Nigos
Shapiro, Robert A.	Nesser, Joseph G.	Gladstone, Katherine	Komaneky, Bradley W.
Tallon, Michael J.	Owens, David L.	Glaser, Alan	Kosoff-Roth, Karen L.
Testa, Charles R.	Palmiere, David M.	Goel, Vinita	Kristal, Peter L.
Thomas, Christopher D.	Parks, Anthony	Goldman, Ronald S.	Lahman, Janice A.
Thompson, Donald M.	Perez, Gilbert R.	Green, Scott M.	Lamutis, Donald F.
Tuohey, Michael J.	Pilato, Louis P.	Grosso, James C.	Lappan, James

ABC Felony	DE Felony	Misdemeanor	Family Court
Vacca, James P.	Pineau, Maureen A.	Hanlon, Garry Stephen	Laragy, Christopher J.
Vacca, Jr., Paul J.	Pullano, Peter J.	Hardies, Robert M.	Leegant, Jo Anne
	Regan, Jr., John M.	Hilderbrandt, Randall D.	Leichtner, Edward J.
	Regan, Michael J.	Hinman, James S.	Lester, Frederick
	Renzi, Alexander R.	Holliday, Billie D.	Levitsky, Steven Brian
	Rizzo, James J.	Hurwitz, Phillip R.	Lewis, Herbert J.
	Salamone, Jr., James D.	Ingersoll, Timothy E.	Merante, RoseMaria
	Schiano, Christopher	Jain, Rekha	Merante, Vincent E.
	Schiano, Jr., Charles A.	Karatas, Nigos	Meyer, Daniel J.
	Schiano, Michael P.	Khuns, Kevin M.	Misseritti, Giuliana
	Shapiro, Robert A.	Komanecy, Bradley W.	Morabito, David R.
	Solomon, R. Adrian	Kosoff-Roth, Karen L.	Morabito, Michael A.
	Stacy, Michael P.	Krane, Joel N.	Morris, Lisa B.
	Tallon, Michael J.	Krieger, Lawrence J.	Muldoon, Gary
	Testa, Charles R.	Kristal, Peter L.	Murch, David R.
	Thomas, Christopher D.	LaBue, Eugene P.	Nesser, Joseph G.
	Thompson, Donald M.	LaCelle, Erik C.	Nicosia, Edward G.
	Tuohey, Michael J.	LaDuca, Anthony	Obiorah, Edwin S.C.
	Vacca, James P.	LaDuca, John J.	Offen, Alan L.
	Vacca, Jr., Paul J.	Lahman, Janice A.	Owens, David L.
	Winward, Thomas M.V.	Lamutis, Donald F.	Palmiere, David M.
	Wisner, Todd J.W.	Lappan, James	Parks, Anthony
	Wood, Robert W.	Laragy, Christopher J.	Pennica, Kenneth L.
		Leegant, Jo Anne	Perez, Gilbert R.
		Lembke, Matthew R.	Pilato, Louis P.
		Lester, Frederick	Plovanich, Charles
		Levitsky, Steven Brian	Proano, Galo M.
		Maggio, Frank G.	Renzi, Alexander R.
		Mastrella, Daniel J.	Sadinsky, Lisa A.
		Merante, RoseMaria	Salamone, Jr., James D.
		Merante, Vincent E.	Sammons, Elizabeth A.
		Meyer, Daniel J.	Scatigno, John M.
		Montemalo, Frank G.	Schell, George A.
		Morabito, David R.	Schiano, Margaret A.
		Morabito, Michael A.	Sekharan, Raja N.
		Muldoon, Gary	St. George, Robert J.
		Murante, David A.	Stacy, Michael P.
		Murante, Gerald S.	Teator, Mary E.
		Murray, D. Michael	Testa, Charles R.
		Napier, James A.	Thomas, Christopher D.
		Nesser, Joseph G.	Thompson, Donald M.
		O'Neill, Jr., Raymond B.	Tuohey, Michael J.
		O'Toole, Keith	Urbanski, Mark A.
		Obiorah, Edwin S.C.	Vacca, James P.
		Owens, David L.	West, John R.
		Palmiere, David M.	Wisner, Todd J.W.
		Parks, Anthony	Zaretsky, Allen J.
		Pennica, Kenneth L.	Zunno, Harriet L.
		Perez, Gilbert R.	
		Pilato, Louis P.	
		Pineau, Maureen A.	
		Proano, Galo M.	
		Pullano, Peter J.	
		Redmond, Gregg H.	
		Renzi, Alexander R.	
		Rizzo, James J.	
		Rosner, Leonard A.	
		Sadinsky, Lisa A.	

ABC Felony	DE Felony	Misdemeanor	Family Court
------------	-----------	-------------	--------------

Salamone, Jr., James D.
 Santariello, Michael
 Schiano, Christopher
 Schiano, Jr., Charles A.
 Schiano, Michael P.
 Scibetta, Michael P.
 Sekharan, Raja N.
 Shanahan, Paul F.
 Shapiro, Robert A.
 Shepard, John V.
 Snodgrass, Cynthia L.
 Solomon, R. Adrian
 Stacy, Michael P.
 Summers, Reed Noble
 Tallon, Michael J.
 Teator, Mary E.
 Thomas, Christopher D.
 Thompson, Donald M.
 Tuohey, Michael J.
 Urbanski, Mark A.
 Vacca, James P.
 Vacca, Jr., Paul J.
 Vogt, Eric J.
 West, John R.
 Winward, Thomas M.V.
 Wisner, Todd J.W.
 Wood, Robert W.


Panel Attorneys Added in 1998

ABC Felony	DE Felony	Misdemeanor	Family Court
------------	-----------	-------------	--------------

Aureli, Daniel L. Getz, Jon P.	Aureli, Daniel L. Funk, Mark D. Getz, Jon P. Hurwitz, Phillip R. Meyer, Daniel J. Murante, Gerald S. Palmiere, David M. Stacy, Michael P. Winward, Thomas M.V. Wisner, Todd J.W.	Affronti, Lorna R. Aureli, Daniel L. Dick, Andrew J. Dinolfo, Joseph F. Fletcher, Jere B. LaBue, Eugene P. Meyer, Daniel J. Murante, Gerald S. Obiorah, Edwin S.C. Palmiere, David M. Redmond, Gregg H. Stacy, Michael P.	Aureli, Daniel L. Dinolfo, Joseph F. Hurwitz, Phillip R. Meyer, Daniel J. Obiorah, Edwin S.C. Palmiere, David M. Stacy, Michael P.
-----------------------------------	---	--	--

Total Cases Referred by Panel 1994-98¹

Panel	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998
ABC Felony	604	640	605	557	645
Appellate	12	26	22	20	77
DE Felony	395	365	366	420	409
Family Court	954	1051	919	1032	1021
Misdemeanor	1174	1271	1425	1398	1443
Other	29	32	46	47	39
Probation/Parole	148	160	139	162	193



1. Does not represent number of assignments made, only initial cases referred for assignment.

1998 Assignments

The following charts show the assignments each attorney received in 1998. The number of assignments is higher than the number of cases referred because in some cases more than one attorney receives an assignment for that case. Also, a n attorney might be assigned in 1998 to a case originally referred in a previous year. This occurs most often after a court relieves one attorney and either the court or the Assigned Counsel Program assigns a new attorney.

Several factors should be considered in looking at these tables. First, approved panel members receive more assignments than non-approved panel members do. Some of the attorneys with a low number of assignments are non-approved panel members. Most often, such an attorney is court assigned. Secondly, those attorneys gaining membership on a panel for the first time during 1998 will have fewer assignments in that panel because they were not on the panel for an entire year. Thirdly, an increasing number of attorneys declined a significant number of assignments or requested removal from the Program for long periods of time during 1998, especially the attorneys on the ABC felony panel. While the report includes non-approved attorneys, new panel members, attorneys declining appointments, and attorneys temporarily removed from panels at their own request, concentrating on those members who participated for the full year as approved members of a particular panel gives a truer picture of the equitable distribution of assignments.

The success of the program in achieving equitable distribution of cases is excellent even when including all the attorneys. It is exceptional when concentrating on attorneys who started the year on a particular panel. The last column of each table contains a running percentage of the total assignments. The more attorneys listed in a table before the percentage reaches fifty suggests a more equitable case distribution in that panel. In each panel, except Family Court, the percentage does not reach fifty until the table lists a significant number of attorneys.

Of particular significance in this report is the fact that in the criminal courts, where the Assigned Counsel Program assigns a large percentage of cases, there is a more even distribution of assignments. This is attributable to the fact that, by comparison, Family Court has a much lower percentage of cases assigned by the Assigned Counsel Program. Most assignments are directly by the court. Attaining more equitable distribution of cases is difficult, if not impossible. The Administrator and Advisory Committee must work diligently to provide a workable solution to the inequities of the Family Court assignments. Looking at the last column of the tables, it takes significantly fewer attorneys for the Family Court assignments to reach a high percentage than it does for the criminal court assignments.

1998 ABC Felony Assignments

Attorney	ACP	Court	Total Cases	% of Total	Running Total	Running %
ABC Felony						
Damelio, Joseph S.	17	9	26	3.96%	26	3.96%
Schiano, Michael P.	17	9	26	3.96%	52	7.93%
Krane, Joel N.	22	1	23	3.51%	75	11.43%
Perez, Gilbert R.	21	0	21	3.20%	96	14.63%
Kristal, Peter L.	19	2	21	3.20%	117	17.84%
Hinman, James S.	17	4	21	3.20%	138	21.04%
Shapiro, Robert A.	19	0	19	2.90%	157	23.93%
Vacca, James P.	19	0	19	2.90%	176	26.83%
Morabito, David R.	18	0	18	2.74%	194	29.57%
Garretson, Scott A.	16	2	18	2.74%	212	32.32%
Pilato, Louis P.	15	3	18	2.74%	230	35.06%
Crimi, Joseph P.	17	0	17	2.59%	247	37.65%
Schiano, Jr., Charles A.	17	0	17	2.59%	264	40.24%
Barr, Culver K.	12	5	17	2.59%	281	42.84%
Brown, J. Raymond	16	0	16	2.44%	297	45.27%
DiSalvo, Thomas J.	16	0	16	2.44%	313	47.71%
Murray, D. Michael	16	0	16	2.44%	329	50.15%
Leegant, Jo Anne	15	1	16	2.44%	345	52.59%
Aramini, Mary E.	14	0	14	2.13%	359	54.73%
Lappan, James	14	0	14	2.13%	373	56.86%

Attorney	ACP	Court	Total Cases	% of Total	Running Total	Running %
Lewis, Herbert J.	14	0	14	2.13%	387	58.99%
Farrell, Barbara E.	12	2	14	2.13%	401	61.13%
Rizzo, James J.	12	1	13	1.98%	414	63.11%
Cocuzzi, Thomas J.	11	2	13	1.98%	427	65.09%
Thompson, Donald M.	10	3	13	1.98%	440	67.07%
Renzi, Alexander R.	11	1	12	1.83%	452	68.90%
Brown, James E.	10	1	11	1.68%	463	70.58%
Burke, Adrian J.	10	1	11	1.68%	474	72.26%
Murante, David A.	8	3	11	1.68%	485	73.93%
Hanlon, Garry Stephen	9	1	10	1.52%	495	75.46%
Pullano, Peter J.	6	4	10	1.52%	505	76.98%
Pineau, Maureen A.	7	1	8	1.22%	513	78.20%
Bertram, Dudley M.	7	0	7	1.07%	520	79.27%
DeJohn, Timothy W.	7	0	7	1.07%	527	80.34%
Egger, Jan P.	7	0	7	1.07%	534	81.40%
Salamone, Jr., James D.	7	0	7	1.07%	541	82.47%
Getz, Jon P.	6	0	6	0.91%	547	83.38%
Bitetti, Gary	5	1	6	0.91%	553	84.30%
Tuohey, Michael J.	5	1	6	0.91%	559	85.21%
Merante, Vincent E.	1	5	6	0.91%	565	86.13%
Conaty, Jr., George W.	5	0	5	0.76%	570	86.89%
Daniele, Anthony	5	0	5	0.76%	575	87.65%
Funk, Mark D.	2	3	5	0.76%	580	88.41%

Attorney	ACP	Court	Total Cases	% of Total	Running Total	Running %
Evans, Richard J.	4	0	4	0.61%	584	89.02%
Testa, Charles R.	4	0	4	0.61%	588	89.63%
Wood, Robert W.	4	0	4	0.61%	592	90.24%
Young, D. Scott	4	0	4	0.61%	596	90.85%
Napier, Robert A.	2	2	4	0.61%	600	91.46%
Schiano, Christopher	1	3	4	0.61%	604	92.07%
Coia, Richard	3	0	3	0.46%	607	92.53%
Green, Scott M.	1	2	3	0.46%	610	92.99%
Hurwitz, Phillip R.	2	0	2	0.30%	612	93.29%
Muldoon, Gary	2	0	2	0.30%	614	93.60%
Chait, Mitchell A.	1	1	2	0.30%	616	93.90%
MacBride, April Walker	1	1	2	0.30%	618	94.21%
Mastrella, Daniel J.	1	1	2	0.30%	620	94.51%
Napier, James A.	1	1	2	0.30%	622	94.82%
Palmiere, David M.	1	1	2	0.30%	624	95.12%
Urbanski, Mark A.	1	1	2	0.30%	626	95.43%
LaDuca, Anthony	0	2	2	0.30%	628	95.73%
Regenstreif, Jeffrey G.	0	2	2	0.30%	630	96.04%
Rose, Angelo A.	0	2	2	0.30%	632	96.34%
Abate, Jeffrey J.	1	0	1	0.15%	633	96.49%
Aureli, Daniel L.	1	0	1	0.15%	634	96.65%
Colombo, Jeanne M.	1	0	1	0.15%	635	96.80%
D'Arpino, John Joseph	1	0	1	0.15%	636	96.95%

Attorney	ACP	Court	Total Cases	% of Total	Running Total	Running %
Doyle, Daniel J.	1	0	1	0.15%	637	97.10%
Fletcher, Jere B.	1	0	1	0.15%	638	97.26%
Flowerday, Michael D.	1	0	1	0.15%	639	97.41%
Lester, Frederick	1	0	1	0.15%	640	97.56%
Owens, David L.	1	0	1	0.15%	641	97.71%
Regan, Michael J.	1	0	1	0.15%	642	97.87%
Stacy, Michael P.	1	0	1	0.15%	643	98.02%
Thomas, Christopher D.	1	0	1	0.15%	644	98.17%
Winward, Thomas M.V.	1	0	1	0.15%	645	98.32%
Wisner, Todd J.W.	1	0	1	0.15%	646	98.48%
Bernacki, Jr., John E.	0	1	1	0.15%	647	98.63%
Cianca, Mark F.	0	1	1	0.15%	648	98.78%
Hilderbrandt, Randall D.	0	1	1	0.15%	649	98.93%
Kaul, Richard A.	0	1	1	0.15%	650	99.09%
Lembke, Matthew R.	0	1	1	0.15%	651	99.24%
Miller, Richard A.	0	1	1	0.15%	652	99.39%
Nesser, Joseph G.	0	1	1	0.15%	653	99.54%
Regan, Richard E.	0	1	1	0.15%	654	99.70%
Reyes, Miguel A.	0	1	1	0.15%	655	99.85%
Summers, Reed Noble	0	1	1	0.15%	656	100.00%
TOTAL	561	95	656			
AVERAGE	7	1	15			

1998 DE Felony Assignments

Attorney	ACP	Court	Total Cases	% of Total	Running Total	Running %
DE Felony						
Garretson, Scott A.	11	3	14	3.31%	14	3.31%
Damelio, Joseph S.	4	10	14	3.31%	28	6.62%
Kristal, Peter L.	10	3	13	3.07%	41	9.69%
Merante, Vincent E.	7	5	12	2.84%	53	12.53%
Vacca, James P.	11	0	11	2.60%	64	15.13%
Krane, Joel N.	10	1	11	2.60%	75	17.73%
Pilato, Louis P.	10	1	11	2.60%	86	20.33%
Hinman, James S.	9	2	11	2.60%	97	22.93%
Thompson, Donald M.	9	2	11	2.60%	108	25.53%
Cocuzzi, Thomas J.	8	2	10	2.36%	118	27.90%
Funk, Mark D.	9	0	9	2.13%	127	30.02%
Farrell, Barbara E.	6	3	9	2.13%	136	32.15%
Morabito, David R.	5	4	9	2.13%	145	34.28%
Perez, Gilbert R.	7	1	8	1.89%	153	36.17%
Schiano, Jr., Charles A.	7	1	8	1.89%	161	38.06%
Shapiro, Robert A.	7	1	8	1.89%	169	39.95%
Murante, Gerald S.	6	2	8	1.89%	177	41.84%
Burke, Adrian J.	7	0	7	1.65%	184	43.50%
Getz, Jon P.	7	0	7	1.65%	191	45.15%

Attorney	ACP	Court	Total Cases	% of Total	Running Total	Running %
Lappan, James	6	1	7	1.65%	198	46.81%
Murray, D. Michael	6	1	7	1.65%	205	48.46%
Bitetti, Gary	5	2	7	1.65%	212	50.12%
Brown, J. Raymond	6	0	6	1.42%	218	51.54%
DiSalvo, Thomas J.	6	0	6	1.42%	224	52.96%
Hurwitz, Phillip R.	6	0	6	1.42%	230	54.37%
MacBride, April Walker	6	0	6	1.42%	236	55.79%
Stacy, Michael P.	6	0	6	1.42%	242	57.21%
Barr, Culver K.	5	1	6	1.42%	248	58.63%
Brown, James E.	5	1	6	1.42%	254	60.05%
Lester, Frederick	5	1	6	1.42%	260	61.47%
Renzi, Alexander R.	5	1	6	1.42%	266	62.88%
Young, D. Scott	5	1	6	1.42%	272	64.30%
Schiano, Christopher	3	3	6	1.42%	278	65.72%
Lembke, Matthew R.	0	6	6	1.42%	284	67.14%
Aramini, Mary E.	5	0	5	1.18%	289	68.32%
Crimi, Joseph P.	5	0	5	1.18%	294	69.50%
Owens, David L.	5	0	5	1.18%	299	70.69%
Cianca, Mark F.	4	1	5	1.18%	304	71.87%
Miller, Richard A.	0	5	5	1.18%	309	73.05%
Rose, Angelo A.	0	5	5	1.18%	314	74.23%
Hanlon, Garry Stephen	4	0	4	0.95%	318	75.18%
Morabito, Michael A.	4	0	4	0.95%	322	76.12%

Attorney			Total	% of	Running	Running
	ACP	Court	Cases	Total	Total	%
Palmiere, David M.	4	0	4	0.95%	326	77.07%
Wood, Robert W.	4	0	4	0.95%	330	78.01%
Egger, Jan P.	3	1	4	0.95%	334	78.96%
Parks, Anthony	3	1	4	0.95%	338	79.91%
Schiano, Michael P.	3	1	4	0.95%	342	80.85%
Wisner, Todd J.W.	2	2	4	0.95%	346	81.80%
Bertram, Dudley M.	3	0	3	0.71%	349	82.51%
Daniele, Anthony	3	0	3	0.71%	352	83.22%
Leegant, Jo Anne	3	0	3	0.71%	355	83.92%
Lewis, Herbert J.	3	0	3	0.71%	358	84.63%
Solomon, R. Adrian	3	0	3	0.71%	361	85.34%
Winward, Thomas M.V.	3	0	3	0.71%	364	86.05%
LaDuca, Anthony	1	2	3	0.71%	367	86.76%
Muldoon, Gary	1	2	3	0.71%	370	87.47%
Pullano, Peter J.	0	3	3	0.71%	373	88.18%
Aureli, Daniel L.	2	0	2	0.47%	375	88.65%
Conaty, Jr., George W.	2	0	2	0.47%	377	89.13%
DeJohn, Timothy W.	2	0	2	0.47%	379	89.60%
Lahman, Janice A.	2	0	2	0.47%	381	90.07%
Pineau, Maureen A.	2	0	2	0.47%	383	90.54%
Regenstreif, Jeffrey G.	2	0	2	0.47%	385	91.02%
Rizzo, James J.	2	0	2	0.47%	387	91.49%
Salamone, Jr., James D.	2	0	2	0.47%	389	91.96%

Attorney			Total	% of	Running	Running
	ACP	Court	Cases	Total	Total	%
Testa, Charles R.	2	0	2	0.47%	391	92.43%
West, John R.	2	0	2	0.47%	393	92.91%
Evans, Richard J.	1	1	2	0.47%	395	93.38%
Green, Scott M.	1	1	2	0.47%	397	93.85%
Tuohey, Michael J.	1	1	2	0.47%	399	94.33%
Murante, David A.	0	2	2	0.47%	401	94.80%
Bryant, Kevin C.	1	0	1	0.24%	402	95.04%
Chait, Mitchell A.	1	0	1	0.24%	403	95.27%
Doyle, Daniel J.	1	0	1	0.24%	404	95.51%
Goldman, Ronald S.	1	0	1	0.24%	405	95.74%
Hardies, Robert M.	1	0	1	0.24%	406	95.98%
Ingersoll, Timothy E.	1	0	1	0.24%	407	96.22%
Lamutis, Donald F.	1	0	1	0.24%	408	96.45%
Meyer, Daniel J.	1	0	1	0.24%	409	96.69%
Mort, Lawrence J.D.	1	0	1	0.24%	410	96.93%
Napier, James A.	1	0	1	0.24%	411	97.16%
Napier, Robert A.	1	0	1	0.24%	412	97.40%
St. George, Robert J.	1	0	1	0.24%	413	97.64%
Thomas, Christopher D.	1	0	1	0.24%	414	97.87%
Affronti, Lorna R.	0	1	1	0.24%	415	98.11%
Annechino, John A.	0	1	1	0.24%	416	98.35%
Buettner, Brian C.	0	1	1	0.24%	417	98.58%
Gladstone, Katherine	0	1	1	0.24%	418	98.82%

Attorney	ACP	Court	Total Cases	% of Total	Running Total	Running %
Gosper, Robert L.	0	1	1	0.24%	419	99.05%
Harrison, James A.	0	1	1	0.24%	420	99.29%
Levine, Gary M.	0	1	1	0.24%	421	99.53%
Mastrella, Daniel J.	0	1	1	0.24%	422	99.76%
McGinn, William D.	0	1	1	0.24%	423	100.00%
TOTAL	327	96	423			
AVERAGE	4	1	5			

1998 Misdemeanor Assignments

Attorney	ACP	Court	Total Cases	% of Total	Running Total	Running %
Misdemeanor						
Krane, Joel N.	26	1	27	1.88%	27	1.88%
Garretson, Scott A.	24	1	25	1.74%	52	3.63%
Kristal, Peter L.	24	1	25	1.74%	77	5.37%
Perez, Gilbert R.	23	2	25	1.74%	102	7.12%
Hinman, James S.	22	0	22	1.54%	124	8.65%
Vacca, James P.	21	0	21	1.47%	145	10.12%
Morabito, David R.	20	4	24	1.67%	169	11.79%
Burke, Adrian J.	20	1	21	1.47%	190	13.26%
Shapiro, Robert A.	20	1	21	1.47%	211	14.72%
Merante, Vincent E.	18	9	27	1.88%	238	16.61%

Attorney	ACP	Court	Total Cases	% of Total	Running Total	Running %
Cocuzzi, Thomas J.	18	0	18	1.26%	256	17.86%
Schiano, Jr., Charles A.	18	0	18	1.26%	274	19.12%
Lester, Frederick	17	5	22	1.54%	296	20.66%
Wisner, Todd J.W.	16	2	18	1.26%	314	21.91%
Crimi, Joseph P.	16	1	17	1.19%	331	23.10%
Leegant, Jo Anne	16	0	16	1.12%	347	24.21%
Rizzo, James J.	15	0	15	1.05%	362	25.26%
Lappan, James	14	11	25	1.74%	387	27.01%
LaDuca, Anthony	14	4	18	1.26%	405	28.26%
Chait, Mitchell A.	14	1	15	1.05%	420	29.31%
Aramini, Mary E.	14	0	14	0.98%	434	30.29%
Schiano, Christopher	13	7	20	1.40%	454	31.68%
Getz, Jon P.	13	3	16	1.12%	470	32.80%
Damelio, Joseph S.	13	2	15	1.05%	485	33.85%
Schiano, Michael P.	13	2	15	1.05%	500	34.89%
Pilato, Louis P.	13	1	14	0.98%	514	35.87%
D'Arpino, John Joseph	13	0	13	0.91%	527	36.78%
Farrell, Barbara E.	13	0	13	0.91%	540	37.68%
Funk, Mark D.	13	0	13	0.91%	553	38.59%
Lamutis, Donald F.	13	0	13	0.91%	566	39.50%
Proano, Galo M.	13	0	13	0.91%	579	40.40%
Cianca, Mark F.	12	1	13	0.91%	592	41.31%

Attorney	ACP	Court	Total Cases	% of Total	Running Total	Running %
Hardies, Robert M.	12	1	13	0.91%	605	42.22%
Winward, Thomas M.V.	12	1	13	0.91%	618	43.13%
Salamone, Jr., James D.	12	0	12	0.84%	630	43.96%
LaDuca, John J.	11	2	13	0.91%	643	44.87%
Buettner, Brian C.	11	1	12	0.84%	655	45.71%
Wood, Robert W.	11	1	12	0.84%	667	46.55%
DiSalvo, Thomas J.	11	0	11	0.77%	678	47.31%
Egger, Jan P.	11	0	11	0.77%	689	48.08%
Hanlon, Garry Stephen	11	0	11	0.77%	700	48.85%
Morabito, Michael A.	11	0	11	0.77%	711	49.62%
Owens, David L.	11	0	11	0.77%	722	50.38%
Sadinsky, Lisa A.	11	0	11	0.77%	733	51.15%
Santariello, Michael	11	0	11	0.77%	744	51.92%
Ingersoll, Timothy E.	10	3	13	0.91%	757	52.83%
Brown, James E.	10	1	11	0.77%	768	53.59%
Gladstone, Katherine	10	1	11	0.77%	779	54.36%
Murray, D. Michael	10	1	11	0.77%	790	55.13%
Tuohey, Michael J.	10	1	11	0.77%	801	55.90%
Dedes, William C.	10	0	10	0.70%	811	56.59%
Gaesser, David A.	10	0	10	0.70%	821	57.29%
Goel, Vinita	10	0	10	0.70%	831	57.99%
Lahman, Janice A.	10	0	10	0.70%	841	58.69%

Attorney	ACP	Court	Total Cases	% of Total	Running Total	Running %
Laragy, Christopher J.	10	0	10	0.70%	851	59.39%
MacBride, April Walker	10	0	10	0.70%	861	60.08%
Sekharan, Raja N.	10	0	10	0.70%	871	60.78%
West, John R.	9	3	12	0.84%	883	61.62%
Parks, Anthony	9	2	11	0.77%	894	62.39%
Dimassimo, James D.	9	1	10	0.70%	904	63.08%
Annechino, John A.	9	0	9	0.63%	913	63.71%
Bitetti, Gary	9	0	9	0.63%	922	64.34%
Brown, J. Raymond	9	0	9	0.63%	931	64.97%
Crimi, Jr., Charles F.	9	0	9	0.63%	940	65.60%
Fletcher, Jere B.	9	0	9	0.63%	949	66.22%
Krieger, Lawrence J.	9	0	9	0.63%	958	66.85%
LaCelle, Erik C.	9	0	9	0.63%	967	67.48%
O'Neill, Jr., Raymond B.	9	0	9	0.63%	976	68.11%
Renzi, Alexander R.	9	0	9	0.63%	985	68.74%
Stacy, Michael P.	9	0	9	0.63%	994	69.36%
Urbanski, Mark A.	9	0	9	0.63%	1003	69.99%
Lembke, Matthew R.	8	1	9	0.63%	1012	70.62%
Anderson, Christopher	8	0	8	0.56%	1020	71.18%
D'Amanda, Richard	8	0	8	0.56%	1028	71.74%
Levitsky, Steven Brian	8	0	8	0.56%	1036	72.30%
Maggio, Frank G.	8	0	8	0.56%	1044	72.85%

Attorney	ACP	Court	Total Cases	% of Total	Running Total	Running %
Scibetta, Michael P.	8	0	8	0.56%	1052	73.41%
Summers, Reed Noble	8	0	8	0.56%	1060	73.97%
Teator, Mary E.	8	0	8	0.56%	1068	74.53%
Vacca, Jr., Paul J.	8	0	8	0.56%	1076	75.09%
Bernacki, Jr., John E.	7	6	13	0.91%	1089	75.99%
Murante, David A.	7	2	9	0.63%	1098	76.62%
Muldoon, Gary	7	1	8	0.56%	1106	77.18%
Album, Betsy L.	7	0	7	0.49%	1113	77.67%
Bryant, Kevin C.	7	0	7	0.49%	1120	78.16%
Doran, Michael R.	7	0	7	0.49%	1127	78.65%
Gallagher, John B., Jr.	7	0	7	0.49%	1134	79.13%
Goldman, Ronald S.	7	0	7	0.49%	1141	79.62%
Khuns, Kevin M.	7	0	7	0.49%	1148	80.11%
Palmiere, David M.	6	7	13	0.91%	1161	81.02%
Green, Scott M.	6	1	7	0.49%	1168	81.51%
Hilderbrandt, Randall D.	6	1	7	0.49%	1175	82.00%
Snodgrass, Cynthia L.	6	1	7	0.49%	1182	82.48%
DeJohn, Timothy W.	6	0	6	0.42%	1188	82.90%
Dick, Andrew J.	6	0	6	0.42%	1194	83.32%
Glaser, Alan	6	0	6	0.42%	1200	83.74%
Grosso, James C.	6	0	6	0.42%	1206	84.16%
Jain, Rekha	6	0	6	0.42%	1212	84.58%

Attorney	ACP	Court	Total Cases	% of Total	Running Total	Running %
Merante, RoseMaria	6	0	6	0.42%	1218	85.00%
Murante, Gerald S.	6	0	6	0.42%	1224	85.42%
Pennica, Kenneth L.	6	0	6	0.42%	1230	85.83%
Solomon, R. Adrian	6	0	6	0.42%	1236	86.25%
Bertram, Dudley M.	5	0	5	0.35%	1241	86.60%
Dinolfo, Joseph F.	5	0	5	0.35%	1246	86.95%
Epstein, Robert	5	0	5	0.35%	1251	87.30%
Hurwitz, Phillip R.	5	0	5	0.35%	1256	87.65%
Komaneky, Bradley W.	5	0	5	0.35%	1261	88.00%
Pineau, Maureen A.	5	0	5	0.35%	1266	88.35%
Pullano, Peter J.	5	0	5	0.35%	1271	88.70%
Mazzo, Alecia J.	4	3	7	0.49%	1278	89.18%
Colombo, Jeanne M.	4	0	4	0.28%	1282	89.46%
Cumbo, James	4	0	4	0.28%	1286	89.74%
Flowerday, Michael D.	4	0	4	0.28%	1290	90.02%
Holliday, Billie D.	4	0	4	0.28%	1294	90.30%
Karatas, Nigos	4	0	4	0.28%	1298	90.58%
LaBue, Eugene P.	4	0	4	0.28%	1302	90.86%
Lewis, Herbert J.	4	0	4	0.28%	1306	91.14%
Meyer, Daniel J.	4	0	4	0.28%	1310	91.42%
Shanahan, Paul F.	4	0	4	0.28%	1314	91.70%
Thomas, Christopher D.	4	0	4	0.28%	1318	91.97%

Attorney	ACP	Court	Total Cases	% of Total	Running Total	Running %
Walsh, Jr., James E.	4	0	4	0.28%	1322	92.25%
Barr, Culver K.	3	2	5	0.35%	1327	92.60%
Montemalo, Frank G.	3	1	4	0.28%	1331	92.88%
Regenstreif, Jeffrey G.	3	1	4	0.28%	1335	93.16%
Abate, Jeffrey J.	3	0	3	0.21%	1338	93.37%
Aquilina, Vivian M.	3	0	3	0.21%	1341	93.58%
Cooper, Jennie M.	3	0	3	0.21%	1344	93.79%
Daniele, Anthony	3	0	3	0.21%	1347	94.00%
Degnan, Peter J.	3	0	3	0.21%	1350	94.21%
DeLaus, Daniel M.	3	0	3	0.21%	1353	94.42%
Donaher, Timothy P.	3	0	3	0.21%	1356	94.63%
Kosoff-Roth, Karen L.	3	0	3	0.21%	1359	94.84%
O'Toole, Keith	3	0	3	0.21%	1362	95.05%
Rosner, Leonard A.	3	0	3	0.21%	1365	95.25%
Thompson, Donald M.	3	0	3	0.21%	1368	95.46%
Affronti, Lorna R.	2	2	4	0.28%	1372	95.74%
Young, D. Scott	2	2	4	0.28%	1376	96.02%
Aureli, Daniel L.	2	0	2	0.14%	1378	96.16%
Campbell, Paula A.	2	0	2	0.14%	1380	96.30%
Conaty, Jr., George W.	2	0	2	0.14%	1382	96.44%
Evans, Richard J.	2	0	2	0.14%	1384	96.58%
Indivino, Deborah A.	2	0	2	0.14%	1386	96.72%

Attorney	ACP	Court	Total Cases	% of Total	Running Total	Running %
Infantino, Marc	2	0	2	0.14%	1388	96.86%
Napier, James A.	2	0	2	0.14%	1390	97.00%
Redmond, Gregg H.	2	0	2	0.14%	1392	97.14%
Shepard, John V.	2	0	2	0.14%	1394	97.28%
Vogt, Eric J.	2	0	2	0.14%	1396	97.42%
Rose, Angelo A.	1	6	7	0.49%	1403	97.91%
Dentino, Anthony A.	1	4	5	0.35%	1408	98.26%
Dever, Richard	1	1	2	0.14%	1410	98.39%
Gangarosa, Edward, C., 2nd	1	1	2	0.14%	1412	98.53%
Nesser, Joseph G.	1	1	2	0.14%	1414	98.67%
Coia, Richard	1	0	1	0.07%	1415	98.74%
Dinolfo, Vincent M.	1	0	1	0.07%	1416	98.81%
Doyle, Daniel J.	1	0	1	0.07%	1417	98.88%
Hartwig, Thomas M.	1	0	1	0.07%	1418	98.95%
Litteer, Philip A.	1	0	1	0.07%	1419	99.02%
Mastrella, Daniel J.	1	0	1	0.07%	1420	99.09%
Mort, Lawrence J.D.	1	0	1	0.07%	1421	99.16%
Obiorah, Edwin S.C.	1	0	1	0.07%	1422	99.23%
Offen, Alan L.	1	0	1	0.07%	1423	99.30%
Palmiere, Norman A.	1	0	1	0.07%	1424	99.37%
Testa, Charles R.	1	0	1	0.07%	1425	99.44%
Crowder, Debra A.	0	2	2	0.14%	1427	99.58%

Attorney	ACP	Court	Total Cases	% of Total	Running Total	Running %
Calabrese, Christopher	0	1	1	0.07%	1428	99.65%
Mercer, Brian P.	0	1	1	0.07%	1429	99.72%
Nobile, Michelle R.	0	1	1	0.07%	1430	99.79%
Regan, Richard E.	0	1	1	0.07%	1431	99.86%
Reyes, Miguel A.	0	1	1	0.07%	1432	99.93%
St. George, Robert J.	0	1	1	0.07%	1433	100.00%
TOTAL	1302	131	1433			
AVERAGE	8	1	8			

1998 Family Court Assignments

Attorney	ACP	Court	Total Cases	% of Total	Running Total	Running %
Family Court						
Brown, James E.	0	108	108	10.13%	108	10.13%
Karatas, Nigos	1	90	91	8.54%	199	18.67%
Lester, Frederick	1	67	68	6.38%	267	25.05%
Hilderbrandt, Randall D.	1	59	60	5.63%	327	30.68%
Hinman, James S.	1	54	55	5.16%	382	35.83%
Perez, Gilbert R.	1	49	50	4.69%	432	40.53%
Funk, Mark D.	0	49	49	4.60%	481	45.12%
St. George, Robert J.	0	44	44	4.13%	525	49.25%

Attorney	ACP	Court	Total Cases	% of Total	Running Total	Running %
Gladstone, Katherine	1	37	38	3.56%	563	52.81%
DiSalvo, Thomas J.	3	31	34	3.19%	597	56.00%
Nesser, Joseph G.	0	33	33	3.10%	630	59.10%
Affronti, Lorna R.	0	22	22	2.06%	652	61.16%
Sadinsky, Lisa A.	0	21	21	1.97%	673	63.13%
Proano, Galo M.	2	19	21	1.97%	694	65.10%
Farr, William H.	0	17	17	1.59%	711	66.70%
Martin, Thomas N.	0	17	17	1.59%	728	68.29%
Winward, Thomas M.V.	0	17	17	1.59%	745	69.89%
Indivino, Deborah A.	1	14	15	1.41%	760	71.29%
Merante, RoseMaria	0	13	13	1.22%	773	72.51%
Aramini, Mary E.	1	12	13	1.22%	786	73.73%
Mazzo, Alecia J.	1	12	13	1.22%	799	74.95%
Levitsky, Steven Brian	0	11	11	1.03%	810	75.98%
Renzi, Alexander R.	0	11	11	1.03%	821	77.02%
Schiano, Margaret A.	0	10	10	0.94%	831	77.95%
Aquilina, Vivian M.	1	9	10	0.94%	841	78.89%
DeJohn, Timothy W.	0	9	9	0.84%	850	79.74%
Farrell, Barbara E.	0	9	9	0.84%	859	80.58%
Misseritti, Giuliana	0	9	9	0.84%	868	81.43%
Muldoon, Gary	0	8	8	0.75%	876	82.18%
Morris, Lisa B.	1	7	8	0.75%	884	82.93%





Attorney	ACP	Court	Total Cases	% of Total	Running Total	Running %
Chait, Mitchell A.	0	7	7	0.66%	891	83.58%
Leavy, Anthony	0	7	7	0.66%	898	84.24%
Cooper, Audrey C.	0	6	6	0.56%	904	84.80%
Tirone, Gregg M.	0	6	6	0.56%	910	85.37%
Beretta, Frank	0	5	5	0.47%	915	85.83%
Ingersoll, Timothy E.	0	5	5	0.47%	920	86.30%
Gravitz, Jennifer L.	1	4	5	0.47%	925	86.77%
Leegant, Jo Anne	1	4	5	0.47%	930	87.24%
Deyo, Diana M.	3	2	5	0.47%	935	87.71%
Zunno, Harriet L.	3	2	5	0.47%	940	88.18%
Embury, Andrew J.	0	4	4	0.38%	944	88.56%
Merante, Vincent E.	0	4	4	0.38%	948	88.93%
Wisner, Todd J.W.	0	4	4	0.38%	952	89.31%
Berkowitz, Joyce B.	1	3	4	0.38%	956	89.68%
Flowerday, Michael D.	1	3	4	0.38%	960	90.06%
Owens, David L.	1	3	4	0.38%	964	90.43%
Parks, Anthony	2	2	4	0.38%	968	90.81%
Morabito, Michael A.	3	1	4	0.38%	972	91.18%
Bernacki, Jr., John E.	0	3	3	0.28%	975	91.46%
Colombo, Jeanne M.	0	3	3	0.28%	978	91.74%
Crowder, Debra A.	0	3	3	0.28%	981	92.03%
Dentino, Anthony A.	0	3	3	0.28%	984	92.31%

Attorney	ACP	Court	Total Cases	% of Total	Running Total	Running %
Infantino, Marc	0	3	3	0.28%	987	92.59%
Krieger, Lawrence J.	0	3	3	0.28%	990	92.87%
Leichtner, Edward J.	0	3	3	0.28%	993	93.15%
Rosner, Leonard A.	0	3	3	0.28%	996	93.43%
Kristal, Peter L.	1	2	3	0.28%	999	93.71%
MacBride, April Walker	1	2	3	0.28%	1002	94.00%
Scatigno, John M.	1	2	3	0.28%	1005	94.28%
Schell, George A.	1	2	3	0.28%	1008	94.56%
Buettner, Brian C.	3	0	3	0.28%	1011	94.84%
Cooper, Jennie M.	3	0	3	0.28%	1014	95.12%
Album, Betsy L.	0	2	2	0.19%	1016	95.31%
Krane, Joel N.	0	2	2	0.19%	1018	95.50%
Redfield, Christine F.	0	2	2	0.19%	1020	95.68%
Sekharan, Raja N.	0	2	2	0.19%	1022	95.87%
Thompson, Donald M.	0	2	2	0.19%	1024	96.06%
Laudadio, Brian	1	1	2	0.19%	1026	96.25%
Jain, Rekha	2	0	2	0.19%	1028	96.44%
Offen, Alan L.	2	0	2	0.19%	1030	96.62%
Vacca, James P.	2	0	2	0.19%	1032	96.81%
West, John R.	2	0	2	0.19%	1034	97.00%
Affronti, Francis C.	0	1	1	0.09%	1035	97.09%
Argento, Victoria M.	0	1	1	0.09%	1036	97.19%

Attorney	ACP	Court	Total Cases	% of Total	Running Total	Running %
Asandrov, Louis	0	1	1	0.09%	1037	97.28%
Broder, Howard K.	0	1	1	0.09%	1038	97.37%
Buttarazzi, H. Ronald	0	1	1	0.09%	1039	97.47%
Callanan, Karen Smith	0	1	1	0.09%	1040	97.56%
Crimi, Joseph P.	0	1	1	0.09%	1041	97.65%
Fazio, Jennifer L.	0	1	1	0.09%	1042	97.75%
Gallina, Fred S.	0	1	1	0.09%	1043	97.84%
Gangarosa, Edward, C., 2nd	0	1	1	0.09%	1044	97.94%
Goel, Vinita	0	1	1	0.09%	1045	98.03%
Lahman, Janice A.	0	1	1	0.09%	1046	98.12%
McGinn, William D.	0	1	1	0.09%	1047	98.22%
Mersereau, Julie V.	0	1	1	0.09%	1048	98.31%
Metzler, Eric J.	0	1	1	0.09%	1049	98.41%
Nacca, John	0	1	1	0.09%	1050	98.50%
Pericak, John G.	0	1	1	0.09%	1051	98.59%
Pilato, Louis P.	0	1	1	0.09%	1052	98.69%
Plovanich, Charles	0	1	1	0.09%	1053	98.78%
Reyes, Miguel A.	0	1	1	0.09%	1054	98.87%
Salamone, Jr., James D.	0	1	1	0.09%	1055	98.97%
Shapiro, Robert A.	0	1	1	0.09%	1056	99.06%
Stewart, Henry S.	0	1	1	0.09%	1057	99.16%
Westervelt, Scott W.	0	1	1	0.09%	1058	99.25%

Attorney	ACP	Court	Total Cases	% of Total	Running Total	Running %
Annechino, John A.	1	0	1	0.09%	1059	99.34%
Bertram, Dudley M.	1	0	1	0.09%	1060	99.44%
D'Arpino, John Joseph	1	0	1	0.09%	1061	99.53%
Dimassimo, James D.	1	0	1	0.09%	1062	99.62%
Egger, Jan P.	1	0	1	0.09%	1063	99.72%
Lewis, Herbert J.	1	0	1	0.09%	1064	99.81%
Palmiere, David M.	1	0	1	0.09%	1065	99.91%
Stacy, Michael P.	1	0	1	0.09%	1066	100.00%
TOTAL	59	1007	1066			
AVERAGE	1	10	10			

1998 Case Distribution Graphs¹



1. In interpreting these graphs, keep in mind that new panel members, non-approved attorneys, attorneys declining assignments and attorneys temporarily removed from the panels skew the results.

1998 Table of Program Use by Judiciary

The table below shows the number of assignments for each Judge making at least one assignment during 1998. The table divides the assignments between those made through the Assigned Counsel Program and those made directly by the Judge. The last column shows the percentage of the total assignments that the Judge made through the Assigned Counsel Program. The statistics clearly show that Rochester City Court, which assigns the greatest number of cases in the County, is very high in percentage of cases assigned through the Assigned Counsel Program. Increasingly in 1998, the Local Criminal Courts assigned exclusively through the Assigned Counsel Program. These facts directly correlate to the fact that the distribution of cases among the attorneys is greatest for criminal cases. Conversely, the statistics show that Family Court has a very low percentage of cases assigned through the Assigned Counsel Program. Therefore, Family Court has a very uneven distribution of cases among the attorneys.


Judge	ACP	Court	Total	%
Geraci, Jr., Hon. Frank P.	91		91	100.00%
Campbell, Hon. Vincent	22		22	100.00%
Appellate Division	19		19	100.00%
Barry, Hon. David M.	15		15	100.00%
Rogers, Hon. Charles W.	12		12	100.00%
Morris, Hon. Karen	10		10	100.00%
Barrett, Hon. John M.	8		8	100.00%
Connelly, Hon. James A.	4		4	100.00%
Morris, Hon. James E.	4		4	100.00%
Coapman, Hon. Carl A	3		3	100.00%
Kehoe, Hon. Sharon M.	3		3	100.00%
Amarosa, Hon. Louis	2		2	100.00%
Hall, Hon. Robert E.	2		2	100.00%
Cipolla, Hon. Salvatore	1		1	100.00%

Judge	ACP	Court	Total	%
Kehoe, Hon. Dennis M.	1		1	100.00%
Litteer, Jr., Hon. Harold H.	1		1	100.00%
Nadler, Hon. Luther C.	1		1	100.00%
Pogroszewski, Hon. Stanley	1		1	100.00%
Ryan, Hon. Martin T.	1		1	100.00%
Johnson, Hon. Teresa D.	176	2	178	98.88%
King, Hon. Roy Wheatley	177	4	181	97.79%
Pfeiffer, Hon. Ann E.	132	4	136	97.06%
Byrnes, Hon. Marjorie L.	107	5	112	95.54%
Schwartz, Hon. John R.	106	5	111	95.50%
Genier, Hon. Joseph T.	16	1	17	94.12%
Valentino, Hon. Joseph D.	31	2	33	93.94%
Castro, Hon. Melchor E.	128	10	138	92.75%
Egan, Hon. David D.	25	2	27	92.59%
Farber, Hon. Sidney T.	8	1	9	88.89%
Costello, Hon. P. Keely	20	3	23	86.96%
Enos, Hon. Christopher J.	18	3	21	85.71%
Lomenzo, Jr., Hon. John P.	8	2	10	80.00%
Michel, Hon. F. Robert	7	2	9	77.78%
Corretore, Hon. David	6	2	8	75.00%
Dattilo, Hon. Phillip B.	6	2	8	75.00%
Klonick, Hon. Thomas A	3	1	4	75.00%
Cornelius, Hon. Raymond E.	12	7	19	63.16%
Marks, Hon. Patricia D.	21	14	35	60.00%


Judge	ACP	Court	Total	%
Rivoli, Hon. John J.	6	4	10	60.00%
Maloy, Hon. Charles T.	16	11	27	59.26%
Mark, Hon. Donald J.	15	11	26	57.69%
Smith, Hon. Nancy	3	3	6	50.00%
Morabito, Hon. Ralph	2	2	4	50.00%
Odorisi, Hon. J. Scott	1	1	2	50.00%
Connell, Hon. John J.	8	10	18	44.44%
Pietropaoli, Hon. Patrick	1	2	3	33.33%
Pericak, Hon. John G.	8	17	25	32.00%
Ark, Hon. John J.	4	9	13	30.77%
Bristol, Hon. William H.	3	7	10	30.00%
Olver, Hon. Melvin L.	1	4	5	20.00%
Pisaturo, Hon. John J.	1	4	5	20.00%
Kohout, Hon. Joan S.	32	132	164	19.51%
Renzi, Hon. Alexander	3	14	17	17.65%
Strobridge, Hon. Maurice E.	1	13	14	7.14%
Miles, Hon. Paul I.	1	17	18	5.56%
Miller, Hon. Michael J.	2	74	76	2.63%
Taddeo, Hon. Ann Marie	1	93	94	1.06%
Sciolino, Hon. Anthony J.		75	75	0.00%
Bonadio, Hon. Anthony F.		64	64	0.00%
Kopacki, Hon. John		13	13	0.00%
Morton, Hon. Glenn R.		7	7	0.00%
Willis, Hon. Charles L.		4	4	0.00%

Judge	ACP	Court	Total	%
Fisher, Hon. Kenneth R.		1	1	0.00%
Wisner, Hon. Donald J.		1	1	0.00%


Graphs of Program Use by Judiciary



Criminal Cases Only 1994-98



Family Court Only 1994-98



Types of Cases Assigned in 1998¹

Type of Case	Total #
Custody/Visitation	455
Child Protective Proceeding	371
Crim. Poss. Controlled Substance 3	241
Petit Larceny	223
Crim. Sale of Controlled Substance 3	150
Family Offense	131
Loitering 1	123
Violation Probation	122
Harassment 2	103
Assault 3	102
Unauthorized Use Motor Vehicle 3	92
Crim. Poss. Controlled Substance 7	88
Criminal Mischief 4	80
Parole Violation	71
Disorderly Conduct	69
Crim. Poss. Weapon 3	67
Appeal-Criminal Court (Fel.)	64
Robbery 1	54
Burglary 2	52
Crim. Poss. Stolen Property 5	49
Robbery 2	42
Resisting Arrest	41
Assault 2	39
Agg. Unlicensed Operation 3	35

Type of Case	Total #
Criminal Contempt 1	33
Crim. Poss. Forged Instrument 2	32
Crim. Poss. Stolen Property 4	31
Forgery 2	30
Witness	29
End. Welfare Child	29
Crim. Use Drug Paraphernalia 2	28
Crim. Poss. Stolen Property 3	28
Grand Larceny 4	28
Paternity	26
Criminal Contempt 2	26
Burglary 3	26
Agg. Harassment 2	24
Guardianship	22
Prostitution	21
Grand Larceny 3	21
Menacing 2	20
Crim. Trespass 2	19
Crim. Trespass 3	18
Crim. Poss. Marihuana 4	18
Issuing a Bad Check	18
Crim. Poss. Marihuana 5	16
Crim. Sale Marihuana 4	16
Rape 1	16
Criminal Impersonation 2	16
Murder 2	15
Trespass	14

Type of Case	Total #
Driving While Intoxicated	13
Agg. Unlicensed Operation 2	13
Criminal Mischief 3	13
Crim. Poss. Weapon 4	13
Assault 1	13
Burglary 1	12
Obstructing Gov't Administration 2	11
Appeal-Family Court	11
Unlawful Poss. Marihuana	10
Robbery 3	9
False Personation	8
Criminal Facilitation 4	7
Crim. Poss. Forged Instrument 1	7
Support	7
Poss. Burglar's Tools	7
Crim. Poss. Weapon 2	7
Theft of Services	7
Crim. Poss. Controlled Substance 2	7
Foster Care	5
Criminal Contempt 1, Aggravated	5
Loitering	5
Driving While Intoxicated (Fel.)	4
Crim. Poss. Controlled Substance 5	4
Promoting Prostitution 4	4
Crim. Poss. Hypodermic Instrument	4
Intimidating Victim/Witness 3	4
Poss. Imitation Controlled Substance	4

Type of Case	Total #
Crim. Poss. Marihuana 2	4
Petit Larceny, Att.	4
Crim. Sale Firearm 3	3
Adoption	3
Rape 3	3
Making Punishable False Written Statement	3
Sodomy 1	3
722-c Order	3
Suspended Registration	3
Crim. Poss. Controlled Substance 4	3
File False Written Statment	3
Grand Larceny 4, Att.	3
Crim. Sale of Controlled Sub. 3, Att.	2
Crim. Poss. Controlled Substance 1	2
Alcoholic Beverage Control	2
Appeal-Criminal Court (Misd.)	2
Crim. Sale of Controlled Substance 2	2
Crim. Use Firearm 1	2
Tampering Physical Evidence	2
Murder 2, Att.	2
Promoting Prison Contraband 2	2
Possession Gambling Device	2
Loitering to Promote Prostitution	2
Robbery 1, Att.	2
Post-Conviction Motion	2
Harassment	2
Unauthorized Use Motor Vehicle 1	2

Type of Case	Total #
Fugitive	2
Criminal Mischief 2	2
Falsely Reporting Incident 2	2
Welfare Fraud - Fel.	2
Arson 3	1
Assault 2, Att.	1
Robbery 2, Att.	1
Resentencing	1
Coercion 2	1
Consensual Sodomy	1
Bail Jumping 3	1
Assault 3, Att.	1
Scheme to Defraud 1	1
Rioting 2	1
Sex Offender Registration	1
Assault 1, Att.	1
Course of Sexual Conduct 1st	1
Arson 4	1
Arson 2, Att.	1
Arson 1	1
Sexual Abuse 3	1
Speed Contest	1
Tampering with Witness 3	1
Unlawful Imprisonment 2	1
Agg. Unlicensed Operation 1	1
Unlawfully Dealing w/Child 1	1
Agg. Assault Officer	1

Type of Case	Total #
Sexual Abuse 1	1
Murder 1, Att.	1
Custodial Interference 1	1
Discharge Firearm Within City Limits	1
Dispose Waste Unl Area	1
Escape 2	1
Falsifying Business Records 1	1
Grand Larceny 3, Att.	1
Crim. Sale of Controlled Substance 1	1
Intimidating Victim/Witness 2	1
Crim. Sale Marihuana 5	1
Leaving Scene Incident-PD	1
Crim. Sale Marihuana 2	1
Making Graffiti	1
Public Health Law	1
Menacing 3	1
Reckless Endangerment 1	1
Offering False Instrument for Filing 1	1
Patronizing a Prostitute 4	1
Pins-Intervenor/FC	1
Crim. Poss. Marihuana 3	1
Poss. Alcohol By Minor	1
Crim. Poss. Marihuana 1	1
Crim. Poss. Forged Instrument 3	1
Possession of Alcohol in Public	1
Prohibited Use of Weapon	1
Promoting Prison Contraband 1	1

Type of Case	Total #
Falsely Reporting Incident 3	1
Rape 1, Att.	1
Manslaughter 2	1

1. Based on cases referred to ACP for assignment. Assignment numbers are higher because during the pendency of a referred case, more than one attorney may be assigned to that case.

1998 Case Costs by Panel¹

Panel	# Cases	Fees	Avg. Fee	Expense	Avg. Expense	Vendors	Avg. Vendor
ABC Felony	561	\$356,487.50	\$635.45	\$5,101.31	\$29.83	\$49,381.31	\$509.09
Appellate	24	\$29,732.00	\$1,238.83	\$1,500.58	\$71.46	\$9,649.55	\$1,206.19
DE Felony	422	\$182,607.95	\$432.72	\$1,343.09	\$14.76	\$10,710.72	\$274.63
Family Court	800	\$303,221.40	\$379.03	\$5,261.18	\$16.44	\$1,972.52	\$103.82
Misdemeanor	1316	\$325,344.45	\$247.22	\$1,777.58	\$5.72	\$1,728.32	\$108.02
Other	32	\$16,839.00	\$526.22	\$39.32	\$6.55		
Probation/Parole	161	\$37,730.50	\$234.35	\$180.22	\$5.81	\$335.01	\$67.00
Grand Total	3316	\$1,251,962.80	\$377.55	\$15,203.28	\$15.99	\$73,777.43	\$400.96

1. Includes all cases closed and paid in 1998 even if assigned in a prior year.

1998 Costs by Case Disposition

Panel	Disposition	# Cases	Fees	Avg. Fee	Expense	Avg. Expense	Vendor	Avg. Vendor
ABC Felony	A.C.D.	2	\$767.00	\$383.50	\$0.96	\$0.96		
	Alford Plea	5	\$9,301.50	\$1,860.30	\$123.30	\$30.83	\$5,341.13	\$1,068.23
	Bench Trial - Acquittal	1	\$2,944.50	\$2,944.50	\$144.82	\$144.82	\$428.00	\$428.00
	Bench Trial - Guilty Lesser	1	\$1,065.00	\$1,065.00			\$300.00	\$300.00
	Bench Trial - Guilty Original	1	\$1,999.50	\$1,999.50	\$30.00	\$30.00	\$1,568.50	\$1,568.50
	Bench Warrant	8	\$2,529.75	\$316.22	\$3.06	\$3.06		
	Client Retained Own Counsel	31	\$5,221.75	\$168.44	\$85.34	\$14.22	\$252.89	\$63.22
	Consolidated - Other Charges	20	\$4,230.50	\$211.52				
	Dismissed - CPL 30.30	31	\$8,699.75	\$280.64	\$50.50	\$5.61	\$171.00	\$85.50
	Dismissed - Felony Complaint	18	\$5,053.00	\$280.72	\$17.61	\$2.94		
	Dismissed - Indictment	7	\$5,380.75	\$768.68	\$42.17	\$10.54	\$67.38	\$67.38
	Dismissed - Information	2	\$633.00	\$316.50				
	Dismissed - Plea in Other Court	19	\$4,185.75	\$220.30	\$7.15	\$3.58	\$20.00	\$20.00
	Jury Trial - Acquittal	6	\$17,624.00	\$2,937.33	\$23.20	\$11.60	\$2,615.26	\$523.05
	Jury Trial - Guilty Lesser	4	\$18,685.00	\$4,671.25	\$437.00	\$218.50	\$11,324.88	\$2,831.22
	Jury Trial - Guilty Original	37	\$72,572.50	\$1,961.42	\$1,026.76	\$41.07	\$8,992.13	\$749.34

Panel	Disposition	# Cases	Fees	Avg. Fee	Expense	Avg. Expense	Vendor	Avg. Vendor
	No Bill - Grand Jury	59	\$10,723.00	\$181.75	\$73.35	\$5.64	\$268.63	\$89.54
	No Conflict - PD Continued	3	\$160.00	\$53.33				
	Plea To Reduced Charge	202	\$121,406.25	\$601.02	\$2,070.16	\$36.97	\$11,528.76	\$443.41
	Plea To Top Charge	67	\$49,134.00	\$733.34	\$833.98	\$29.79	\$5,303.23	\$220.97
	Y.O. Adjudication	17	\$6,639.25	\$390.54	\$12.99	\$4.33	\$53.63	\$53.63
ABC Felony Total		541	\$348,955.75	\$645.02	\$4,982.35	\$30.38	\$48,235.42	\$530.06
Appellate	Appeal Judgment - Affirmed	10	\$17,532.00	\$1,753.20	\$1,186.97	\$131.89	\$5,031.55	\$1,257.89
	Appeal Judgment - Reversed	3	\$6,988.00	\$2,329.33	\$250.26	\$83.42		
	Post Conviction - Denied	1	\$3,068.00	\$3,068.00	\$31.63	\$31.63		
Appellate Total		14	\$27,588.00	\$1,970.57	\$1,468.86	\$112.99	\$5,031.55	\$1,257.89
DE Felony	A.C.D.	6	\$2,935.25	\$489.21	\$23.23	\$23.23	\$108.00	\$108.00
	Alford Plea	1	\$209.00	\$209.00				
	Bench Trial - Acquittal	1	\$1,186.50	\$1,186.50				
	Bench Trial - Guilty Lesser	1	\$1,657.50	\$1,657.50			\$80.00	\$80.00
	Bench Trial - Guilty Original	2	\$3,851.75	\$1,925.87	\$71.60	\$35.80	\$318.20	\$318.20
	Bench Warrant	8	\$2,179.25	\$272.41	\$16.18	\$8.09	\$55.00	\$55.00
	Client Retained Own Counsel	17	\$2,327.75	\$136.93	\$37.78	\$9.45	\$401.76	\$133.92

Panel	Disposition	# Cases	Fees	Avg. Fee	Expense	Avg. Expense	Vendor	Avg. Vendor
	Consolidated - Other Charges	20	\$3,250.50	\$162.52	\$30.14	\$10.05		
	Covered by Plea - Other Charge	1	\$231.25	\$231.25				
	Dismissed - CPL 30.30	13	\$4,096.50	\$315.12	\$15.87	\$3.97		
	Dismissed - Felony Complaint	11	\$3,996.50	\$363.32	\$58.32	\$9.72		
	Dismissed - Indictment	4	\$1,938.00	\$484.50	\$67.35	\$67.35		
	Dismissed - Information	1	\$412.50	\$412.50	\$70.00	\$70.00		
	Dismissed - Plea in Other Court	9	\$2,255.00	\$250.56				
	Jury Trial - Acquittal	5	\$11,403.00	\$2,280.60	\$60.00	\$30.00	\$1,798.50	\$359.70
	Jury Trial - Guilty Lesser	3	\$8,209.50	\$2,736.50			\$214.76	\$107.38
	Jury Trial - Guilty Original	9	\$15,179.75	\$1,686.64	\$159.00	\$26.50	\$2,158.85	\$308.41
	No Bill - Grand Jury	50	\$11,617.50	\$232.35	\$24.01	\$2.67	\$1,350.05	\$675.03
	No Conflict - PD Continued	1	\$65.00	\$65.00				
	Plea To Reduced Charge	165	\$61,185.70	\$370.82	\$275.35	\$10.59	\$3,169.39	\$528.23
	Plea To Top Charge	64	\$33,445.75	\$522.59	\$374.16	\$22.01	\$977.45	\$139.64
	Relieved By Court	1	\$125.00	\$125.00	\$0.32	\$0.32		
	Y.O. Adjudication	11	\$5,212.50	\$473.86	\$25.94	\$6.49	\$54.00	\$54.00

Panel	Disposition	# Cases	Fees	Avg. Fee	Expense	Avg. Expense	Vendor	Avg. Vendor
DE Felony Total		404	\$176,970.95	\$438.05	\$1,309.25	\$14.71	\$10,685.96	\$288.81
Family Court	A.C.D.	21	\$8,602.50	\$409.64	\$148.57	\$11.43	\$388.70	\$388.70
	Admit Petition	65	\$14,467.25	\$222.57	\$71.38	\$3.40	\$12.38	\$12.38
	Client Retained Own Counsel	7	\$5,547.50	\$792.50	\$151.83	\$50.61		
	Petition Dismissed	177	\$44,224.50	\$249.86	\$630.23	\$11.25	\$84.05	\$28.02
	Petition Found After Hearing	59	\$36,225.50	\$613.99	\$1,501.46	\$65.28	\$653.88	\$326.94
	Stipulated Settlement	460	\$189,300.90	\$411.52	\$2,601.89	\$13.07	\$718.01	\$71.80
Family Court Total		789	\$298,368.15	\$378.16	\$5,105.36	\$16.21	\$1,857.02	\$109.24
Misd.	A.C.D.	216	\$47,192.80	\$218.49	\$180.42	\$3.84		
	Alford Plea	1	\$222.00	\$222.00				
	Bench Trial - Acquittal	12	\$3,365.25	\$280.44	\$110.64	\$22.13		
	Bench Trial - Guilty Lesser	1	\$797.50	\$797.50				
	Bench Trial - Guilty Original	4	\$2,350.00	\$587.50	\$8.14	\$4.07		
	Bench Warrant	48	\$11,298.00	\$235.37	\$38.14	\$3.47		
	Client Retained Own Counsel	18	\$2,833.50	\$157.42	\$7.84	\$7.84		
	Consolidated - Other Charges	38	\$10,657.05	\$280.45	\$57.10	\$6.34		
	Covered by Plea - Other Charge	2	\$411.75	\$205.88				

Panel	Disposition	# Cases	Fees	Avg. Fee	Expense	Avg. Expense	Vendor	Avg. Vendor
	Dismissed - CPL 30.30	34	\$7,834.50	\$230.43	\$79.66	\$7.24	\$28.88	\$28.88
	Dismissed - Information	143	\$37,460.40	\$261.96	\$348.87	\$6.98	\$360.50	\$90.13
	Dismissed - Plea in Other Court	74	\$14,403.75	\$194.65	\$26.95	\$1.80	\$293.00	\$293.00
	Jury Trial - Guilty Lesser	1	\$1,542.50	\$1,542.50				
	Jury Trial - Guilty Original	1	\$732.00	\$732.00	\$227.36	\$227.36		
	No Bill - Grand Jury	1	\$60.50	\$60.50				
	No Conflict - PD Continued	2	\$97.50	\$48.75				
	Plea To Reduced Charge	456	\$114,519.25	\$251.14	\$443.41	\$4.57	\$817.88	\$163.58
	Plea To Top Charge	218	\$58,437.95	\$268.06	\$196.43	\$3.93	\$228.06	\$45.61
	Relieved By Court	1	\$579.00	\$579.00				
	Y.O. Adjudication	25	\$6,949.50	\$277.98	\$33.48	\$5.58		
Misd. Total		1296	\$321,744.70	\$248.26	\$1,758.44	\$5.77	\$1,728.32	\$108.02
Other	Bench Warrant	2	\$500.50	\$250.25	\$5.55	\$5.55		
	Dismissed - CPL 30.30	1	\$85.00	\$85.00	\$1.92	\$1.92		
	Dismissed - Information	1	\$196.50	\$196.50				
	Other	24	\$3,967.00	\$165.29	\$1.80	\$0.90		
	Petition Dismissed	1	\$568.50	\$568.50	\$12.80	\$12.80		
	Post Conviction - Denied	1	\$694.00	\$694.00	\$17.25	\$17.25		

Panel	Disposition	# Cases	Fees	Avg. Fee	Expense	Avg. Expense	Vendor	Avg. Vendor
	Post Conviction - Granted	1	\$9,532.50	\$9,532.50				
	Stipulated Settlement	1	\$1,295.00	\$1,295.00				
Other Total		32	\$16,839.00	\$526.22	\$39.32	\$6.55		
Probation /Parole	Admit Petition	102	\$24,007.25	\$235.37	\$99.78	\$5.25	\$165.26	\$55.09
	Bench Trial - Guilty Original	1	\$1,027.50	\$1,027.50	\$26.25	\$26.25	\$73.50	\$73.50
	Bench Warrant	7	\$1,455.50	\$207.93				
	Client Retained Own Counsel	6	\$1,288.50	\$214.75	\$16.21	\$4.05		
	Consolidated - Other Charges	6	\$1,536.50	\$256.08	\$3.74	\$3.74		
	Dismissed - Plea in Other Court	1	\$293.00	\$293.00				
	No Conflict - PD Continued	1	\$489.00	\$489.00				
	Not Indigent	1	\$142.50	\$142.50				
	Petition Dismissed	30	\$5,728.50	\$190.95	\$13.90	\$2.78	\$96.25	\$96.25
	Petition Found After Hearing	3	\$1,353.75	\$451.25	\$20.34	\$20.34		
	Plea To Top Charge	2	\$286.00	\$143.00				
	Stipulated Settlement	1	\$122.50	\$122.50				
Probation /Parole Total		161	\$37,730.50	\$234.35	\$180.22	\$5.81	\$335.01	\$67.00
Grand Total		3237	\$1,228,197.05	\$379.42	\$14,843.80	\$16.08	\$67,873.28	\$399.25