



County-Wide Shared Services Property Tax Savings Plan Summary

Appendix A

County of Monroe			
County Contact: Jeff McCann, Deputy County Executive			
Contact Telephone: 585-753-1008			
Contact Email: jeffmccann@monroecounty.gov			
Partners			
Row 1 – (total # of) Cities in County			
Participating Cities		Panel Representative	Vote Cast (Yes or No)*
1.	Rochester	Chris Wagner	Yes
2.			
3.			
Row 2 – (total # of) Towns in County			
Participating Towns		Panel Representative	Vote Cast (Yes or No)*
1.	Brighton	William W. Moehle	Yes
2.	Chili	David J. Dunning	
3.	Clarkson	Christa Filipowicz	
4.	Gates	Cosmo A. Giunta	
5.	Greece	William D. Reilich	Yes
6.	Hamlin	Eric G. Peters	
7.	Henrietta	Stephen L. Schultz	Yes
8.	Irondequoit	David Seeley	Yes
9.	Mendon	John D. Moffit	Yes
10.	Ogden	Gay H. Lenhard	
11.	Parma	Jack Barton	Yes
12.	Penfield	Anthony R. LaFountain	Yes
13.	Perinton	Ciaran Hanna	Yes
14.	Pittsford	William A. Smith, Jr.	
15.	Riga	Brad O'Brocta	
16.	Rush	Gerald Kusse	Yes



County-Wide Shared Services Property Tax Savings Plan Summary

Appendix A

17.	Sweden	Kevin G. Johnson	Yes
18.	Webster	Tom Flaherty	
19.	Wheatland	Linda M. Dobson	
20.			

Use Additional Sheets if necessary

*The written justification provided by each Panel Representative in support of his or her vote on the Plan is attached hereto, as Exhibit 1.

Row 3 – (total # of) Villages in County

Participating Villages		Panel Representative	Vote Cast (Yes or No)*
1.	Brockport	Margaret Blackman	Yes
2.	Churchville	John Hartman	Yes
3.	Easy Rochester	John Alfieri	Yes
4.	Fairport	Julie Domaratz	Yes
5.	Hilton	Joseph Lee	
6.	Honeoye Falls	Richard B. Milne	
7.	Pittsford	Robert C. Corby	
8.	Scottsville	Eileen F. Hanson	Yes
9.	Spencerport	Gary Penders	
10.	Webster	Darrell Byerts	

Use Additional Sheets if necessary

*The written justification provided by each Panel Representative in support of his or her vote on the Plan is attached hereto, as Exhibit 1.

Row 4 – (total # of) School Districts, BOCES, and Special Improvement Districts in County

Participating School Districts, BOCES, and Special Improvement Districts		Panel Representative	Vote Cast (Yes or No)*
1.			

Use Additional Sheets if necessary

*The written justification provided by each Panel Representative in support of his or her vote on the Plan is attached hereto, as Exhibit 1.

Row 5

2020 Local Government Property Taxes	The sum total of property taxes levied in the year 2020 by the county, cities, towns, villages, school districts, BOCES, and special improvement districts within such county.
	\$1,836,471,995



County-Wide Shared Services Property Tax Savings Plan Summary


Appendix A

Row 6	
2020 Participating Entities Property Taxes	The sum total of property taxes levied in the year 2020 by the county, any cities, towns, villages, school districts, BOCES, and special improvements districts identified as participating in the panel in the rows above.
	\$413,829,630
Row 7	
Total Anticipated Savings	The sum total of net savings in such plan certified as being anticipated in calendar year 2021, calendar year 2022, and annually thereafter.
	\$1,053,500
Row 8	
Anticipated Savings as a Percentage of Participating Entities property taxes	The sum total of net savings in such plan certified as being anticipated in calendar year 2021 as a percentage of the sum total in Row 6, calendar year 2022 as a percentage of the sum total in Row 6, and annually thereafter as a percentage of the sum total in Row 6.
	.25%
Row 9	
Anticipated Savings to the Average Taxpayer	The amount of the savings that the average taxpayer in the county will realize in calendar year 2021, calendar year 2022, and annually thereafter if the net savings certified in the plan are realized.
	\$4.14
Row 10	
Anticipated Costs/Savings to the Average Homeowner	The percentage amount a homeowner can expect his or her property taxes to increase or decrease in calendar year 2021, calendar year 2022, and annually thereafter if the net savings certified in the plan are realized.
	1.25% decrease
Row 11	
Anticipated Costs/Savings to the Average Business	The percentage amount a business can expect its property taxes to increase or decrease in calendar year



County-Wide Shared Services Property Tax Savings Plan Summary

Appendix A

	2021, calendar year 2022, and annually thereafter if the net savings certified in the plan are realized.
	1.25% decrease
CERTIFICATION	
<p>I hereby affirm under penalty of perjury that information provided is true to the best of my knowledge and belief. This is the finalized county-wide shared services property tax savings plan. The county-wide shared services property tax savings plan was approved on December 28, 2020, and it was disseminated to residents of the county in accordance with the County-wide Shared Services Property Tax Savings Law.</p>	
<u>Adam J. Bello</u>	<u>County Chief Executive Officer</u>
(Print Name)	
<u></u>	<u>1/5/2021</u>
(Signature)	(Date)

1. Vacant and Zombie Property Management

Shared equipment, personnel, and services; Joint purchasing.

Participating Municipalities:

Monroe County, Town of Brighton, Village of Brockport, Town of Chili, Village of Churchville, Town of Clarkson, Town of East Rochester, Village of Fairport, Town of Gates, Town of Greece, Town of Hamlin, Town of Henrietta, Village of Hilton, Village of Honeoye Falls, Town of Irondequoit, Town of Mendon, Town of Ogden, Town of Parma, Town of Penfield, Town of Perinton, Town of Pittsford, Village of Pittsford, Town of Riga, City of Rochester, Town of Rush, Village of Scottsville, Village of Spencerport, Town of Sweden, Town of Webster, Village of Webster, and/or Town of Wheatland.

Summary: Share resources to assist local code enforcement officers in inspecting and reporting on unsafe buildings and collapsed structures, as well as share resources between municipalities and/or jointly procure contract(s) for maintenance, repair, and demolition services.

Amount of Savings: \$150,000

2. IT / Media Services

Shared equipment, personnel, and services; Joint purchasing.

Participating Municipalities:

Towns of Brighton, Irondequoit, Penfield, Brighton Central School District, and/or Villages of Fairport and Scottsville.

Summary: Share IT/video resources between municipalities to facilitate access to public meetings and media productions. Shared services agreement(s) may also contemplate jointly procuring specialized equipment that may not be cost effective for a single municipality to purchase for its sole use.

Amount of Savings: \$120,000

3. Software and Subscription Sharing

Shared equipment, personnel, and services; Joint purchasing.

Participating Municipalities:

Monroe County, Town of Brighton, Village of Brockport, Town of Chili, Village of Churchville, Town of Clarkson, Town of East Rochester, Village of Fairport, Town of Gates, Town of Greece, Town of Hamlin, Town of Henrietta, Village of Hilton, Village of Honeoye Falls, Town of Irondequoit, Town of Mendon, Town of Ogden, Town of Parma, Town of Penfield, Town of Perinton, Town of Pittsford, Village of Pittsford, Town of Riga, City of Rochester, Town of Rush, Village of Scottsville, Village of Spencerport, Town of Sweden, Town of Webster, Village of Webster, Town of Wheatland, and/or Brighton Central School District.

Summary: Jointly procure specialized software and/or subscription-based services that may not be cost effective for a single municipality to purchase for its sole use (e.g., mail list management, billing, emergency notification via telephone, emergency response planning).

Amount of Savings: \$20,000

4. Anti-bias, Diversity, and Inclusion Training for Public Officers and Employees

Shared equipment, personnel, and services; Joint purchasing.

Participating Municipalities:

Monroe County, Town of Brighton, Village of Brockport, Town of Chili, Village of Churchville, Town of Clarkson, Town of East Rochester, Village of Fairport, Town of Gates, Town of Greece, Town of Hamlin, Town of Henrietta, Village of Hilton, Village of Honeoye Falls, Town of Irondequoit, Town of Mendon, Town of Ogden, Town of Parma, Town of Penfield, Town of Perinton, Town of Pittsford, Village of Pittsford, Town of Riga, City of Rochester, Town of Rush, Village of Scottsville, Village of Spencerport, Town of Sweden, Town of Webster, Village of Webster, and/or Town of Wheatland.

Summary: Jointly purchase annual training services to provide anti-bias, diversity, and inclusion training for public officers and employees, which may also include crisis intervention training for law enforcement officers.

Amount of Savings: \$30,000

5. Animal Control Services across Municipalities

Shared equipment, personnel, and services; Joint purchasing.

Participating Municipalities:

Monroe County, Brighton, Chili, East Rochester, Gates, Greece, Henrietta, Honeoye Falls, Irondequoit, Mendon, Ogden, Parma, Penfield, Town of Pittsford, Village of Pittsford, Rush, Spencerport, Town of Webster, and/or Village of Webster.

Summary: Share resources between municipalities and/or jointly procure contract(s) for animal and/or dog control services, including but not limited to licensing and kenneling services.

Amount of Savings: \$190,000

6. Renovate Chili Town Hall into Town Court, and consolidate with court(s) of adjacent municipalities

Shared equipment, personnel, and services.

Participating Municipalities:

Towns of Rush, Ogden, Gates, Riga, and/or Wheatland.

Summary: Chili is renovating its Town Hall into its Town Court and will have extra space that could be utilized for other court services for adjacent municipalities. The Town of Chili and one or more towns that form a contiguous geographic area within Monroe County may explore: (1) establishing a single justice court; or (2) seeking Home Rule Legislation permitting the other town(s) to hold all court proceedings in the Town of Chili.

Amount of Savings: \$120,000

7. Shared Recreation Program(s)

Shared equipment, personnel, and services.

Participating Municipalities:

Monroe County, Town of Brighton, Town of Chili, Village of Churchville, Town of Clarkson, Town of East Rochester, Village of Fairport, Town of Gates, Town of Greece, Town of Hamlin, Town of Henrietta, Village of Hilton, Village of Honeoye Falls, Town of Irondequoit, Town of Mendon, Town of Ogden, Town of Parma, Town of Penfield, Town of Perinton, Town of Pittsford, Village of Pittsford, Town of Riga, City of Rochester, Town of Rush, Village of Spencerport, Town of Sweden, Town of Webster, Village of Webster, and/or Town of Wheatland.

Summary: Share resources between municipalities and/or jointly procure contracts to provide programming, facilities, and administration of parks and recreation across municipal boundaries.

Amount of Savings: \$82,500

8. Cyber Security Insurance

Shared services

Participating Municipalities:

Monroe County, Town of Brighton, Village of Brockport, Town of Chili, Village of Churchville, Town of Clarkson, Town of East Rochester, Village of Fairport, Town of Gates, Town of Greece, Town of Hamlin, Town of Henrietta, Village of Hilton, Village of Honeoye Falls, Town of Irondequoit, Town of Mendon, Town of Ogden, Town of Parma, Town of Penfield, Town of Perinton, Town of Pittsford, Village of Pittsford, Town of Riga, City of Rochester, Town of Rush, Village of Scottsville, Village of Spencerport, Town of Sweden, Town of Webster, Village of Webster, and/or Town of Wheatland.

Summary: Jointly procure cyber security insurance.

Amount of Savings: \$75,000

9. Extend Sewer and Potable Water to Monroe County Fair Site and surrounding vicinities

Shared equipment, personnel, and services.

Participating Municipalities: Monroe County, Towns of Rush and Henrietta.

Summary: Rush and Henrietta will explore forming a joint sewer district and/or otherwise share or jointly procure shared equipment, personnel, and services.

Amount of Savings: \$90,000

10. Countywide Intermunicipal Agreement for purchasing and sharing equipment

Shared equipment, personnel, and services.

Participating Municipalities:

Monroe County, Town of Brighton, Village of Brockport, Town of Chili, Village of Churchville, Town of Clarkson, Town of East Rochester, Village of Fairport, Town of Gates, Town of Greece, Town of Hamlin, Town of Henrietta, Village of Hilton, Village of Honeoye Falls, Town of Irondequoit, Town of Mendon, Town of Ogden, Town of Parma, Town of Penfield, Town of Perinton, Town of Pittsford, Village of Pittsford, Town of Riga, City of Rochester, Town of Rush, Village of Spencerport, Town of Sweden, Town of Webster, Village of Webster, and/or Town of Wheatland.

Summary: Enter into intermunicipal agreement to create a joint purchasing schedule and sharing of said equipment.

Amount of Savings: \$150,000

11. Crossing Guards

Shared equipment, personnel, and services.

Participating Municipalities:

Town of Brighton, Brighton Central School District, Town of Penfield, Penfield Central School District, Town of Pittsford, Pittsford Central School District, Town of Henrietta, Rush-Henrietta Central School District, and/or Wheatland-Chili School District.

Summary: The Town of Brighton and one or more of the school districts that support Brighton residents will explore sharing resources and/or jointly procuring contract(s) to provide crossing guard services.

Amount of Savings: \$26,000