October 7, 2016

To The Honorable
Monroe County Legislature
1407 County Office Building
Rochester, NY 14614

Re:  Confirmation of Appointment to the Internal Audit Committee

Honorable Legislators:

I, Anthony J. Daniele, President of the Monroe County Legislature, in accordance with Section C6-5 of the Monroe County Charter, do hereby submit to this honorable body for confirmation the appointment of Cynthia W. Kehler, 18 Fairview Heights, Rochester, New York 14613, to serve as a legislative representative to the Internal Audit Committee.

This referral will have no impact on the Monroe County budget.

Sincerely,

Anthony J. Daniele
President
Monroe County Legislature
October 7, 2016

To the Honorable
Monroe County Legislature
407 County Office Building
Rochester, New York 14614

RE: Memorializing New York State Governor Andrew M. Cuomo, the New York State Assembly, and the New York State Senate for an Increased CCBG Allocation to Monroe County to Support Monroe County Children and Families

Honorable Legislators:

The lack of affordable childcare is an issue of great importance across the United States. Here in Monroe County there are approximately 8,300 children eligible, but currently unserved, who would qualify for childcare subsidy assistance if it were available. The lack of affordable and flexible childcare, which includes evenings and weekends, poses a serious risk to employment for parents and both development and school readiness for their children.

New York State was named the second least affordable state for Center-Based Infant Care in 2014, with an average cost of high quality care in Monroe County at $12,000 annually. At a time of rising poverty rates, these higher childcare costs have led to a 54% reduction in the number of children in Monroe County with access to childcare subsidies since 2001 despite the continuation of $4.1 million in local county support. When parents cannot afford a high-quality care and do not have financial aid to do so, they are faced with the impossible choices of not entering the workforce, risking the loss of a job or placing their children in a less-than-ideal setting in order to continue earning income for their family.

For federal fiscal year October 2015 through September 2016, Monroe County has spent in excess of $2.5 million over the current state requirements for childcare subsidies. It is time for New York State to provide Monroe County our fair share. Therefore, we urge you to join us in memorializing New York State Governor Andrew M. Cuomo, the New York State Assembly, and the New York State Senate for an Increased Child Care Block Grant Allocation to Monroe County.
Respectfully Submitted,

Cheryl Dinolfo
County Executive
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To the Honorable
Monroe County Legislature
407 County Office Building
Rochester, New York 14614

October 7, 2016

Re: Memorializing the New York State Assembly to Pass and Governor Andrew M. Cuomo to Sign Assembly Bill A08201, in Order to Increase the Share of Revenue Counties Retain for Providing State DMV Services

Honorable Legislators:

Throughout New York State, County Clerks play an historic and important role as public servants. Over 240 years later, County Clerks continue to act as the chief record filing officer for their respective counties. Yet, due to changes in population, technology, and New York State’s laws, policies, and regulations, their duties have grown more complex than ever before. Currently, 51 of the 62 New York counties are mandated by the State to operate a local Department of Motor Vehicles (DMV) Office, but the share of revenue received by counties has not increased since 1999.

Under current law the State of New York takes 87.3 percent of all fees collected from the work performed by county operated DMVs. Over the years the amount of work required from local DMV offices has increased, but the percentage of revenue collected by the counties has stagnated at 12.7 percent since 1999. There is a clear inequity present when a county provides all DMV services, including overhead and staffing needs, to residents, yet the State takes an overwhelmingly disproportionate percentage of revenue generated from providing these services. The New York State Senate has voted to increase the county revenue rate to 25 percent, but action still needs to be taken in the State Assembly before final approval by Governor Cuomo.

Increasing the county DMV revenue sharing rate with the state will not result in any increased costs to local residents or taxpayers and will provide counties with needed revenue to continue to provide necessary local government services. Therefore, I ask you to join me in urging the New York State Assembly to pass, and Governor Cuomo to sign Assembly bill A08201, which increases the revenue percentage given to counties for providing essential DMV services.
Respectfully Submitted,

[Signature]

Brian E. Marianetti
Monroe County Legislature
District 7
October 7, 2016

To the Honorable
Monroe County Legislature
407 County Office Building
Rochester, New York 14614

Re: Memorializing the New York State Assembly to Pass, and Governor Andrew M. Cuomo to Sign Assembly Bill A10613 and Senate Bill S79828, Amending the General Municipal Law, In Relation to Optional Disability Coverage for County Probation Officers

Honorable Legislators:

Probation officers provide a vital law enforcement service to our community’s public safety and significantly support our criminal justice system. The duties of a probation officer are diverse. They are active in virtually all phases of the criminal justice process, including investigations, presentencing, criminal supervision, treatment, and other preventative corrective services. Probation is being more frequently regarded as a viable and cost effective alternative to incarceration or detention.

Probation officers find themselves performing many of the functions performed by their counterparts in the police and corrections services. Like other police officers, probation officers are exposed to the risks and dangers involved in managing a violent criminal population on a daily basis. This exposure increases their chances of bodily injury sustained from their duties, yet probation officers do not receive comparable disability coverage for injuries sustained while performing those duties.

Assembly Bill A10613 and Senate Bill S79828 would grant any County Probation Officer who is injured in the performance of his or her duties; or who is taken sick as a result of the performance of his or her duties so as to necessitate medical or other lawful remedial treatment; shall be paid by the municipality by which he or she is employed the full amount of his or her salary or wages until the disability arising therefrom has ceased. In addition, such municipality shall be liable for all medical treatment and hospital care necessitated by reason of such injury or illness.

Our county probation officers are facing more risk on the job than ever before. Therefore, we ask you to join us in urging the New York State Assembly to pass, and Governor Cuomo to sign Assembly bill A10613, in order to provide optional disability coverage for county probation officers.
Respectfully Submitted,

Tanya Conley  
Monroe County Legislature  
District 18

Karla F. Boyce  
Monroe County Legislature  
District 5
To the Honorable
Monroe County Legislature
407 County Office Building
Rochester, New York 14614

Re: Memorializing the United States Congress to Pass, and President Obama
to Sign House Concurrent Resolution 150, Regarding Child Safety in
Custody and Visitation Adjudications

Honorable Legislators:

Child abuse is a major public health issue in the United States. The total lifetime
estimated financial costs associated with just one year of confirmed cases of child
maltreatment (including physical abuse, sexual abuse, psychological abuse, and neglect)
accounts for approximately 124 billion dollars. This is in addition to increasing a child’s
risk of adverse health effects and certain chronic diseases. Child safety should be the first
priority of custody and visitation adjudications, and state courts should improve
adjudications of custody where family violence is alleged.

Allegations of domestic violence, child abuse, and child sexual abuse are often
discounted when raised in child custody litigation. Research shows that abusive parents
are often granted custody or unprotected visitation by courts, placing children at ongoing
risk. Most states lack standards defining required expertise and experience for court
affiliated fee-paid professionals in custody litigation.

House Concurrent Resolution 150 advocates for the following measures:

- Evidence should only be admitted by courts when it meets admissibility
  standards for scientific evidence
- Evidence from court-affiliated or appointed fee-paid professionals regarding
  adult or child abuse allegations in custody cases should be considered only
  when the professional possesses documented expertise and experience in the
  relevant types of abuse, trauma, and behaviors of victims and perpetrators
- States should define required standards of expertise and experience for
  appointed fee-paid professionals who provide evidence to the court on abuse,
  trauma and behaviors of victims and perpetrators
- States should specify requirements for the contents of such professional
  reports, and should require courts to find that any appointed professionals
  meet those standards
• States should consider models under which court-appointed professionals are paid directly by the courts, with potential reimbursement by the parties after due consideration of the parties' financial circumstances
• Congress should schedule hearings on Family Court practices with regard to the objective and fair adjudication of children's safety and civil rights

Therefore, I ask you to join me in support of House Concurrent Resolution 150, in order to protect children from child abuse and improve child safety nationwide.

Respectfully Submitted,

[Signature]

Tanya Conley
Monroe County Legislature
District 18
To The Honorable
Monroe County Legislature
407 County Office Building
Rochester, New York 14614

Subject: Eight-Year Review of the Monroe County Western Agricultural District #5

Honorable Legislators:

I recommend that Your Honorable Body approve the continuation and modification of the Monroe County Western Agricultural District #5 ("District"). Pursuant to Article 25AA of the NYS Agriculture and Markets Law, a report has been prepared by the Monroe County Agricultural and Farmland Protection Board on the eight-year review of the District.

The report recommends continuation of the present District, which is located in the Towns of Chili, Clarkson, Gates, Greece, Hamlin, Ogden, Parnam, Riga, Sweden, and Wheatland and modification of the District by adding approximately 77 acres and removing approximately 110 acres. These modifications result in a loss of 33 acres from the Western Agricultural District.

In accordance with Article 25AA, the Agricultural and Farmland Protection Board report and recommendation should be the subject of a public hearing held by the Legislature’s Planning & Economic Development Committee at a place within the District, in its present form, or otherwise readily accessible to it. The Legislature has the option to continue the District in its present form; continue and modify the District; or terminate the District. I am recommending the continuation and modification of the District.

The specific legislative actions required are:

1. Hold a public hearing on the continuation and modification of the Monroe County Western Agricultural District #5 as set forth in the report prepared by the Monroe County Agricultural and Farmland Protection Board within the District, in its present form, or a place readily accessible to the District.

2. Consider the recommendations and facts presented at the hearing relative to the continuation of the Monroe County Western Agricultural District #5 with the following modifications:

   a. Add five parcels (approximately 77 acres) to the Western Agricultural District #5:
      
      • tax account number 143.02-1-20.1, 6037 Buffalo Road, Town of Riga, consisting of approximately .7 acres;
      
      • tax account number 103.14-1-15, 4254 Lyell Road, Town of Gates, consisting of approximately 15.3 acres;

   b. Other modifications as presented in the report.
• tax account number 044.02-1-7.3, 3456 Latta Road, Town of Greece, consisting of approximately 2.3 acres;

• tax account number 158.04-1-5.22, 124 Stryker Road, Town of Chili, consisting of approximately 3.9 acres and

• tax account number 087.04-1-13, 2185 Manitou Road, Town of Ogden, consisting of approximately 54.6 acres.

b. Remove one (1) parcel from the Western Agricultural District #5:

• tax account number 183.01-1-1.2, 850 Bovee Road, Town of Riga, consisting of approximately 110 acres.

An environmental review will be completed prior to Your Honorable Body taking final action on this matter.

Continuation and modification of the Monroe County Western Agricultural District will have no impact on the revenues or expenditures of the current Monroe County budget.

I recommend that this matter be referred to the appropriate committee(s) for favorable action by Your Honorable Body.

Sincerely,

Cheryl Dinolfo
Monroe County Executive
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1.0 INTRODUCTION

Article 25AA, “Agricultural Districts,” of the New York State Agriculture and Markets Law (AML) provides counties with the opportunity to create agricultural districts for the purpose of protecting and promoting the agriculture industry. Section 303-a(1) of the AML provides for a county legislature body to review an agricultural district 8, 12, or 20 years after its creation and every 8, 12, or 20 years thereafter, and recommend continuation, termination or modification of the district. Monroe County Agricultural Districts are reviewed on an eight-year basis to determine consistency with their intended purposes.

The Midwestern District formed in 1975, the Southwestern District formed in 1974, and the Northwestern District formed in 1976, are being consolidated to form Monroe County Western Agricultural District Number 5 with an anniversary date of December 19th.

This report describes the Monroe County Western Agricultural District #5, located in the Towns of Chili, Clarkson, Gates, Greece, Hamlin, Ogden, Parma, Riga, Sweden, and Wheatland. A list of parcels comprising the existing district is included as Appendix A. This report also contains an overview of the review process, a listing of proposed modifications to the Western Agricultural District, a discussion of the review factors that are required in Article 25AA for district reviews, and a recommendation to continue the District with modifications.

2.0 REVIEW PROCESS

The agricultural district review process is documented in Sections 303-a of Article 25AA. The process calls for the county agricultural and farmland protection board to prepare a report concerning various factors and make a recommendation to the county legislative body regarding the district. The review is a participatory process that also includes citizens within and adjacent to the district and encourages landowners to review and to provide direct input in the final makeup of the district. During the review process a landowner may request to add land to or remove land from a district. Adding land to and/or removing land from a district results in a modified district. Finally, input is also solicited from municipal officials, core farmers, and local agribusiness.

Agricultural and farmland protection boards are established pursuant to Section 302 of Article 25AA. A responsibility of the board is to ensure that agricultural interests are considered during the review process. The knowledge of this board on the review factors enables them to inform the county legislative body on the benefits and value associated with agriculture, both as a land use and as an integral part of the county’s economy.

The process for this renewal is as follows:

After receiving notification from the state that the review should be undertaken, the county legislative body publishes a legal notice announcing that the review is underway and that the municipalities in the district and the public have 30 days to submit requests for district modification to the county legislative body. The review considers the needs of the farmers in this area and the development goals and objectives of the municipalities within the agricultural district, and the County’s development goals and objectives for the area of the County in which the district is located.

At the end of the 30-day period, the requests received by the county legislative body are forwarded to the agricultural and farmland protection board for review. The Board uses these communications along with other pertinent information to develop a recommendation on the district. This recommendation is then forwarded to the county legislative body for action.

The county legislative body holds a public hearing at a location readily accessible to the district to present the recommendation and to obtain any final comments. If necessary, the legislative body revises the recommendation following the public hearing and typically acts to continue and/or modify
the district. This recommendation is then forwarded to the New York State Department of Agriculture and Markets (NYSDAM) for district certification. The review process ends when the county receives a district certification notice from NYSDAM.

3.0 DISTRICT REVIEW

After receiving notification from NYSDAM that the review of the Northwestern Agricultural District #5 should be undertaken, the Monroe County Legislature published a legal notice announcing that Monroe County’s Midwestern District No.1, Southwestern District No. 2, and Northwestern District No. 5 are proposed to be consolidated to form Western Agricultural District No. 5, that the review is underway and that the municipalities in the Districts and the public have 30 days to submit requests for District modification to the county legislative body (see affidavits of the 30-day review period and public hearing notices in Appendix B).

Staff from the Monroe County Agricultural and Farmland Protection Board (AFPB) gathered information about agriculture in the District per Section 303-a of Article 25AA of the NYS AML, discussed below. AFPB members considered the proposed District boundary modifications and the factors in Section 303-a that are required to be included in the review process and recommended modifying and continuing the Western District.

3.1 Proposed District Boundary Modifications

The proposed district boundary modifications are based on landowner requests to have parcels added to or removed from the District. A list of requests is included as Appendix C and proposed modifications are shown on Map 2. Modifications to the Western Agricultural District are summarized in Table 1. **Requests to add land to the District total approximately 77 acres; proposals to remove land from the District total approximately 110 acres. The modifications would result in a net decrease of approximately 33 acres from the Western Agricultural District.**

**Inclusions**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Town</th>
<th>Acres</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Riga</td>
<td>0.736</td>
<td>Owner owns over 4 acres of adjacent land already in the district.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gates</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>Old farmland that will be farmed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greece</td>
<td>2.33</td>
<td>Retail and growing operation adjacent to farmland already in the district.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chili</td>
<td>3.96</td>
<td>Pasture and woodlands adjacent to farmland already in the district.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ogden</td>
<td>54.6</td>
<td>Existing farmland currently being farmed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>77</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All five parcels (76.93 acres) are considered supportive of the intent of the District. Hence, the Monroe County Agricultural and Farmland Protection Board recommend the addition of these five parcels to the Western Agricultural District #5.

There are an additional 7 parcels with 333 acres that were added to the Western District during the 2016 303-b annual review process.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Town</th>
<th>Acres</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Clarkson</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>Single Family Residence adjacent to farmland.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hamlin</td>
<td>81.8</td>
<td>Vacant Farmland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hamlin</td>
<td>45.5</td>
<td>Vacant Farmland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hamlin</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>Vacant land, will be part of a newly created parcel already in the district</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hamlin</td>
<td>58.6</td>
<td>Existing Farmland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hamlin</td>
<td>89.88</td>
<td>Existing Farmland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Riga</td>
<td>48.25</td>
<td>Vacant land</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>333</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Exclusions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Town</th>
<th>Acres</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Riga</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Existing landfill will be expanded on this site.

The Monroe County Agricultural and Farmland Protection Board recommends the removal of this parcel from the Western Agricultural District #5 since this land is no longer intended for agricultural use or supportive of farming activities within the District.

Current available data from Monroe County Real Property Service (February 2016) indicates there are 93,777 acres in the Western District. Approximately 333 acres were added in 2016 as part of the annual addition process described in Section 303-b of Article 25AA. Therefore, the size of the Western Agricultural District under consideration for 2016 is 94,077 acres.

Table 1 Proposed Agricultural District Modifications Summarized

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Town</th>
<th>Add</th>
<th>Remove</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Parcels</td>
<td>Acres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chili</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gates</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>15.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greece</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ogden</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>54.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Riga</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>.736</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total to add or remove</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

District acreage (February 2016) | 93,777
Acres added 303-b process (September 2016) | 333
Net change from modifications (acres) | (33)
Proposed 2016 total (acres) | 94,077

3.2 Consideration of Review Factors

Section 303-a of Article 25AA lists the factors that the AFPB are to consider in reviewing an agricultural district. The following text represents the results of the consideration of these factors as they relate to the review of the Western Agricultural District.

1. The nature and status of farming and farm resources within the Western Agricultural District, including the total number of acres of land and the total number of acres of land in farm operations in the District

The crops that comprise the largest acreage in the district are corn, wheat, soybeans, dry beans, and hay. Tree fruits, cabbage, sweet corn, winter squash and peas are grown primarily as processing and fresh market vegetables comprise the second largest acreage of crops produced. Several dairy farms are in the district. Competitive pressures, retirement of operators, shortages of skilled labor and the costs of equipment associated with replacement and updating continue to influence farm management decisions and succession planning. Several large farm parcels have been sold to nonresident farm based operations. A recent trend has been the proposal and establishment of several new farm wineries, farm breweries and farm distilleries within the district.
Farm operations continue to be a significant land use within the district; the district review profile is included in Appendix D. Pressure on commodity prices and rising opportunity costs of a non-farm economy are presenting challenges and incentives to the district's farmers to convert their land into development. Since the last review, commodity prices have fluctuated but at the time of this review have remained relatively stable. Operating expenses have continued to increase, causing profit margins to be squeezed. Historically, capital replacement (facilities and equipment) tend to be tied to commodity prices. Some farm operations continue to borrow on their equity or sell assets (land) to generate cash flow for operating expenses and capital. Increasing equipment and other fixed costs have forced some farmers to spread fixed costs over a larger number of acres in order to achieve a larger scale economy.

Discussions with farmers have indicated that given recent commodity prices both mid and large size farms are willing to make replacement and upgrade capital investments that will enable them to remain competitive in the local, national and international marketplace. Recent upswings in commodity and vegetable prices have helped offset the rising opportunity costs of a non-farm economy on agricultural lands. New York State (Finger Lakes Regional Economic Development Council) policy emphasizes food and agriculture as a key economic growth pillar that resulted in more flexibility in zoning codes for agricultural use, additional marketing and funding opportunities for existing and beginning farmers, and visibility to locally based agriculture. All of these factors provide an impetus and incentive for landowners to consider lands in an agricultural use.

2. The Extent to which the Western Agricultural District has achieved its original objectives.

The majority of the land in the district is farmed with a slight decline in total farm numbers. Many farm operators rent farmland and have stabilized or slightly reduced the amount of farmed acreage. As shown in Table 1, acreage in the district has expanded; this is because most farm landowners want to own farmland and enjoy certain rights and privileges provided by the district. Discussions with farmers have indicated that nuisance issues have declined but continue to be a major concern with non-farm neighbors and code officers. Both farmers and municipal officials indicate that the agricultural district has helped them manage and mitigate complaints concerning agricultural practices through better planning and a venue for addressing complaints. The legal protections, review and planning processes, provided by the agricultural district law, have had a favorable impact on reducing complaints and incidents of conflicting land use.

Agricultural land continues to be subdivided and converted to non-farm uses in all towns. Nearly all development has been low-density residential development. Agricultural assessment values and protection from nuisance ordinances and lawsuits have enabled producers to operate in a favorable socio-economic climate. Almost 50% of farmers rent acreage from aging landowners who are passively involved in production agriculture. These landowners indicate a desire to own farmland and are able to do so by receiving agricultural assessment values. Without agricultural assessments many producers and landowners would be under severe economic pressure to convert their land to non-farm uses.

3. Extent to which Monroe County and local municipal comprehensive plans, policies and objectives are consistent with and support the Western Agricultural District.

The Monroe County Agricultural and Farmland Protection Plan includes an inventory, analysis and recommendations concerning the disposition of agricultural lands throughout the County as well as within all agricultural districts. Sweden has implemented a right to farm law, while the towns of Chili, Wheatland, Parma, and Osgen have adopted farmland protection plans as part of their comprehensive plans. Overall these town farmland protection plans are consistent with the County-
Wide plan. Upon participatory assistance from the County, every town except Gates has either updated or included language within their comprehensive plans to further protect and promote agriculture and implement conservation easements within their jurisdictions. The town of Parma has a permanent conservation easement for agricultural use within its jurisdiction.

Grow Monroe, a buy local program, has been created and administered by Monroe Community College (MCC). An award winning documentary Locally Grown by MCC was created and airs during July and August to create awareness of agriculture. MCC also created an Agriculture and Food Studies Certificate program to encourage and foster a trained workforce for local agriculture. Several workshops on succession planning, farmland protection and zoning updates have been provided to municipalities, farmers, and landowners by MCC and the Monroe County Department of Planning and Development. The Monroe County Fair is now based in Ogden. Generally, all municipalities have been involved in promoting agriculture through participation in farm markets, agricultural education, agricultural awareness, discussions with local farmers, and consultations with agricultural subject matter experts regarding interpretations and implementation of zoning and building codes.

**Monroe County Comprehensive Plan**

The conceptual plan for Monroe County’s development pattern is contained in the Land Use Element of the adopted Monroe County Comprehensive Development Plan (1975). The Plan recommends that a limited portion of the district would be needed for urban development through the year 2000. The population increase projected for this area has yet to be realized, hence there should be ample land for development needs outside the district. Areas that have water, sewers, and a good transportation system should be targeted to receive the development that accompanies development expansion in this area. Areas that cannot readily support the various conditions required for development without significant outlays of public monies to provide improvements should be isolated from development and targeted for other non-intensive uses such as agriculture. The Western Agricultural District has had a positive influence on the County’s comprehensive plan in that it reinforces the plan’s land use recommendations for this portion of the County.

**Monroe County Capital Improvements in the Western Agricultural District**

When public road, sewer, and water projects traverse farmland, the agricultural district regulations place limitations and conditions on these projects that help minimize their impact on the land. Thus, in this context and from an agricultural perspective, the Western Agricultural District has a positive influence on the capital improvement projects.

**Monroe County Department of Environmental Services (MCDES)**

Monroe County DES did a review of project activities in the Western Agricultural District between 2006 and 2016 and the following projects were undertaken during that time:

The Village of Scottsville sponsored a project installing sanitary forcemain in a portion of SW#2 in 2013. The forcemain was dedicated to the Irondequoit Bay South Central Pure Waters District in 2015.

Sewers were expanded to include these subdivisions:
- Vistas at Coldwaters section 3 through 5 – Town of Gates
- West Whittier Estates section 8 – Town of Ogden
- West Whittier Patio Homes section 2 – Town of Ogden
- Clarkson Commons – Town of Clarkson
- Ogden Heights – Town of Ogden
The Gates Chili Ogden Sewer District Extension will incorporate the proposed Rose Hill Subdivision in the Town of Chili whereby new sewers and a Category 3 pump station will be dedicated to the GCOSD. There is a second GCOSD Ext. where the district will be extended into the Town of Riga and will encompass the Churchville-Chili Central School District and the new Emling Heights Subdivision.

Within the next 8 years there will be the replacement of the West Creek culvert that supports the 30" Hilton-Brockport Interceptor, located in the Town of Clarkson.

**Monroe County Department of Transportation (MCDOT)**

Monroe County DOT did a review of project activities for roads, bridges and culverts that were constructed in the Western Agricultural District between 2006 and 2016 and the following projects were undertaken during that time:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Town</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>Riga</td>
<td>Attridge Road Bridge, replacement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>Clarkson</td>
<td>Lawton Road Culvert, replacement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>Clarkson</td>
<td>Redman Road Culverts (2), replacement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>Parma</td>
<td>Wilder Road Bridge, preventive maintenance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>Parma</td>
<td>Clarkson Parma Town Line Road Culvert, replacement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>Gordon Road Culvert, replacement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>Wheatland</td>
<td>Union Street Bridge, replacement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>Clarkson</td>
<td>Lawrence Road Bridge, replacement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>Chili</td>
<td>Union Street Bridge, replacement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>Chili</td>
<td>Stottle Road Culvert, replacement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>Riga</td>
<td>Burtt Mill Road Bridge, preventive maintenance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>Clarkson</td>
<td>Redman Road Culvert, replacement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>Parma</td>
<td>Parma Center Road Bridge, preventive maintenance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>Chili</td>
<td>Morgan Road Culvert, preventive maintenance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>Chili</td>
<td>Stottle Road Culvert, preventive maintenance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>Ogden</td>
<td>Hubbel Road Culvert, preventive maintenance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>Clarkson</td>
<td>Clarkson Hamlin Town Line Road Culvert, replacement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>Wheatland</td>
<td>North Road Culvert, replacement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>Chili</td>
<td>Wheatland Center Road Culvert, replacement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>Chili</td>
<td>Wheatland Center Road Culvert, replacement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In addition, the following projects are currently planned within the Western District as per the proposed 2017-2022 Monroe County Capital Improvement Program:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Town</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>Ogden</td>
<td>Stony Point Road Culvert, replacement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>Wheatland</td>
<td>Bowerman Road Bridge, rehabilitation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>Parma</td>
<td>Burritt Road Culvert, replacement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>Clarkson</td>
<td>Ireland Road Culvert, replacement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Riga</td>
<td>Griffin Road Culvert, replacement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Hamlin</td>
<td>Morton Road Culvert, replacement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Monroe County Water Authority (MCWA)
The Monroe County Water Authority provided a review of newly installed water main facilities located partially or wholly in the Western Agricultural District. The MCWA does not extend water mains for new customers -- the installation of new facilities is the responsibility of the municipalities. The MCWA reviewed and approved the following projects and took them into the water system once completed:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Town</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>Ogden</td>
<td>Boulder Dr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>Ogden</td>
<td>Ogden Parma TL Rd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>Riga</td>
<td>Johnson Rd, Malloch Rd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>Ogden</td>
<td>Shenandoah East, Blackstone Dr, Tall Ridge Pass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>Ogden</td>
<td>Whittier Rd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>Wheatland</td>
<td>Scottville Mumford Rd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>Wheatland</td>
<td>Union St @ Oatka Creek</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>Ogden</td>
<td>Boulder Dr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>Ogden</td>
<td>Ivydale Pl, Kingsford Ln, Woodseer Dr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>Riga</td>
<td>Savage Rd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>Ogden</td>
<td>2330 Union St</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>Riga</td>
<td>Kendall Rd, McIntosh Rd, Bangs Rd, Liebeck Rd, Westside Dr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>Riga</td>
<td>Churchville Riga Rd, Riga Mumford Rd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>Hamlin</td>
<td>Redman Rd, Cook Rd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>Ogden</td>
<td>Blackburn Knoll, Griffin Rd, Palmer Rd, Jenkins Rd, Stearns Rd, Hosmer Rd, Robertson Rd, Chili Ave Ext, Parish Rd, Buffalo Rd, Gough Rd, Sanford Rd N, Sheridan Rd, Merriman Rd, Bromley Rd, Savage Rd, Attitidge Rd, Davis Rd, Banny Run</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>Riga</td>
<td>2071 West Ridge Rd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>Clarkson</td>
<td>2071 West Ridge Rd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>Riga</td>
<td>Allfair Dr, Spotts Cir</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>Riga</td>
<td>Spotts Cir</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>Parma</td>
<td>Blue Mountain Dr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>Wheatland</td>
<td>Oatka Creek, Armstrong Rd</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Currently, there are no new construction projects proposed to be under taken by the MCWA within the Western Agricultural District. Projects proposed to be completed by municipalities/developers include a water main at Sweden Walker Road between East Ave and Ridge Road West in Clarkson.

Effect of District on County and Local Comprehensive Plans, Policies and Objectives
Agriculture is a recommended land use and the intent of the district is both complimentary and consistent in the municipal comprehensive plans of all towns. The district renewal process provides citizens, the agriculture community and local officials the opportunity to discuss and strengthen the impact that the district offers to each municipality. Agricultural district regulations require municipal planning to be reviewed and consistent with the intent of the agricultural districts program. The villages of Brockport, Churchville, Hilton, Scottsville, and Spencerport were not extensively reviewed in this report as villages do not contain significant agricultural land use. However, villages have been a strong advocate and very supportive of local agricultural use.
especially during site plan review, establishment of farmers markets, and promoting agricultural awareness.

**Municipal Comprehensive Plans**

The comprehensive plans for the Towns of, Chili, Greece, Gates, Wheatland, Parma, Hamlin, Clarkson, Ogden, Riga and Sweden have been periodically reviewed regarding their consistency with the purpose and intent of the district and recognize agriculture as a land use. All but the Town of Gates has large tracts of land devoted to cropland.

Generally, all municipalities;
1. Encourage the renewal of agricultural districts and support provisions of the Agricultural District Law.
2. Discourage the placement of public sanitary sewers and other utilities and services that would encourage growth in those areas of the towns designated as agriculture on land use plans.
3. Limit residential development proposed for agricultural areas to low density, single family units and located so as to minimize potential loss of agricultural soils or the disruption of agricultural operations.
4. Encourage development in rural and agricultural areas to occur on lands either unsuitable for farming or on lands where conflicts would be minimal.
5. Prevent enactment of nuisance laws that would interfere with normal agricultural activities.
6. Encourage citizen understanding of the economics of agricultural production and land use.

All town supervisors indicate an understanding of agriculture and that low commodity prices, increasing equipment costs, shortages of labor and increasing land costs due to urban expansion continue to entice farmers to sell land for non-agricultural uses. All town officials appear to advocate landowner participation in the agricultural district program and indicate that the continued implementation of the previously mentioned policies will enable a slowdown of the rate and level of land being converted to non-agricultural uses and further the objectives of comprehensive plans.

Several comprehensive plans have specified innovative planning techniques to preserve farmland. Ogden, Parma, and Sweden favor the use of conservation easements and to develop a purchase of development rights program.

Over time, all comprehensive plans have been reviewed regarding their consistency with the purpose and intent of the District. All plans except Gates and Greece recommend that the vast majority of land included in the District as well as the proposed additions, remain rural with agriculture and low density residential as the preferred land uses and contain policies designed to retain and support agriculture as the principal land use in the District.

The Towns of Chili, Ogden, Parma and Wheatland have adopted farmland protection plans that are considered part of their Comprehensive Plan. These farmland protection plans recognize the importance of and seek to preserve and promote agriculture as a use and provide reference information and serve as a guide to local actions that can be taken to support agriculture and retain farmland. The plan is intended to be used as a guide by the Town Planning Board and Zoning Board of Appeals in the review of development proposals that may affect farmland and conservation of land resources in the Town, and by the Town Board as a guide to financial and regulatory actions.

Although several town comprehensive plans recommend the continuation of farming and maintenance of the rural character throughout the vast majority of the District located in a town, these plans also take into account the possibility of development within the District and suggest that if it were to occur, that it would be a business/industrial mix.
The Western Agricultural District has had a positive and mitigating influence on municipal and county comprehensive plans, regulations, ordinances and laws as they relate to land use recommendations and implementation of municipal policy.

When public road, sewer, and water projects traverse farmland, the District’s regulations encourage oversight and tend to place limitations and conditions on these projects that help mitigate adverse impacts on affected agricultural lands. Thus, in this context and from an agricultural perspective, the District has had a significant and positive influence on capital improvement projects.

Although public water projects continue in the District, historically, the presence of public water has not had a significant impetus of development in the County and this District. However, sanitary sewer is much more likely to attract and influence the nature of development, especially if the sewer line is a gravity line versus a force main line. Thus, the expansion and nature of sewer lines within the Town of Chili is likely to have an adverse impact on nearby farmlands. However, nearby farms may be able to capture the potential demand for locally grown agricultural products associated with these developed uses.

The presence of the District and active farm operations has resulted in agriculture being a recommended land use in municipal comprehensive plans and in this context the District has also had a positive influence. Although agricultural district regulations call for municipal planning to be consistent with the intent of the agricultural districts program, at times, municipalities plan and zone land for nonagricultural uses in districts.

**Impacts of Nonagricultural Development on District**

In all towns, the prevailing non-farm development pattern in the District appears to be mostly single family homes along road frontage. This pattern is associated with an increased likelihood of nonfarm neighbor complaints about farming practices. As noted previously, most complaints have been resolved based on mediation and reference to agricultural districts law and opinions. Municipalities require a disclosure notice which helps to provide early notification to nonfarm neighbors that they are likely to experience effects from farming operations, thereby, helping to minimize complaints.

Several large tracts of farmland within several municipalities are for sale at the time of this report with intentions for development.

The Towns of Wheatland and Hamlin anticipate additional single-family residents, however, the rate and level of development has been well below that of adjacent towns and counties. The Town of Wheatland is exploring extending sewer lines from the Village of Scottsville sanitary system. Scottsville has merged its wastewater treatment facility with Monroe County Department of Environmental Services.

**4. Degree of coordination between local laws, ordinances, rules and regulations that apply to farm operations in Western Agricultural District and their influence on farming.**

All towns have laws and policies regarding agriculture within their municipalities. The Towns of Ogden, Parma and Sweden have a right-to-farm ordinance. Several municipalities without farmland protection plans are highlighted below with respect to zoning laws. All municipalities provide laws that generally protect and promote farming within the district. Most zoning codes (mostly residential zoning), do not prohibit agriculture. However, several conflicts (i.e. Parma, Hamlin, Sweden, and Greece) have arisen regarding farm operations and have been interpreted as in conflict with local zoning and/or ordinances.
Although farmland protection planning often recommends the creation of agricultural zoning for agricultural areas to encourage farming, only Parma and Chili, have considered a comprehensive agricultural zoning code. Historically, farmers, large lot landowners and public and appointed officials generally do not support the creation of a comprehensive agricultural zoning code within respective municipalities.

Historically, all municipalities in the District use the Agriculture Data Statement (ADS) to assess and communicate the impacts of potential non-farm development proposed on land that is part of an active farm in the district or is proposed for land which is within 500 feet of an active farm in the district. The municipal board reviewing the proposed non-farm development must determine, based on information provided in the ADS and by adjacent farmers, whether the proposed development will conflict with present and future farming operations and if so, determine appropriate mitigation measures.

The following information, although not exhaustive, highlights potential conflicts with municipal codes requiring minimum lot size, limitations on the sale of farm products, limitations on noise and types of agricultural activities. Hence, this section emphasizes the importance of all municipalities to review and modify their existing code in the interests of protecting and promoting agriculture as a land use and being consistent with Agriculture and Markets Law. Only municipalities having land within the Western Agricultural District were reviewed.

**Town of Clarkson:** Generally, municipal codes appear to be consistent with agricultural districts law; however, farm labor housing may pose unreasonable restrictions. Setting minimum dimensions, lot size exceeding 10,000 square feet or more, screening, and/or immediate removal of farm labor housing if unoccupied may be considered unreasonable.

**Town of Greece:** The Town’s definition of a farm is: “A lot or any combination of contiguous lots, either owned or leased, which comprises not less than ten acres in area and which is principally used for agricultural purposes, including but not limited to horticulture; plant nurseries; apiaries; fish hatcheries; animal or poultry husbandry; dairying; pasturing; and the customary accessory structures necessary for the storage or sale of the agricultural goods produced on said lot. This definition shall not include stables, as defined by this chapter, or stockyards or commercial feedlots.”

Establishing a minimum lot size for farm operation within a zoning district that includes land within a certified agricultural district may be unreasonably restrictive. The definition of a farm operation as described in AML 301 subd. 11 do not include an acreage threshold. Also, the definition on a farm in the code may be problematic as it excludes the raising of fur-bearing animals, riding academies and livery or boarding stables. The town definition of junk is “Any manufactured good, appliance, fixture, furniture, machinery, motor vehicle, recreational vehicle, trailer or similar object which is abandoned, demolished, discarded, dismantled or so worn, deteriorated or in such a condition as to be generally unusable in its existing state. This definition shall include but shall not be limited to scrap metal, scrap material, waste bottles, cans, paper, rubble, boxes, crates, rags, used construction materials, motor vehicle parts and used tires.” Some of these “junk” items are commonly found on farms and may or may not be considered junk to the farm operation. For example, tires may be used to keep coverings in place and items such as used metal, waste paper, rags, plastic and discarded materials are collected and piled on the farm to be taken to the local waste transfer station. Junked vehicles, particularly trucks and farm equipment may be kept for spare parts to repair functional trucks and equipment. Generally, requiring farmers to obtain a junkyard license or permit to keep items used on the farm in an amount and scope directly related to a the agricultural operation is unreasonably restrictive.
Town of Hamlin: Extensive areas in the town contain soil types well suited for agriculture crops and tree fruits and influence the town's development plan, policies and jurisdictional matters. Generally, the code is consistent with agricultural districts law; however, under the noise ordinance only farm machinery is exempt. Consequently, other farming activities, animal agriculture and other specialized processes may be unreasonably restricted.

The town code requires a ten-acre minimum lot size. Establishing a minimum lot size for a farm market and/or farm operation within a zoning district that includes land within a certified agricultural district may be unreasonably restrictive. The definition of a farm operation, as provided in AML §301 subd. 11, does not include an acreage threshold.

The Town of Hamlin’s definition of junk follows: “...includes but is not limited to any manufactured good, appliance, fixture, furniture, machinery, motor vehicle, recreational vehicle, trailer or similar object which is abandoned, demolished, discarded, dismantled or so worn, deteriorated or in such a condition as to be generally unusable in its existing state. This definition shall include but shall not be limited to scrap metal, scrap material, waste bottles, cans, paper, rubble, boxes, crates, rags, used construction materials, motor vehicle parts and used tires.”

Some of these "junk" items are commonly found on farms and may or may not be considered junk to the farm operation. For example, tires may be used to keep coverings in place and items such as used metal, waste paper, rags, plastic and discarded materials are collected and piled on the farm to be taken to the local waste transfer station. Junked vehicles, particularly trucks and farm equipment may be kept for spare parts to repair functional trucks and equipment. Generally, requiring farmers to obtain a junkyard license or permit to keep items used on the farm in an amount and scope directly related to a the agricultural operation is unreasonably restrictive.

5. Recommendations to Continue, Terminate or Modify District
Based on the viability of agriculture as both an industry and land use within the proposed district and the continued development pressure, it remains prudent to promote an economic climate that minimizes and mitigates land speculation and accounts for any adverse impact of development. Therefore, the AFPB strongly recommends the renewal and modification of the Western Agricultural District.

The Western District continues to provide benefits to member farmers and agricultural landowners that wish to keep their land in farming. This fact is evidenced by continued landowners requesting acreage to be added to the district. Thus, in order to preserve and support the continuation of agriculture in the western portion of the county, the Monroe County Agricultural and Farmland Protection Board recommend the renewal and modification of the Western Agricultural District.
4.0 MONROE COUNTY LEGISLATURE RECOMMENDATION

A public information meeting, a 30-day review and comment period and a public hearing were held to discuss the District with landowners (see supporting documentation in Appendix B). An environmental review for this action is included as Appendix E and indicates there are no significant adverse environmental impacts associated with the District. District boundary modifications -- based on public input -- and the review factors discussed in Section 3.2 were reviewed by the Agricultural and Farmland Protection Board, which recommends the District be renewed with modifications (see Appendix F). The Monroe County Legislature will review the information and the Board’s recommendations to continue the Monroe County Western Agricultural District for another eight years with the following modifications:

- Five (5) parcels containing approximately 77 acres to be added to the existing District as follows: one (1) parcel containing .736 of an acre in the Town of Riga; one parcel containing 15.3 acres in the Town of Gates; one parcel containing 2.33 acres in the Town of Greece; one parcel containing 3.96 acres in the Town of Chili, and one parcel containing 54.6 acres in the Town of Ogden.

- One (1) parcel containing 110 acres in the Town of Riga is removed from the existing District.

- Seven (7) parcels containing approximately 333 acres that were added to the District through the 2016 annual addition process include: one (1) parcel containing 1.9 acres in the Town of Clarkson; one (1) parcel containing 48.25 acres in the Town of Riga; and four (5) parcels containing 283 acres in the Town of Hamlin.

The modifications results in a net decrease of 33 acres for a total of approximately 94,077 acres in Monroe County’s Western Agricultural District #5. The Monroe County Legislature Resolution will be included as Appendix G in the report to NYS Department of Agriculture and Markets.
APPENDIX A

List of Parcels Comprising Existing
Monroe County Western Agricultural District #5

Available Upon Request
APPENDIX B

Affidavits of Publication

30-Day Review Period Legal Notice
and
Public Hearing Notice – (to be inserted November 2016)
AFFIDAVIT

Susan R. Holliday, being duly sworn, says that she is the President of the Rochester Business Journal, a weekly newspaper published in the City of Rochester, County of Monroe, State of New York, and the notice hereto annexed was published in said paper on time to wit the 18th day of March, 2016.

Susan R. Holliday

Sworn to before me this 18th day of March, 2016.

JOANNE M. GLIGORA
Notary Public, State of N.Y.
Monroe County
Commission Expires Feb. 6, 2018

RE: Public Legal Notice of 30 Day Period for Public Review of Agricultural Districts
LEGAL NOTICE

NOTICE OF A 30-DAY PERIOD FOR PUBLIC REVIEW OF THE MONROE COUNTY WESTERN AGRICULTURAL DISTRICTS No. 1, No. 2 and No. 5

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE, that Monroe County's Midwestern District No.1, Southwestern District No. 2, and Northwestern District No. 5 are proposed to be consolidated to form Western Agricultural District No. 5 (the "District"), encompassing 92,123 acres in portions of the Towns of Wheatland, Chili, Riga, Ogden, Parma, Sweden, Clarkson, and Hamlin. The districts were originally established between 1973 and 1976 pursuant to Article 25-AA of the New York State Agriculture and Markets Law.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE, that Monroe County is required to conduct a review of a district eight years after its creation and every eight years thereafter.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE, that a map of the Districts is on file and open to public inspection in the office of the Clerk of the Monroe County Legislature and online at the Monroe County Planning Division website: www.monroecounty.gov/farmland.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE, that there is hereby established a 30-day period for public review of the above-mentioned Districts, which period commences on March 23, 2016 and terminates on April 21, 2016. During this period, any municipalities and landowners within the Districts may propose modifications by adding land to or removing land from the Districts.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE, that any proposed modifications to the Districts must be submitted in writing to the Clerk of the Monroe County Legislature within this 30-day period for public review.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE, that the Monroe County Agricultural and Farmland Protection Board will hold a Public Information Meeting regarding the Districts at the Town of Ogden Community Center, 269 Ogden Center Road, Spencerport, New York, 14559, on Tuesday, March 22, 2016 at 7:00 p.m.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE, that at the termination of the 30-day period for public review, the Districts, as currently configured, and any proposed modifications will be submitted to the Monroe County Agricultural and Farmland Protection Board for review and recommendation to the Monroe County Legislature whether to continue, modify or terminate the Districts.

DATED: March 8, 2016

Jamie L. Slocum
Clerk of the Monroe County Legislature
407 County Office Building
39 W. Main Street
Rochester, NY 14614
(585) 753-1950
3/18/16
AFFIDAVIT OF PUBLICATION

STATE OF NEW YORK  
County of Monroe, ss.: 

The undersigned is the authorized designee of Suzanne F. Huetten/Publisher of The Daily Record, a daily newspaper published in Rochester, New York. A notice was published in said newspaper one times, commencing on 3/18/2016 and ending on 3/18/2016. The text of the notice as published in said newspaper is as set forth below, or in the annexed exhibit. This newspaper has been designated by the Clerk of Monroe County for this purpose.

Sworn to before me on this 18th day of March, 2016

[Signature]
Authorized Signer

Notary Public, State of New York

LEGAL NOTICE

NOTICE OF A 30-DAY PERIOD FOR PUBLIC REVIEW OF THE MONROE COUNTY WESTERN AGRICULTURAL DISTRICTS No. 1, No. 2 and No. 5

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE, that Monroe County’s Midwestern District No. 1, Southwestern District No. 2, and Northwestern District No. 5 are proposed to be consolidated to form Western Agricultural District No. 5 (the “District”), encompassing 92,123 acres in portions of the Towns of Wheatland, Chili, Riga, Ogden, Parma, Sweden, Clarkson, and Hamlin. The districts were originally established between 1973 and 1976 pursuant to Article 25-AA of the New York State Agriculture and Markets Law.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE, that Monroe County is required to conduct a review of a district eight years after its creation and every eight years thereafter.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE, that a map of the Districts is on file and open to public inspection in the office of the Clerk of the Monroe County Legislature and online at the Monroe County Planning Division web site: www.monroecounty.gov/farmland.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE, that there is hereby established a 30-day period for public review of the above-mentioned Districts, which period commences on March 23, 2016 and terminates on April 21, 2016. During this period, any municipalities and landowners within the Districts may propose modifications by adding land to or removing land from the Districts.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE, that any proposed modifications to the Districts must be submitted in writing to the Clerk of the Monroe County Legislature within this 30-day period for public review.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE, that the Monroe County Agricultural and Farmland Protection Board will hold a Public Information Meeting regarding the Districts at the Town of Ogden Community Center, 269 Ogden Center Road, Spencerport, New York, 14559, on Tuesday, March 22, 2016 at 7:00 p.m.

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE, that at the termination of the 30-day period for public review, the Districts, as currently configured, and any proposed modifications will be submitted to the Monroe County Agricultural and Farmland Protection Board for review and recommendation to the Monroe County Legislature whether to continue, modify or terminate the Districts.

DATED: March 8, 2016

Jamie L. Slocum
Clerk of the Monroe County Legislature
407 County Office Building
30 W. Main Street
Rochester, NY 14614-1476
(585) 753-1950
11020066 1-18-11

The Powers Building • 16 West Main Street Rochester, NY 14614 • (585) 232-6920
http://www.nydailyrecord.com
APPENDIX C

Proposed Modifications to
Monroe County Western Agriculture District #5
2016
SUMMARY OF 2016 MODIFICATIONS TO THE
MONROE COUNTY WESTERN AGRICULTURAL DISTRICT #5

Acres

Requests to be added to District (+) 77
Requests to be remove from District (-) -110
Total District modifications (+/-): -33

Size of District before 2016 eight-year review* 94,110

Additions as a result of requested modifications (+/-): -33

Size of District as a result of modifications: 94,077

* Based on Monroe County February 2016 Real Property Service data (93,777 acres) plus acreage added as part of 2016, 303-b annual addition process (333 acres).
## 2016 Modification Requests to Western Monroe County Agricultural Districts 8-year Review

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>District</th>
<th>Owner</th>
<th>Parcel Address</th>
<th>Town</th>
<th>Tax Lot No.</th>
<th>Addition or Removal</th>
<th>Acres</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SW</td>
<td>Waste Management of NY, LLC</td>
<td>850 Bovee Road</td>
<td>Riga</td>
<td>183.01-1-1.2</td>
<td>Removal</td>
<td>110</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SW</td>
<td>Ryan Platte</td>
<td>6037 Buffalo Road</td>
<td>Riga</td>
<td>143.02-1-20.1</td>
<td>Addition</td>
<td>0.736</td>
<td>Own 4.33 adjacent acres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MW</td>
<td>Hieu Luong and Hien Luong</td>
<td>4254 Lyell Road</td>
<td>Gates</td>
<td>103.14-1-15</td>
<td>Addition</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>Old Farmland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NW</td>
<td>Jack and Millie Edmond</td>
<td>3456 Latta Road</td>
<td>Greece</td>
<td>044.02-1-7.3</td>
<td>Addition</td>
<td>2.33</td>
<td>retail and growing operation for Green Acre Farm &amp; Nursery.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SW</td>
<td>Carole Thoms</td>
<td>124 Stryker Road</td>
<td>Chili</td>
<td>158.04-1-5.22</td>
<td>Addition</td>
<td>3.96</td>
<td>Pasture &amp; Woodland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MW</td>
<td>Robert and Ann Brongo</td>
<td>2185 Manitou Road</td>
<td>Ogden</td>
<td>087.04-1-13</td>
<td>Addition</td>
<td>54.6</td>
<td>Located N &amp; S of CSX RR w/2000 ft of canal front</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total 2016 Addition Requests** 76.926  
**Total 2016 Removal Requests** 110
APPENDIX D

Monroe County Western Agriculture District #5
Review Profile (RA-114)
New York State
Department of Agriculture and Markets

AGRICULTURAL DISTRICT REVIEW PROFILE

DISTRICT IDENTIFICATION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>County:</th>
<th>Monroe</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>District No.:</td>
<td>#5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Town(s) in District:</td>
<td>Chili, Clarkson, Gates, Greece, Hamlin, Ogden, Parma, Riga, Sweden, Wheatland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. acres in district:</td>
<td>94,077</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. acres in farms:</td>
<td>77210.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. acres cropped:</td>
<td>61922.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. acres owned by farmers:</td>
<td>47439.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. acres rented by farmers:</td>
<td>29771.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AGRICULTURAL DATA ANALYSIS

A. Since last review, number of acres in District

B. Since last review, number of acres in farms

Added: 2607
Increased: 3081

Deleted: 110
Decreased: 110

\(^1\text{Number of acres in farms represents the sum of acres owned by farmers and rented by farmers.}\)

(Attach additional sheets if necessary)
APPENDIX E

Short Environmental Assessment Form
Short Environmental Assessment Form  
Part 1 - Project Information

Instructions for Completing

Part 1 - Project Information. The applicant or project sponsor is responsible for the completion of Part 1. Responses become part of the application for approval or funding, are subject to public review, and may be subject to further verification. Complete Part 1 based on information currently available. If additional research or investigation would be needed to fully respond to any item, please answer as thoroughly as possible based on current information.

Complete all items in Part 1. You may also provide any additional information which you believe will be needed by or useful to the lead agency; attach additional pages as necessary to supplement any item.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Part 1 - Project and Sponsor Information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Name of Action or Project:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Review of Monroe County Western Agricultural District</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Project Location (describe, and attach a location map):</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Towns of Chili, Clarkson, Gates, Greece, Hamlin, Ogden, Parma, Riga, Sweden, and Wheatland. See Attached map.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Brief Description of Proposed Action:</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Add 5 parcels to three agricultural districts in Monroe County as follows:  
Northwest District: Greece, 3456 Latta Road  
Southwest District: Chili, 124 Stryker Road; Riga, 6037 Buffalo Road  
Midwest District: Gates, 4254 Lyell Road; Ogden, 2185 Manitou Road |
| Remove 1 parcel in the Southwest District: Riga, 653 Bovee Road |
| **Name of Applicant or Sponsor:**        |
| Monroe County |
| **Telephone:** 655-753-2037 |
| **E-Mail:** rfinnerty@monroecounty.gov |
| **Address:** 39 West Main Street |
| **City/PO:** Rochester |
| **State:** NY |
| **Zip Code:** 14614 |

1. Does the proposed action only involve the legislative adoption of a plan, local law, ordinance, administrative rule, or regulation?  
   If Yes, attach a narrative description of the intent of the proposed action and the environmental resources that may be affected in the municipality and proceed to Part 2. If no, continue to question 2.  
   **NO** **YES**

2. Does the proposed action require a permit, approval or funding from any other governmental Agency?  
   If Yes, list agency(s) name and permit or approval:  
   The Commissioner of the NYS Department of Agriculture and Markets certifies the addition of parcels to agricultural districts.  
   **NO** **YES**

3. a. Total acreage of the site of the proposed action?  
   b. Total acreage to be physically disturbed?  
   c. Total acreage (project site and any contiguous properties) owned or controlled by the applicant or project sponsor?  
   94.077 acres  
   0 acres  
   0 acres

4. Check all land uses that occur on, adjoining and near the proposed action.  
   - [ ] Urban  
   - [x] Rural (non-agriculture)  
   - [ ] Industrial  
   - [ ] Commercial  
   - [ ] Residential (suburban)  
   - [x] Forest  
   - [x] Agriculture  
   - [ ] Aquatic  
   - [ ] Other (specify):
5. Is the proposed action,  
a. A permitted use under the zoning regulations?  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NO</th>
<th>YES</th>
<th>N/A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

b. Consistent with the adopted comprehensive plan?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NO</th>
<th>YES</th>
<th>N/A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☑</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6. Is the proposed action consistent with the predominant character of the existing built or natural landscape?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NO</th>
<th>YES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7. Is the site of the proposed action located in, or does it adjoin, a state listed Critical Environmental Area?  
If Yes, identify: 850 Boose Road - Hotel Creek. Reason: Trout habitat & may be spawning ground.  
Agency: Riga. Town of: Date: 3-17-90

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NO</th>
<th>YES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

8. a. Will the proposed action result in a substantial increase in traffic above present levels?

b. Are public transportation service(s) available at or near the site of the proposed action?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NO</th>
<th>YES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☑</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NO</th>
<th>YES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NO</th>
<th>YES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

c. Are any pedestrian accommodations or bicycle routes available on or near site of the proposed action?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NO</th>
<th>YES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

9. Does the proposed action meet or exceed the state energy code requirements?  
If the proposed action will exceed requirements, describe design features and technologies:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NO</th>
<th>YES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

10. Will the proposed action connect to an existing public/private water supply?  
If No, describe method for providing potable water:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NO</th>
<th>YES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☑</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

11. Will the proposed action connect to existing wastewater utilities?  
If No, describe method for providing wastewater treatment:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NO</th>
<th>YES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☑</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

12. a. Does the site contain a structure that is listed on either the State or National Register of Historic Places?

b. Is the proposed action located in an archeological sensitive area?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NO</th>
<th>YES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☑</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

13. a. Does any portion of the site of the proposed action, or lands adjoining the proposed action, contain wetlands or other waterbodies regulated by a federal, state or local agency?  
b. Would the proposed action physically alter, or encroach into, any existing wetland or waterbody?  
If Yes, identify the wetland or waterbody and extent of alterations in square feet or acres:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NO</th>
<th>YES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☑</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

14. Identify the typical habitat types that occur on, or are likely to be found on the project site. Check all that apply:

- ☑ Shoreline
- ☑ Forest
- ☑ Agricultural/grasslands
- ☑ Early mid-successional
- ☑ Wetland
- ☐ Urban
- ☐ Suburban

15. Does the site of the proposed action contain any species of animal, or associated habitats, listed by the State or Federal government as threatened or endangered?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NO</th>
<th>YES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☑</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

16. Is the project site located in the 100 year flood plain?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NO</th>
<th>YES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☑</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

17. Will the proposed action create storm water discharge, either from point or non-point sources?  
If Yes,  
a. Will storm water discharges flow to adjacent properties?  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NO</th>
<th>YES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☑</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

b. Will storm water discharges be directed to established conveyance systems (runoff and storm drains)?  
If Yes, briefly describe:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NO</th>
<th>YES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
18. Does the proposed action include construction or other activities that result in the impoundment of water or other liquids (e.g. retention pond, waste lagoon, dam)?
   If Yes, explain purpose and size: ____________________________________________________________
   ☑️ ☐

19. Has the site of the proposed action or an adjoining property been the location of an active or closed solid waste management facility?
   If Yes, describe: ____________________________________________________________
   2185 Manitou Road - municipal c&d
   ☐ ☑

20. Has the site of the proposed action or an adjoining property been the subject of remediation (ongoing or completed) for hazardous waste?
   If Yes, describe: ____________________________________________________________
   ☑️ ☐

I AFFIRM THAT THE INFORMATION PROVIDED ABOVE IS TRUE AND ACCURATE TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE

Applicant/sponsor name: Monroe County __________________________ Date: __________________________

Signature: __________________________________________
Short Environmental Assessment Form  
Part 2 - Impact Assessment

Part 2 is to be completed by the Lead Agency.  
Answer all of the following questions in Part 2 using the information contained in Part 1 and other materials submitted by the project sponsor or otherwise available to the reviewer. When answering the questions the reviewer should be guided by the concept "Have my responses been reasonable considering the scale and context of the proposed action?"

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>No, or small impact may occur</th>
<th>Moderate to large impact may occur</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Will the proposed action create a material conflict with an adopted land use plan or zoning regulations?</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Will the proposed action result in a change in the use or intensity of use of land?</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Will the proposed action impair the character or quality of the existing community?</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Will the proposed action have an impact on the environmental characteristics that caused the establishment of a Critical Environmental Area (CEA)?</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Will the proposed action result in an adverse change in the existing level of traffic or affect existing infrastructure for mass transit, biking or walkway?</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>Will the proposed action cause an increase in the use of energy and it fails to incorporate reasonably available energy conservation or renewable energy opportunities?</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 7. | Will the proposed action impact existing:  
a. public/private water supplies? | ✓ |   |
|    | b. public/private wastewater treatment utilities? | ✓ |   |
| 8. | Will the proposed action impair the character or quality of important historic, archaeological, architectural or aesthetic resources? | ✓ |   |
| 9. | Will the proposed action result in an adverse change to natural resources (e.g., wetlands, waterbodies, groundwater, air quality, flora and fauna)? | ✓ |   |
| 10. | Will the proposed action result in an increase in the potential for erosion, flooding or drainage problems? | ✓ |   |
| 11. | Will the proposed action create a hazard to environmental resources or human health? | ✓ |   |
Short Environmental Assessment Form
Part 3 Determination of Significance

For every question in Part 2 that was answered "moderate to large impact may occur", or if there is a need to explain why a particular element of the proposed action may or will not result in a significant adverse environmental impact, please complete Part 3. Part 3 should, in sufficient detail, identify the impact, including any measures or design elements that have been included by the project sponsor to avoid or reduce impacts. Part 3 should also explain how the lead agency determined that the impact may or will not be significant. Each potential impact should be assessed considering its setting, probability of occurring, duration, irreversibility, geographic scope and magnitude. Also consider the potential for short-term, long-term and cumulative impacts.

EXPLAIN YES's

The modification of an agricultural district is classified as an Unlisted action as defined under Part 617.2 (ak). Monroe County completed a Short Environmental Assessment Form and no moderate to large impacts to the environment were identified therein. This action is consistent with goals and objectives of the affected towns and Monroe County. Some of the parcels have state and/or federal wetlands, floodplains, may contain an archeological sensitive or Critical Environmental area or have a confirmed waste site but this action does not impact those resources. The action of adding or removing property to an Agricultural District will not result in physical disturbances to any of these sites.

850 Bovee Road: May contain wetlands, located in an archeological site, located in 100 year flood plain, located in a critical environmental area
6037 Buffalo Road: May contain wetlands and located in an archeological site
4254 Lyell Road: May contain wetlands, located in 100 year flood plain
3456 Latta Road: May contain wetlands
124 Stryker Road: May contain wetlands, located in an archeological site, located in 100 year flood plain
2185 Manitou Road: May contain wetlands, located in 100 year flood plain, confirmed waste site

☐ Check this box if you have determined, based on the information and analysis above, and any supporting documentation, that the proposed action may result in one or more potentially large or significant adverse impacts and an environmental impact statement is required.

☑ Check this box if you have determined, based on the information and analysis above, and any supporting documentation, that the proposed action will not result in any significant adverse environmental impacts.

Monroe County
Name of Lead Agency
Cheryl Dinolfo
Print or Type Name of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency

County Executive
Date
Title of Responsible Officer
Signature of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency
Signature of Preparer (if different from Responsible Officer)
APPENDIX F

Agricultural and Farmland Protection Board Recommendation
April 28, 2016


The meeting called to order at 7:30 PM by R. Colby, Chair

Public forum: None

Matters for preliminary and final approval:

> R. King forwarded and discussed state assistance funding proposals (Farmland Protection Implementation Grant) being prepared by Genesee Land Trust on behalf of farm landowners, Bill Steimer and Ron Zarpentine. If awarded, the state assistance monies would be used in purchasing development rights on each property. All proposals are due by June 13, 2016. King noted that each preliminary proposal was consistent with town and county farmland protection plans. M. Greene moved to provide a letter of approval by AFPB for the Bill Steimer and Ron Zarpentine applications as well as any future applications as long as the proposals are consistent with the county FPP and the respective town’s FPP and/or comprehensive plan, seconded by R. Pickering, motion carried. Moore abstained. The board will be given an opportunity to review the final letter before being submitted to the applicant.

> R. Rollin moved to provide a letter of support/approval for a concept proposal for Farmland Protection Plan by the Town of Henrietta and any other towns that may also have an interest, seconded by T. Murphy, motion carried. Moore abstained. The board will be given an opportunity to review any letters of support/approval for FPP proposals.

> R. King presented the 2016 Annual Ag district addition report for approval. The report suggests acceptance of all landowner requests to be added. J. Moore moved to accept both the written and detailed narrative provided by R. King, seconded by M. DeMeyer, motion carried. The board will be given an opportunity to review the final report.

> R. King, T. Murphy, and R. Finnerty presented a draft report that included an overview of parcels to be added and removed from the Western Agricultural District. DeMeyer moved to approve the removal and addition of all requested parcels as presented, seconded by Moore, motion carried. The board will be given an opportunity to review the final report.

Informational matters:

> R. King provided an update on the proposed RGE gas line extension in the Town of Chili.

> R. King provided an update of MCDOT and MCWA project on Phillips Road.
October 7, 2016

To The Honorable
Monroe County Legislature
407 County Office Building
Rochester, New York 14614

Subject: Acceptance of the Aid to Localities Grant from the New York State Division of Criminal Justice Services for the Offices of the District Attorney and the Public Defender

Honorable Legislators:

This matter is being referred to Your Honorable Body at the request of District Attorney Sandra Doorley and Monroe County Public Defender Timothy Donaher.

I recommend that Your Honorable Body accept a grant from the New York State Division of Criminal Justice Services, in the amount of $630,300, for the Aid to Localities (ATL) Program, for the Offices of the District Attorney and the Public Defender, for the period of October 1, 2016 through September 30, 2017.

The ATL Grant program provides expedited felony case processing through the judicial system by funding part or all of the salary costs equivalent to five (5) full-time positions in the District Attorney’s Office, and six (6) full-time positions in the Public Defender’s Office. We have been notified of the funding amounts for the District Attorney, $371,100, and the Public Defender, $259,200. This will be the thirty-fourth year the County has received this grant. This year’s funding represents the same amount as the last twelve month period.

The specific legislative actions required are:

1. Authorize the County Executive, or her designee, to accept a $630,300 grant from, and to execute a contract and any amendments thereto with, the New York State Division of Criminal Justice Services, for the Aid to Localities Program, for the Offices of the District Attorney and the Public Defender, for the period of October 1, 2016 through September 30, 2017.

2. Amend the 2016 operating grant budget of the District Attorney’s Office by appropriating the sum of $278,325, fund 9300, funds center 2508010000, Major Felony Bureau.
3. Amend the 2016 operating grant budget of the Public Defender’s Office by appropriating the sum of $195,229, fund 9300, funds center 2601010000, Office of The Public Defender.

4. Authorize the County Executive to appropriate any subsequent years of the grant award in accordance with the grant terms, to reappropriate any unencumbered balances during the grant period according to the grantor requirements, and to make any necessary funding modifications within the grant guidelines to meet contractual commitments.

5. Should funding of this program be modified or terminated for any reason, the County Executive is hereby authorized to terminate or modify the program and, where applicable, to terminate or abolish some or all positions funded under such program. Any termination or abolishment of positions shall be in accordance with New York State Civil Service Law and, when applicable, the terms of any labor agreement affecting such positions.

Environmental assessments were completed for this grant and it was determined that there would be no significant effect on the environment.

Partial funding for this grant is included in the 2016 operating grant budget of the District Attorney, fund 9300, funds center 2508010000, Major Felony Bureau and the 2016 operating grant budget of the Public Defender, fund 9300, funds center 2601010000, Office of The Public Defender. The appropriated amount will adjust the current funding to that established by the grant.

This grant is 100% funded by the New York State Division of Criminal Justice Services. No additional net County support is required in the current Monroe County budget.

I recommend that this matter be referred to the appropriate committee(s) for favorable action by Your Honorable Body.

Sincerely,

Cheryl Dinolfo
Monroe County Executive

CD:cb
To The Honorable
Monroe County Legislature
407 County Office Building
Rochester, New York 14614

Subject: Acceptance of the Grant from the New York State Division of Criminal Justice Services for Domestic Violence Services in the District Attorney’s Office

Honorable Legislators:

This matter is being referred to Your Honorable Body at the request of District Attorney Sandra Doorley.

I recommend that Your Honorable Body accept grant from the New York State Division of Criminal Justice Services, in the amount of $50,000, for domestic violence services (formerly known as the Domestic Violence Bureau grant), in the District Attorney’s Office, for the period of October 1, 2016 through September 30, 2017.

This grant will fund the salary and benefits of an existing full-time Investigator position in the District Attorney’s Office. The Investigator will assist prosecutors by collecting statements and other evidence, and by providing support service to victims of domestic violence and their families, who may find the judicial process to be a traumatic experience. The Investigator orients victims to procedures, assists in arranging court appearances, and helps victims to obtain services from community organizations. This is the second year that the District Attorney’s Office has received this grant. This year’s funding represents the same amount as the last twelve month period.

The specific legislative actions required are:

1. Authorize the County Executive, or her designee, to accept a $50,000 grant from, and to execute a contract and any amendments thereto with, the New York State Division of Criminal Justice Services, for domestic violence services in the District Attorney’s Office, for the period of October 1, 2016 through September 30, 2017.
2. Amend the 2016 operating grant budget of the District Attorney's Office by appropriating the sum of $50,000 into fund 9300, funds center 2505010000, Special Victims Trial Division Administration.

3. Authorize the County Executive to appropriate any subsequent years of the grant award in accordance with the grant terms, to reappropriate any unencumbered balances during the grant period according to the grantor requirements, and to make any necessary funding modifications within the grant guidelines to meet contractual requirements.

4. Should funding of this program be modified or terminated for any reason, the County Executive is hereby authorized to terminate or modify the program and, where applicable, to terminate or abolish some or all positions funded under such program. Any termination or abolishment of positions shall be in accordance with New York State Civil Service law and, when applicable, the terms of any labor agreement affecting such positions.

Environmental assessments were completed for this action and it was determined that there would be no significant effect on the environment.

This grant is 100% funded by the New York State Division of Criminal Justice Services. No additional net County support is required in the current Monroe County budget.

I recommend that this matter be referred to the appropriate committee(s) for favorable action by Your Honorable Body.

Sincerely,

Cheryl Dinolfo
Monroe County Executive

CD:db
To The Honorable
Monroe County Legislature
407 County Office Building
Rochester, New York 14614

Subject: Acceptance of a Grant from the New York State Governor’s Traffic Safety Committee for the Child Passenger Safety Program (Department of Public Safety)

Honorable Legislators:

I recommend that Your Honorable Body accept a grant from the New York State Governor’s Traffic Safety Committee, in the amount of $3,500, for the Child Passenger Safety Program, for the period of October 1, 2016 through September 30, 2017.

This grant will fund the purchase of child safety seats, supplies and handouts for the Office of Traffic Safety’s fitting station. A staff member, through a monthly fitting station, will inspect and oversee the installation of child safety seats along with increasing the knowledge of Monroe County residents on the proper use and installation of child safety seats. This will be the twelfth year the County has received this grant. This year’s funding represents a decrease of $6,500 from last year.

The specific legislative actions required are:

1. Authorize the County Executive, or her designee, to accept a $3,500 grant from, and to execute a contract and any amendments thereto with, the New York State Governor’s Traffic Safety Committee, for the Child Passenger Safety Program, for the period of October 1, 2016 through September 30, 2017.

2. Amend the 2016 operating grant budget of the Department of Public Safety by appropriating the sum of $3,500 into fund 9300, funds center 2405100000, Office of Traffic Safety Program.
3. Authorize the County Executive to appropriate any subsequent years of the grant award in accordance with the grant terms, to reappropriate any unencumbered balances during the grant period according to the grantor requirements and to make any necessary funding modifications within grant guidelines to meet contractual commitments.

4. Should funding of this program be modified or terminated for any reason, the County Executive is hereby authorized to terminate or modify the program and, where applicable, to terminate or abolish some or all positions funded under such program. Any termination or abolishment of positions shall be in accordance with New York State Civil Service Law and, when applicable, the terms of any labor agreement affecting such positions.

Environmental assessments were completed for this action and it was determined that there would be no significant effect on the environment.

This grant is 100% funded by New York State Governor’s Traffic Safety Committee. No net County support is required in the current Monroe County budget.

I recommend that this matter be referred to the appropriate committee(s) for favorable action by Your Honorable Body.

Sincerely,

Cheryl Dinolfo
Monroe County Executive

CD:db
To The Honorable  
Monroe County Legislature  
407 County Office Building  
Rochester, New York 14614

Subject: Amend the 2016-2021 Capital Improvement Program, the 2016 Capital Budget and Bond Resolution 329 of 2015 to Expand the Scope and Increase the Project Authorization of the Fleet Center Improvements Project

Honorable Legislators:

I recommend that Your Honorable Body amend the 2016-2021 Capital Improvement Program, the 2016 Capital Budget and Bond Resolution 329 of 2015 to expand the scope and increase the project authorization of the Fleet Center Improvements project.

Monroe County’s Fleet Center is located at 145 Paul Road, southeast of and adjacent to the Greater Rochester International Airport. Recent work at the complex involved the construction of the new Monroe County Pure Waters operations facility and Sheriffs special operations building. This project includes phased improvements to various Fleet Center infrastructure including site utilities, parking, lighting and security. Funding for the project is included in the County’s Capital Improvement Program as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year Authorization</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1st Year Authorization (2016)</td>
<td>$600,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd Year Authorization (2018)</td>
<td>$2,400,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>$3,000,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The current authorized scope of the project is for planning and design only in the amount of $600,000, which was authorized pursuant to Bond Resolution 329 of 2015. The County has revised the total estimated cost of the project from $3,000,000 to $4,200,000 based on an updated scope of work, and desires to construct a secure material tool storage building and equipment yard in advance of the overall site utilities, parking, lighting and security improvements in 2018. It is, therefore, necessary to expand the scope of the project to include construction and to increase the 2016 project authorization in the amount of $1,200,000, from $600,000 to $1,800,000.

This project is scheduled to be considered by the Monroe County Planning Board on October 27, 2016.
The specific legislative actions required are:

1. Amend the 2016-2021 Capital Improvement Program to expand the scope of the “Fleet Center Improvements” project to include construction and provide for a $1,200,000 increase in the cost of the project, making the total project cost $1,800,000.

2. Amend the 2016 Capital Budget to expand the scope of the project to include construction and to increase funding for the “Fleet Center Improvements” project, capital fund 1791, in the amount of $1,200,000 from $600,000 to $1,800,000, for a total project authorization of $1,800,000.

3. Amend Bond Resolution 329 of 2015 to expand the scope of the project to include construction and to increase financing for the “Fleet Center Improvements” project, capital fund 1791, in the amount of $1,200,000 from $600,000 to $1,800,000, for a total authorization of $1,800,000.

Environmental assessments were completed for this action and it was determined that there would be no significant effect on the environment.

Funding for this project, consistent with authorized uses, will be available in capital fund 1791 once the additional financing authorization requested herein is approved and any subsequent capital fund(s) created for the same intended purpose. No additional net County support is required in the current Monroe County budget.

I recommend that this matter be referred to the appropriate committee(s) for favorable action by Your Honorable Body.

Sincerely,

Cheryl Dinolfo
Monroe County Executive

CD:db
To The Honorable
Monroe County Legislature
407 County Office Building
Rochester, New York 14614

Subject: Approval of the Rose Hill Estates Gates-Chili-Ogden Sewer District Extension

Honorable Legislators:

I recommend that Your Honorable Body approve the Rose Hill Estates Gates-Chili-Ogden Sewer District ("District") Extension.

The District has been requested to include in the District approximately 307 acres located in the Town of Chili along Beaver Road extending 250 feet east of Archer Rd and 1,660 feet west of Archer Road. The northern boundary is adjacent to the CSX railroad tracks and the southern boundary is adjacent to Black Creek. The area consists of twenty-four (24) neighboring properties listed below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ADDRESS</th>
<th>OWNER</th>
<th>TAX ACCOUNT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 QCI Drive</td>
<td>100 Beaver Road, LLC</td>
<td>146.03-1-8.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 Beaver Road</td>
<td>George C. Peterson Jr.</td>
<td>146.04-1-26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75 Beaver Road</td>
<td>Forest Creek Equity Corp.</td>
<td>159.01-1-3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85 Beaver Road</td>
<td>Gates Chili Congregation</td>
<td>146.03-1-19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>89 Beaver Road</td>
<td>Forest Creek Equity Corp.</td>
<td>159.01-1-2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95 Beaver Road</td>
<td>Wesley M. &amp; Aimee L. Lyon</td>
<td>146.03-1-23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99 Beaver Road</td>
<td>Jordon T. Wood &amp; Lisa Lybik</td>
<td>146.03-1-14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>105 Beaver Road</td>
<td>Gary A. &amp; Christine Johnson</td>
<td>146.03-1-22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Beaver Road Ext.</td>
<td>Glenn H. Saile &amp; Deborah S. Koster</td>
<td>146.04-1-21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 Beaver Road Ext.</td>
<td>John L. &amp; Sara Ives</td>
<td>146.04-1-22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 Beaver Road Ext.</td>
<td>Roy B. &amp; Brenda A. Short</td>
<td>146.04-1-23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 Beaver Road Ext.</td>
<td>Roy B. Short</td>
<td>146.04-1-24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 Beaver Road Ext.</td>
<td>George &amp; Joyce A. Morone</td>
<td>146.04-1-25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>242 Archer Road</td>
<td>Marion E. Beiesenbach</td>
<td>146.04-1-17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>243 Archer Road</td>
<td>Pearl Land Development Corp.</td>
<td>146.04-1-30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>244 Archer Road</td>
<td>James H. &amp; Pamela D. Slingerland</td>
<td>146.04-1-16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>246 Archer Road</td>
<td>William R. Haniford Jr.</td>
<td>146.04-1-15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>248 Archer Road</td>
<td>Timothy Meisenzahl</td>
<td>146.04-1-14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>254 Archer Road</td>
<td>Barbara Lee Denigris</td>
<td>146.04-1-12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>256 Archer Road</td>
<td>Gayle R. Peters</td>
<td>146.04-1-11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>257 Archer Road</td>
<td>David F. &amp; Valerie D. Ketchum</td>
<td>146.04-1-20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>268 Archer Road</td>
<td>Jerald &amp; Lorri Patterson</td>
<td>146.04-1-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>274 Archer Road</td>
<td>Nicole L. Leach &amp; Brian C. Leyer</td>
<td>146.04-1-09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>275 Archer Road</td>
<td>Chili Avenue Associates, LLC</td>
<td>146.01-1-29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The District extension is driven by a request from Forest Creek Equity Corporation to develop two (2) parcels with an aggregate area of 176.2 acres for a proposed 161 lot single family residential subdivision entitled Rose Hill Estates, the sanitary sewer to be installed to service the Rose Hill Estates subdivision will include a pump station and force main all of which is to be funded and constructed by the developer.

The proposed District Extension will not require that any funds be expended by the District. The hookup fees per connection will be the same hookup fees as are charged elsewhere in the District. Future capital, maintenance and operation charges will be assessed against the District Extension using the same formula to assess the rest of the District. A map and description for the proposed extension, prepared on behalf of the Town of Chili are on file at the Office of the Clerk of the Legislature.

The specific Legislative actions required are:

1. Subject to the approval and recommendation of the Administrative Board of the Gates-Chili-Ogden Sewer District, adopt a resolution calling a public hearing for the proposed Gates-Chili-Ogden Sewer District Extension and requiring publication of the public hearing in the official publication of Monroe County.

2. Following the public hearing, subject to the approval of the Administrative Board, adopt a resolution finding:
   a. that the proposed sewerage facility is adequate and appropriate;
   b. that all of the property and property owners within the Gates-Chili-Ogden Sewer District and Gates-Chili-Ogden Sewer District Extension are benefited thereby;
   c. that all the property and property owners benefited are included within the limits of the proposed Gates-Chili-Ogden Sewer District;
   d. that it is in the public interest to establish the Gates-Chili-Ogden Sewer District Extension; and
   e. that the proposed assessment and allocation of costs of the sewerage facility represent as nearly as may be the proportionate amount of benefit which the several lots and parcels of land situated in the Gates-Chili-Ogden Sewer District will derive therefrom.

3. Following the public hearing, adopt a resolution approving the establishment of the Gates-Chili-Ogden Sewer District Extension subject to the approval of the State Comptroller, if necessary, on the petition.

Environmental assessments were completed for this action and it was determined that there would be no significant effect on the environment.

This proposal will have no impact on the revenues or expenditures of the current Monroe County budget.

I recommend that this matter be referred to the appropriate committee(s) for favorable action by Your Honorable Body.

Sincerely,

Cheryl Dinolfo
Monroe County Executive
Schedule A
Property Description

"Archer Road/Beaver Road"
Sewer Extension

All that tract or parcel of land situated in Part of Town Lot 134 & 135 in East Pultney Tract - John Smith Allotment, Part of Lot 162 & 163, South Gore, E. Pultney Tract, Fitzgerald's Allotment Township 2, Range 1 in the Mill Seat Tract, Phelps & Gorman Purchase Town of Chili, Monroe County, and State of New York, and being more particularly described as follows:

Beginning at the Centerline of Beaver Road right of way (R.O.W.) line and westerly property line extension of 105 Beaver Road, Tax Map # 146.03-1-23 to the centerline of Beaver Road, also being the easterly boundary of the existing "Beaver Road/County Route 127 Sewer Extension", being the POINT OF BEGINNING (P.O.B.); thence,

1. South 85° 37' 46" East a distance of 270.00 feet +/- to a point also being the centerline distance along the existing "Beaver Road/County Route 127 Sewer Extension"; thence,

2. North 02° 22' 14" East a distance of 1860 feet +/- along the westerly boundary of 1 QCI Drive, Tax Map # 146.03-1-8.005 to a point also being along the existing boundary of "Beaver Road/County Route 127 Sewer Extension"; thence,

3. Easterly along the northerly boundary of 1 QCI Drive, Tax Map # 146.03-1-8.005 and 243 Archer Road, Tax Map # 146.03-1-30 a distance of 1390.28 feet +/- to the easterly right of way (R.O.W.) of Archer Road to a point also being along the existing boundary of "The Links at Black Creek Sewer Extension"; thence,

4. South 03° 29' 20" West a distance of 900.00 feet +/- along the easterly right of way (R.O.W.) of Archer Road also along the existing boundary of "The Links at Black Creek Sewer Extension"; thence,

5. North 87° 58' 13" East a distance of 223.63 feet +/- along the northerly boundary of 242 Archer Road, Tax Map # 146.04-1-17 to a point also being along the existing boundary of "The Links at Black Creek Sewer Extension"; thence,

6. South 03° 29' 20" West a distance of 399.40 feet +/- along the easterly boundary of 242 Archer Road, Tax Map # 146.04-1-17, 244 Archer Road, Tax Map # 146.04-1-16, 246 Archer Road, Tax Map # 146.04-1-15, 248 Archer Road, Tax Map # 146.04-1-14; to a point also being along the existing boundary of "The Links at Black Creek Sewer Extension"; thence,
Schedule A
Property Description

"Archer Road/Beaver Road"
Sewer Extension

7. North 85° 02' 20" West a distance of 108.18 feet+/- along the northerly boundary of 254 Archer Road, Tax Map # 146.04-1-12 to a point also being along the existing boundary of "The Links at Black Creek Sewer Extension"; thence,

8. South 04° 41' 20" East a distance of 398.98 feet+/- along the northerly boundary of 254 Archer Road, Tax Map # 146.04-1-12 to a point also being along the existing boundary of "The Links at Black Creek Sewer Extension"; thence,

9. South 85° 02' 20" West a distance of 138.87 feet+/- along the southerly boundary of 254 Archer Road, Tax Map # 146.04-1-12 to a point also being along the existing boundary of "The Links at Black Creek Sewer Extension"; thence,

10. South 04° 41' 20" West a distance of 100.00 feet+/- along the southerly boundary of 274 Archer Road, Tax Map # 146.04-1-09 to a point also being along the existing boundary of "The Links at Black Creek Sewer Extension"; to a point; thence,

11. South 85° 02' 20" West a distance of 254.32 feet+/- along the southerly boundary of 274 Archer Road, Tax Map # 146.04-1-09 to a point also being along the existing boundary of "The Links at Black Creek Sewer Extension"; thence,

12. South 02° 29' 05" East a distance of 467.40 feet+/- along the easterly right of way (R.O.W.) of Archer Road and Tax Map # 146.04-1-08 to a point also being along the existing boundary of "The Links at Black Creek Sewer Extension"; thence,

13. Northwesterly R=2905.00'+/- a distance of 195 feet +/‐ across Archer Road right of way (R.O.W.) to a point on the west side of Archer Road R.O.W. also being the southeast property corner of 275 Archer Road, Tax Map # 146.04-1-29; thence,

14. Westerly along the southerly property line of 275 Archer Road, Tax Map # 146.04-1-29 R=2905.00 feet +/‐ a distance of 724.87'+/- feet also being the northerly right of way (R.O.W.) of Beaver Road (County RTI. 127) to the southwesterly property corner of 275 Archer Road and the westerly right of way line of Beaver Road Extension; thence,
Schedule A
Property Description

"Archer Road/Beaver Road"
Sewer Extension

15. South 49° 49' 36" West a distance of 100.97 feet +/- across Beaver Road right of way (R.O.W.) to a point also being the northeasterly property corner of 75 Beaver Road on the southerly right of way line of Beaver Road; thence,

16. South 00° 59' 44" West a distance of 2423.25 feet +/- along the easterly boundary of 75 Beaver Road, Tax Map # 159.02-1-3.1 to a point; thence,

17. Southwesterly along the centerline of Black Creek a distance of 2673.00 feet +/- along the southerly boundary of 75 Beaver Road, Tax Map # 159.01-1-3.1 to a point; thence,

18. Southwesterly along the centerline of Black Creek a distance of 2373.00 feet +/- along the southerly boundary of 89 Beaver Road, Tax Map # 159.02-1-2.1 to a point; thence,

19. North 87° 34' 28" East a distance of 406 feet +/- along the southeasterly boundary of 89 Beaver Road, Tax Map # 159.02-1-2.1 to a point; thence,

20. North 02° 22' 14" East a distance of 2364.50 feet +/- along the westerly boundary of 89 Beaver Road, Tax Map # 159.02-1-2.1 and 105 Beaver Road Tax Map # 146.03-1-23 to the POINT OF BEGINNING (P.O.B.).

BEING 355+/- ACRES

All as shown on plan prepared by D.S.B. Engineers & Architects, P.C., Drawing Title "Proposed Properties to be included in "Archer Road/Beaver Road" Sewer Extension. Project No. 15-0165, dated 10/2016.
October 7, 2016

To The Administrative Board of the
Gates-Chili-Ogden Sewer District
407 County Office Building
Rochester, New York 14614

Subject: Approval of the Rose Hill Estates Gates-Chili-Ogden Sewer District Extension

Honorable Legislators:

I recommend that the Administrative Board of the Gates-Chili-Ogden Sewer District approve the Rose Hill Estates Gates-Chili-Ogden Sewer District ("District") Extension.

The District has been requested to include in the District approximately 355 acres located in the Town of Chili along Beaver Road extending 250 feet east of Archer Rd and 1,660 feet west of Archer Road. The northern boundary is adjacent to the CSX railroad tracks and the southern boundary is adjacent to Black Creek. The area consists of twenty-four (24) neighboring properties listed below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ADDRESS</th>
<th>OWNER</th>
<th>TAX ACCOUNT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 QCI Drive</td>
<td>100 Beaver Road, LLC</td>
<td>146.03-1-8.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 Beaver Road</td>
<td>George C. Peterson Jr.</td>
<td>146.04-1-26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75 Beaver Road</td>
<td>Forest Creek Equity Corp.</td>
<td>159.01-1-3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85 Beaver Road</td>
<td>Gates Chili Congregation</td>
<td>146.03-1-19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>89 Beaver Road</td>
<td>Forest Creek Equity Corp.</td>
<td>159.01-1-2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95 Beaver Road</td>
<td>Wesley M. &amp; Aimee L. Lyon</td>
<td>146.03-1-23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99 Beaver Road</td>
<td>Jordon T. Wood &amp; Lisa Lybik</td>
<td>146.03-1-14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>105 Beaver Road</td>
<td>Gary A. &amp; Christine Johnson</td>
<td>146.03-1-22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Beaver Road Ext.</td>
<td>Glenn H. Saile &amp; Deborah S. Koster</td>
<td>146.04-1-21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 Beaver Road Ext.</td>
<td>John L. &amp; Sara Ives</td>
<td>146.04-1-22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 Beaver Road Ext.</td>
<td>Roy B. &amp; Brenda A. Short</td>
<td>146.04-1-23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 Beaver Road Ext.</td>
<td>Roy B. Short</td>
<td>146.04-1-24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 Beaver Road Ext.</td>
<td>George &amp; Joyce A. Morone</td>
<td>146.04-1-25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>242 Archer Road</td>
<td>Marion E. Beiesenbach</td>
<td>146.04-1-17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>243 Archer Road</td>
<td>Pearl Land Development Corp.</td>
<td>146.04-1-30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>244 Archer Road</td>
<td>James H. &amp; Pamela D. Slingerland</td>
<td>146.04-1-16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>246 Archer Road</td>
<td>William R. Haniford Jr.</td>
<td>146.04-1-15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>248 Archer Road</td>
<td>Timothy Meisenzahl</td>
<td>146.04-1-14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>254 Archer Road</td>
<td>Barbara Lee Denigris</td>
<td>146.04-1-12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>256 Archer Road</td>
<td>Gayle R. Peters</td>
<td>146.04-1-11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>257 Archer Road</td>
<td>David F. &amp; Valerie D. Ketchum</td>
<td>146.04-1-20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>268 Archer Road</td>
<td>Jerald &amp; Lorri Patterson</td>
<td>146.04-1-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>274 Archer Road</td>
<td>Nicole L. Leach &amp; Brian C. Leyer</td>
<td>146.04-1-09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>275 Archer Road</td>
<td>Chili Avenue Associates, LLC</td>
<td>146.01-1-29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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The District extension is driven by a request from Forest Creek Equity Corporation to develop two (2) parcels with an aggregate area of 176.2 acres for a proposed 161 lot single family residential subdivision entitled Rose Hill Estates, the sanitary sewer to be installed to service the Rose Hill Estates subdivision will include a pump station and force main all of which is to be funded and constructed by the developer.

The proposed District Extension will not require that any funds be expended by the District. The hookup fees per connection will be the same hookup fees as are charged elsewhere in the District. Future capital, maintenance and operation charges will be assessed against the District Extension using the same formula to assess the rest of the District. A map and description for the proposed extension, prepared on behalf of the Town of Chili, are on file at the Office of the Clerk of the Legislature.

The specific Administrative Board actions required are:

1. Subject to the approval and recommendation of the Administrative Board of the Gates-Chili-Ogden Sewer District, adopt a resolution calling a public hearing for the proposed Gates-Chili-Ogden Sewer District Extension and requiring publication of the public hearing in the official publication of Monroe County.

2. Following the public hearing, subject to the approval of the Administrative Board, adopt a resolution finding:
   a. that the proposed sewerage facility is adequate and appropriate;
   b. that all of the property and property owners within the Gates-Chili-Ogden Sewer District and Gates-Chili-Ogden Sewer District Extension are benefited thereby;
   c. that all the property and property owners benefited are included within the limits of the proposed Gates-Chili-Ogden Sewer District;
   d. that it is in the public interest to establish the Gates-Chili-Ogden Sewer District Extension; and
   e. that the proposed assessment and allocation of costs of the sewerage facility represent as nearly as may be the proportionate amount of benefit which the several lots and parcels of land situated in the Gates-Chili-Ogden Sewer District will derive therefrom.

3. Following the public hearing, adopt a resolution approving the establishment of the Gates-Chili-Ogden Sewer District Extension subject to the approval of the State Comptroller, if necessary, on the petition.

Environmental assessments were completed for this action and it was determined that there would be no significant effect on the environment.

This proposal will have no impact on the revenues or expenditures of the current Monroe County budget.

I recommend that this matter receive favorable action by the Administrative Board of the Gates-Chili-Ogden Sewer District.

Sincerely,

Cheryl Dinofo
Monroe County Executive
Schedule A
Property Description

"Archer Road/Beaver Road"
Sewer Extension

All that tract or parcel of land situated in Part of Town Lot 134 & 135 in East Pultney Tract – John Smith Allotment; Part of Lot 162 & 163, South Gore, E. Pultney Tract, Fitzgerald's Allotment Township 2, Range 1 in the Mill Seat Tract, Phelps & Gorman Purchase Town of Chili, Monroe County, and State of New York, and being more particularly described as follows:

Beginning at the Centerline of Beaver Road right of way (R.O.W.) line and westerly property line extension of 105 Beaver Road, Tax Map # 146.03-1-23 to the centerline of Beaver Road, also being the easterly boundary of the existing “Beaver Road/County Route 127 Sewer Extension”, being the POINT OF BEGINNING (P.O.B.); thence,

1. South 85° 37’ 46” East a distance of 270.00 feet+/- to a point also being the centerline distance along the existing “Beaver Road/County Route 127 Sewer Extension”; thence,

2. North 02° 22’ 14” East a distance of 1860 feet +/- along the westerly boundary of 1 QCI Drive, Tax Map # 146.03-1-8.005 to a point also being along the existing boundary of “Beaver Road/County Route 127 Sewer Extension”; thence,

3. Easterly along the northerly boundary of 1 QCI Drive, Tax Map # 146.03-1-8.005 and 243 Archer Road, Tax Map # 146.03-1-30 a distance of 1390.28 feet+/- to the easterly right of way (R.O.W.) of Archer Road to a point also being along the existing boundary of “The Links at Black Creek Sewer Extension”; thence,

4. South 03° 29’ 20” West a distance of 900.00 feet +/- along the easterly right of way (R.O.W.) of Archer Road also along the existing boundary of “The Links at Black Creek Sewer Extension”; thence,

5. North 87° 58’ 13” East a distance of 223.63 feet+/- along the northerly boundary of 242 Archer Road, Tax Map # 146.04-1-17 to a point also being along the existing boundary of “The Links at Black Creek Sewer Extension”; thence,

6. South 03° 29’ 20” West a distance of 399.40 feet+/- along the easterly boundary of 242 Archer Road, Tax Map # 146.04-1-17, 244 Archer Road, Tax Map # 146.04-1-16, 246 Archer Road, Tax Map # 146.04-1-15, 248 Archer Road, Tax Map # 146.04-1-14; to a point also being along the existing boundary of “The Links at Black Creek Sewer Extension”; thence,
Schedule A
Property Description

"Archer Road/Beaver Road"
Sewer Extension

7. North 85° 02' 20" West a distance of 108.18 feet+/- along the northerly boundary of 254 Archer Road, Tax Map # 146.04-1-12 to a point also being along the existing boundary of "The Links at Black Creek Sewer Extension"; thence,

8. South 04° 41' 20" East a distance of 398.98 feet+/- along the northerly boundary of 254 Archer Road, Tax Map # 146.04-1-12 to a point also being along the existing boundary of "The Links at Black Creek Sewer Extension"; thence,

9. South 85° 02' 20" West a distance of 138.07 feet+/- along the southerly boundary of 254 Archer Road, Tax Map # 146.04-1-12 to a point also being along the existing boundary of "The Links at Black Creek Sewer Extension"; thence,

10. South 04° 41' 20" West a distance of 100.00 feet+/- along the southerly boundary of 274 Archer Road, Tax Map # 146.04-1-19 to a point also being along the existing boundary of "The Links at Black Creek Sewer Extension"; to a point; thence,

11. South 85° 02' 20" West a distance of 254.32 feet+/- along the southerly boundary of 274 Archer Road, Tax Map # 146.04-1-19 to a point also being along the existing boundary of "The Links at Black Creek Sewer Extension"; thence,

12. South 02° 29' 05" East a distance of 467.40 feet+/- along the easterly right of way (R.O.W.) of Archer Road and Tax Map # 146.04-1-19 to a point also being along the existing boundary of "The Links at Black Creek Sewer Extension"; thence,

13. Northwesterly R=2905.00+/- a distance of 195 feet+/- across Archer Road right of way (R.O.W.) to a point on the west side of Archer Road R.O.W. also being the southeast property corner of 275 Archer Road, Tax Map # 146.04-1-29; thence,

14. Westerly along the southerly property line of 275 Archer Road, Tax Map # 146.04-1-29 R=2905.00 feet+/- a distance of 724.87+/- feet also being the northerly right of way (R.O.W.) of Beaver Road (County RTE. 127) to the southwesterly property corner of 275 Archer Road and the westerly right of way line of Beaver Road Extension; thence,
Schedule A
Property Description

"Archer Road/Beaver Road"
Sewer Extension

15. South 49° 49' 36" West a distance of 100.97 feet +/- across Beaver Road right of way (R.O.W.) to a point also being the northeasterly property corner of 75 Beaver Road on the southerly right of way line of Beaver Road; thence,

16. South 00° 59' 44" West a distance of 2423.25 feet +/- along the easterly boundary of 75 Beaver Road, Tax Map # 159.02-1-3.1 to a point; thence,

17. Southwesterly along the centerline of Black Creek a distance of 2673.00 feet +/- along the southerly boundary of 75 Beaver Road, Tax Map # 159.01-1-3.1 to a point; thence,

18. Southwesterly along the centerline of Black Creek a distance of 2373.00 feet +/- along the southerly boundary of 89 Beaver Road, Tax Map # 159.02-1-2.1 to a point; thence,

19. North 87° 34' 28" East a distance of 406 feet +/- along the southeasterly boundary of 89 Beaver Road, Tax Map # 159.02-1-2.1 to a point; thence,

20. North 02° 22' 14" East a distance of 2364.50 feet +/- along the westerly boundary of 89 Beaver Road, Tax Map # 159.02-1-2.1 and 105 Beaver Road Tax Map # 146.03-1-23 to the POINT OF BEGINNING (P.O.B.).

BEING 355 +/- ACRES

All as shown on plan prepared by D.S.B. Engineers & Architects, P.C., Drawing Title "Proposed Properties to be included in "Archer Road/Beaver Road" Sewer Extension. Project No. 15-0165, dated 10/2016.
To The Honorable  
Monroe County Legislature  
407 County Office Building  
Rochester, New York 14614  

Subject: Authorize the Acquisition of Interests in Real Property for the Culvert Replacement Project over Little Black Creek and the Black Creek Tributary on Stony Point Road in the Town of Ogden  

Honorable Legislators:  

I recommend that Your Honorable Body authorize the acquisition of interests in real property for the culvert replacement project over Little Black Creek and the Black Creek Tributary on Stony Point Road in the Town of Ogden from the property owners described as follows:  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parcel</th>
<th>Owner</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Map 1</td>
<td>The Rubenstein Farm, LLC PO Box 306 Kenilworth, IL 60043</td>
<td>$250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Map 2</td>
<td>Suzanne M. Leiby n/k/a Suzanne M. Keyes 630 Stony Point Road Spencerport, NY 14559</td>
<td>$570</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Map 3</td>
<td>Leslie A. Krause 127 Stony Point Road Rochester, NY 14624</td>
<td>$450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Map 4</td>
<td>Joseph P. Gissi 138 Stony Point Road Rochester, NY 14624</td>
<td>$250</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The specific legislative action required is to authorize the County Executive, or her designee, to acquire the referenced property interests and execute all documents necessary for the culvert replacement project over Little Black Creek and the Black Creek Tributary on Stony Point Road, tax identification numbers 131.01-1-7, 116.03-2-13, 132.01-2-4 and 117.03-3-9.8, in the Town of Ogden by contract and/or the Eminent Domain Procedure Law, along with any amendments for unanticipated damages, within the total capital fund(s) appropriation.

Environmental assessments will be completed prior to Your Honorable Body taking final action on this matter.

Funding for these acquisitions, consistent with authorized uses, is included in capital fund 1701 and any capital fund(s) created for the same intended purpose. No additional net County support is required in the current Monroe County budget.

The records in the Office of the Monroe County Treasury have indicated that the property owners listed above do not owe any delinquent Monroe County property taxes.

I recommend that this matter be referred to the appropriate committee(s) for favorable action by Your Honorable Body.

Sincerely,

Cheryl Dinolfo
Monroe County Executive
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To The Honorable
Monroe County Legislature
407 County Office Building
Rochester, New York 14614

Subject: Amend Resolution 389 of 2015 to Contract for Monroe County Office for the Aging Programs in 2015 to Extend the Time Period of the Contract with Lifespan of Greater Rochester, Inc.

Honorable Legislators:

I recommend that Your Honorable Body amend Resolution 389 of 2015 to extend the time period of the contract with Lifespan of Greater Rochester, Inc., as a subcontractor listed in Attachment A for Monroe County Office for the Aging Programs in 2015, through December 31, 2016.

New York State Office for the Aging has requested an extension for services relating to the implementation of its NY Connects Expansion and Enhancement/Balancing Incentive Program as it transitions to create a state-wide database. Lifespan of Greater Rochester, Inc., a subcontractor listed in Attachment A of Resolution 389 of 2015, will assist with updating data entry for any calls that took place during the blackout period for transitioning from a local database to a new statewide client database. Lifespan of Greater Rochester, Inc. will also increase staffing and equipment to meet the needs of individuals seeking information and assistance on long term care services so that individuals can live independently and remain at home in their communities.

The specific legislative action required is to amend Resolution 389 of 2015 to extend the time period of the contract with Lifespan of Greater Rochester, Inc., as a subcontractor listed in Attachment A for Monroe County Office for the Aging Programs in 2015, through December 31, 2016.

Environmental assessments were completed for this grant and it was determined that there would be no significant effect on the environment.
Funding for this contract is included in the operating grant budget of the Monroe County Department of Human Services, Office for the Aging, fund 9001, funds centers 5501059300, Aging Contract Services.

No additional net County support is required in the current Monroe County Budget.

Lifespan of Greater Rochester, Inc. is a not-for-profit agency, and the records in the Office of the Monroe County Treasury have indicated that it does not owe any delinquent Monroe County property taxes.

I recommend that this matter be referred to the appropriate committee(s) for favorable action by Your Honorable Body.

Sincerely,

Cheryl Pinolfo
Monroe County Executive
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vendor and Program Services</th>
<th>Vendor Total</th>
<th>Program Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Adult Day Services</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catholic Family Center:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EISEP Respite Program</td>
<td>107,000</td>
<td>These are social model adult day care programs that help physically and cognitively impaired frail older persons to continue living in the community and also provides respite services for caregivers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rochester Regional Health Systems:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Park Place Southwest</td>
<td>111,336</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rochester Regional Health Systems:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult Day Services</td>
<td>71,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ancillary Services (EISEP Program)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catholic Family Center:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In-Home Safety Modifications,</td>
<td></td>
<td>This is a statewide In-Home Services Program for the focus of eligible services initiated to provide in-home options for the elderly. The intent is to allow the elderly to remain in their homes as long as possible through assistive equipment, respite care, meal services and other in-home services.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal Emergency Response Systems</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assistive Devices, In-Home Meal Service</td>
<td>132,972</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Caregiver Assistance &amp; Resources</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alzheimer's Disease and Related Disorders Association: Rochester Chapter, Inc.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Caregiver education programs, counseling, outreach, training and caregiver support groups. Support group services also offered to those diagnosed with early onset of Alzheimer's disease.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caregivers Education and Counseling</td>
<td>91,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hillside Children's Center:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SKIP Generations-Kinship Program</td>
<td>20,400</td>
<td>Counseling and Support groups for caregivers age 60 and over that are providing kinship care for children under the age of 18.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Lifespan of Greater Rochester, Inc.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caregivers Resource Center</td>
<td>29,611</td>
<td>The Caregiver Resource Center is a State funded program which provides resources and support to family caregivers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Lifespan of Greater Rochester, Inc.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respite Initiative Caregiver Network</td>
<td>104,375</td>
<td>Increase the ability of older adults, individuals and their informal family caregivers to access respite services with emphasis placed upon underserved populations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Counseling and Assistance Services</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catholic Family Center:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elderly Community Outreach/Caseworker</td>
<td>193,964</td>
<td>Caseworkers provide community outreach and referral assessments, short term problem solving, counseling and advocacy for participants of senior centers and groups including the homebound elderly.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Lifespan of Greater Rochester, Inc.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial Management Services</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health Insurance Counseling &amp; Assistance</td>
<td>177,618</td>
<td>This program assists seniors in applying for benefits such as food stamps, medicare, etc. as well as bill paying and household budgeting. Medicare Improvements for Patients and Providers Act is a continuation of funding from 2014 which was received in 2015.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medicare Health Insurance Assistance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Elder Abuse Prevention Services</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lifespan of Greater Rochester, Inc.</td>
<td></td>
<td>Elder Abuse prevention grant is a NYS initiative to provide education and outreach to the general public, elderly persons, families and caregivers to identify and prevent elder abuse, neglect, and exploitation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elder Abuse Education &amp; Outreach</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emergency Respite Service</td>
<td>727,750</td>
<td>Emergency Respite is designed for individuals &amp; caregivers in need of services who are not eligible for such services under Title XX and have</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vendor and Program Services</td>
<td>VENDOR TOTAL</td>
<td>PROGRAM DESCRIPTION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| **Employment and Training**  
  Lifespan of Greater Rochester, Inc.  
  Senior Community Employment Services                                                   | 184,930      | Low Income older workers, age 55 and over, are provided with part-time employment opportunities and job training skills that can lead to permanent placements. |
| **Health and Wellness Programs for Seniors**  
  Lifespan of Greater Rochester, Inc.  
  Older Adult Wellness PATHS & PEARLS  
  Older Adult Geriatric Addiction                                                      | 100,684      | PATHS and PEARLS are performance based services that provide assistance and prevent falls to frail elderly. The Older Adult Wellness Programs include counseling and health services for seniors over 60. |
| Lifetime Care, LLC  
  OASIS Center                                                                           | 36,378       | The OASIS Program provides educational, informational, cultural, health and fitness programming to persons over age 50.                                   |
| **Home Support & In Home Services**  
  Catholic Family Center:  
  Case Management Services  
  Consumer Directed In-Home Services  
  Level I and II In Home Care Aides  
  Support to Aging Residents (STAR)                                                      | 1,912,538    | Seniors receiving EISEP services are provided with case management, to assure appropriate and cost-effective In-Home care, components include screening, assessment, development of care plan, chore services & escorted transportation services for isolated frail elderly. Home Health Agencies provide Housekeeper, Chore and Personal Care Services including bathing, etc.. |
| **Information, Referral and Case Assistance**  
  Coordinated Care Services, Inc.:  
  Special Events, Programming & Catering  
  OFA Professional Services                                                              | 193,703      | CCSI administers OFA service contracts for OFA programs that provide info and referrals to providers, caregivers and seniors in Monroe County. CCSI also supports through OFA sponsorship, Trainings, Intergenerational and other events such as the Fishing Derby and Fall Clean-up programs. |
| Lifespan of Greater Rochester, Inc.  
  Eldersource/NY Connects/Eldercare Prevention  
  Community Based Eldersource Program                                                   | 918,350      | Eldersource is a single source information, referral, case assistance & counseling program for services to seniors and their family caregivers. This is a county-wide project in conjunction with the United Way for assistance and guidance in all services provided to older adults, Legal assistance and advocacy is provided to low income seniors in regard to legal issues including Social Security, SSI, medicaid, housing problems, simple wills, utility issues and power of attorney services. |
| **Legal Services for the Elderly**  
  Legal Assistance of Western NY  
  Legal Services for Seniors                                                             | 50,545       | This agency offers the analysis of the gaps and barriers within the long term care system for providers, frail seniors, and people of all ages with disabilities. |
| **Long Term Care Assistance**  
  Lifespan of Greater Rochester, Inc.  
  NY Connects/Long Term Care Access                                                      | 45,000       | CCSI provides management & administration of short term contracts for various Monroe County special events. Services include activities described under state service areas above. |
| **Management Services**  
  Coordinated Care Services, Inc.:  
  Contract Processing Services                                                            | 20,799       | County-wide computer network for OFA subcontracted providers which tracks, compiles and generates mandated State and Federal reports.                 |
| PeerPlace Networks, LLC  
  Countywide Computer Reporting Network                                                    | 20,000       | Home Delivered Meals are provided to persons age 60 and over who are homebound, living alone, and are unable to prepare their own meals.               |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vendor and Program Services</th>
<th>VENDOR TOTAL</th>
<th>PROGRAM DESCRIPTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Monroe County, Inc. Meals on Wheels Program</td>
<td>697,091</td>
<td>meals due to frailty or illness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Nutrition Services:</strong> Senior Centers of Monroe County</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABW- Goodwill Residential Resources, Inc. Delivery/Transportation of Meals</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior Center Meals &amp; Catering</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RDA Services, Menu Prep, Food Safety</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steam Table, Food transport replacements</td>
<td>530,624</td>
<td>Balanced meal, recreation programming, social events, case management services and other quality of life initiatives that assist seniors to remain living independently within the community with additional provisions available for weekend services.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charles Settlement House:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charles Settlement House Senior Center</td>
<td>63,940</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Community Place of Greater Rochester, Inc. Community Place Senior Center</td>
<td>48,392</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBD Breakfast Club</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OFA Breakfast Club: Henrietta/TBD</td>
<td>23,836</td>
<td>Social activities, wellness programs, special events and meals are provided to persons age 60 and over in a congregate setting at various Senior Centers throughout Monroe County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Town of Greece:</strong> Greece Community &amp; Senior Center</td>
<td>53,707</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ibero-American Action League, Inc. Centro de Oro Senior Center</td>
<td>39,353</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Town of Irondequoit:</strong> Irondequoit Senior Center</td>
<td>81,668</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lifespan of Greater Rochester, Inc. Lifespan Senior Center Downtown</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lifespan Senior Center: CLLARC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lifespan Senior Center: Eastern Suburb</td>
<td>155,445</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monroe Community Hospital: MCH Catering Services &amp; Event Refreshments</td>
<td>22,300</td>
<td>Office for the Aging Sponsored Senior Centers, continued</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southwest Area Neighborhood Association Southwest Senior Center</td>
<td>39,984</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Town of Ogden:</strong> Ogden Senior Center</td>
<td>41,956</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Town of Parma:</strong> Hilton-Parma Night Meal Program</td>
<td>2,258</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Town of Pittsford:</strong> Pittsford Senior Center</td>
<td>37,363</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Town of Rush:</strong> Rush Senior Center</td>
<td>2,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Town of Webster:</strong> Webster Senior Center</td>
<td>66,396</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Town of Wheatland:</strong> Wheatland Senior Center</td>
<td>23,603</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Transportation Services for Seniors:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical Motor Service of Rochester and Monroe County, Inc. Senior Transportation Services and Parkplace Adult Day Care/Skip Transp</td>
<td>599,042</td>
<td>Transportation services are provided for participants to and from the Senior Centers, SKIP Generations and Park Place Southwest Programs. Additional transportation is also provided for shopping, banking, recreation, and other needs that enriches older adults' quality of life.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shared Cost-Van/Miri Bus Replacement</td>
<td>53,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vendor and Program Services</td>
<td>Vendor Total</td>
<td>Program Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Direct Care Worker-TBD</td>
<td></td>
<td>This is a State Initiative to allocate an additional payment to agencies who employ Direct Care Workers. Funds are available to reimburse eligible employee salary and fringe COLA increases up to 3%. Payments are based on the employees service time provided to DFA programs only.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical Motor Service of Rochester and Monroe County, Inc. - Drivers, Clerks</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visiting Nurse Services of Rochester and Monroe County, Inc. - Food Service Workers/Drivers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lifespan of Greater Rochester, Inc. In-home/Food Service/Case Workers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catholic Family Center-In-home Service Workers</td>
<td>238,598</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
To The Honorable
Monroe County Legislature
407 County Office Building
Rochester, New York 14614

Subject: Authorize a Contract with Passero Associates, Engineering, Architecture & Surveying, P.C., for Design Services for the North Ramp Improvements Project at the Greater Rochester International Airport

Honorable Legislators:

I recommend that Your Honorable Body authorize a contract with Passero Associates, Engineering, Architecture & Surveying, P.C., in the amount of $120,000, for design services, for the North Ramp Improvements Project at the Greater Rochester International Airport.

The current Airport Pavement Management Update report has identified areas of the Terminal Apron which required major work to maintain a safe surface for aircraft movement around the Terminal. The aircraft pavement area north of the former Taxiway H and south of the West Concourse shows deterioration of the concrete pavement that is over thirty years old. Drainage system updates to manage deicing fluid runoff will also be implemented. The North Ramp Improvements Project will include engineering investigations to more accurately define the cause and extent of the deterioration and review cost effective rehabilitation strategies during the design of improvements. This project is a continuation of a pavement rehabilitation program that started in 2015 on pavement adjacent to this ramp area.

This project will be funded 100% by Passenger Facility Charges.

The Department of Aviation recommends authorization of a contract with Passero Associates, Engineering, Architecture & Surveying, P.C., a designated airport consultant per Resolution 18 of 2015, to provide design services for the North Ramp Improvements Project in the amount of $120,000.

The specific legislative action required is to authorize the County Executive, or her designee, to execute a contract with Passero Associates, Engineering, Architecture & Surveying, P.C., 242 West Main Street, Suite 100, Rochester, New York 14614, for design services, for the North Ramp Improvements Project at the Greater Rochester International Airport, in the amount of $120,000, along with any amendments necessary to complete the project within the total capital fund(s) appropriation.

Environmental assessments were completed for this action and it was determined that there would be no significant effect on the environment.
Funding for this contract, consistent with authorized uses, is included in capital fund 1767 and any capital fund(s) created for the same intended purpose. No additional net County support is required in the current Monroe County budget.

The records in the Office of the Monroe County Treasury have indicated that neither Passero Associates, Engineering, Architecture & Surveying, P.C., nor any of its principal officers, owe any delinquent Monroe County Property taxes. The principal officers of the firm are:

Gary W. Passero, Founding Partner
Wayne F. Wegman, Chief Executive Officer
John F. Caruso, President

I recommend that this matter be referred to the appropriate committee(s) for favorable action by Your Honorable Body.

Sincerely,

Cheryl Dinolfo
Monroe County Executive
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October 7, 2016

To The Honorable
Monroe County Legislature
407 County Office Building
Rochester, New York 14614

Subject: Authorization to Settle Lawsuit, Entitled “Felisa A. Turner, Guardian of the Person and Property of Bernice Mack v. County of Monroe”

Honorable Legislators:

I recommend that Your Honorable Body authorize a settlement in the amount of $300,000 in an action brought against the County of Monroe by Felisa A. Turner, Guardian of the Person and Property of Bernice Mack (“Mack”).

The Mack lawsuit arises out of personal injuries sustained by plaintiff following an accident on September 14, 2014.

The specific legislative actions required are:

1. Authorize the settlement of the Mack lawsuit for $300,000.

2. Authorize the County Executive, or her designee, to execute and deliver any and all documents necessary to effectuate such settlement.

Funding for the payment of the settlement is available in the Risk Management Fund. No additional net County support is required in the current Monroe County budget.

I recommend that this matter be referred to the appropriate committee(s) for favorable action by Your Honorable Body. I request that the Mack lawsuit referral be considered in Executive Session, pursuant to the Public Officer’s Law.

Sincerely,

Cheryl Dinolfo
Monroe County Executive
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Office of the County Executive
MONROE COUNTY, NEW YORK

Cheryl Dinolfo
County Executive

October 7, 2016

To The Honorable
Monroe County Legislature
407 County Office Building
Rochester, New York 14614

Subject: Authorize a Contract with HB Solutions, LLC for the Third Party Administration of the Affordable Care Act’s Reporting Requirements for Monroe County Employees and Retirees

Honorable Legislators:

I recommend that Your Honorable Body authorize a contract with the HB Solutions, LLC, in an amount not to exceed $130,000, for the third party administration of the Affordable Care Act’s reporting requirements for Monroe County employees and retirees, for the period of October 1, 2016 through December 31, 2017, with the option to renew for two (2) additional one-year extensions. Escalations of the two (2) additional one-year extensions will be limited to an amount equal to the increase in the previous year’s Consumer Price Index (U.S. City Average CPI-U from the Bureau of Labor Statistics), but no more than 2% from the previous year.

HB Solutions, LLC will provide third party administration for compliance with the various reporting requirements of the Affordable Care Act for Monroe County employees and retirees. Administration includes on-boarding, tracking of hours and measurement cycles, stability period notifications, preparation of IRS 6055 & 6056, other reporting requirements mandated by the Affordable Care Act, and submitting, printing and mailing of the required forms.

A request for proposals was issued for this contract and HB Solutions, LLC was selected as the most qualified to provide this service.

The specific legislative action required is to authorize the County Executive, or her designee, to execute a contract, and any amendments thereto, with HB Solutions, LLC, 99 Garnessy Road, Pittsford New York 14534, for the third party administration of the Affordable Care Act’s reporting requirements for Monroe County employees and retirees, in an amount not to exceed $130,000, for the period of October 1, 2016 through December 31, 2017, with the option to renew for two (2) additional one-year extensions. Escalations of the two (2) additional one-year extensions will be limited to an amount equal to the increase in the previous year’s Consumer Price Index (U.S. City Average CPI-U from the Bureau of Labor Statistics), but no more than 2% from the previous year.
Environmental assessments were completed for this action and it was determined that there would be no significant effect on the environment.

Funding for this contract is included in the 2016 operating budget within the benefits appropriations of the departments and will be requested in future years' budgets. No additional net County support is required in the current Monroe County budget.

The records in the Office of the Monroe County Treasury have indicated that neither HB Solutions, LLC, nor any of its principal officers, owe any delinquent Monroe County property taxes. The principal officers of the firm are:

James A. Spitz
William Kedley
Thomas S. Abbott

I recommend that this matter be referred to the appropriate committee(s) for favorable action by Your Honorable Body.

Sincerely,

Cheryl Dinolfo
Monroe County Executive
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To The Honorable
Monroe County Legislature
407 County Office Building
Rochester, New York 14614

Subject: Confirmation of Appointment to the Monroe County Veterans Advisory Committee

Honorable Legislators:

I recommend that Your Honorable Body confirm, in accordance with Resolution No. 240 of 1989 and Resolution No. 407 of 1999, the appointment of the Hon. Frank X. Allkofer as the legislative representative to the Monroe County Veterans Advisory Committee.

Mr. Allkofer resides at 2758 Lyell Road, Rochester, New York 14606. His appointment is effective immediately.

The specific legislative action required is to confirm, in accordance with Resolution No. 240 of 1989 and Resolution No. 407 of 1999, the appointment of the Hon. Frank X. Allkofer as the legislative representative to the Monroe County Veterans Advisory Committee effective immediately.

This resolution will have no impact on the revenues or expenditures of the current Monroe County budget.

I recommend that this matter be referred to the appropriate committee(s) for favorable action by Your Honorable Body.

Sincerely,

Cheryl Dinolfo
Monroe County Executive
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